ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 15, Issue 8, August 2025, pp 42-50

RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Additive Manufacturing in Aviation: Applications and Advantages of 3D Printed Ultem 9085 Components

Senem Özge Seyfi Osman Karademir

Turkish Aerospace Industries, Inc. (TAI)

ABSTRACT

The aerospace industry has increasingly adopted additive manufacturing (AM) technologies to address the growing demand for lightweight, high-performance, and cost-effective components with complex geometries. Among the advanced materials utilized in this context, Ultem 9085—a high-performance polyetherimide (PEI) thermoplastic—has emerged as a preferred choice due to its exceptional mechanical strength, flame retardancy, and compatibility with fused deposition modeling (FDM) processes. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of 3D printing technologies in aviation, emphasizing the technical and operational benefits they offer over traditional manufacturing methods. The study highlights the unique properties of Ultem 9085 and its suitability for aerospace applications, supported by examples from real-world implementations and ongoing projects. Current limitations of additive manufacturing, such as material costs, build size constraints, and surface finish challenges, are discussed alongside future perspectives for broader adoption. Overall, the findings underscore the transformative potential of AM technologies, particularly with materials like Ultem 9085, in advancing the next generation of aerospace components.

Keywords: Additive Manufacturing; 3D Printing; Ultem 9085; Aerospace; Aviation; Polyetherimide; Lightweight Components; Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM); Advanced Materials; Flame Retardancy

Date of Submission: 01-08-2025

Date of acceptance: 11-08-2025

I. Introduction

In recent years, the aerospace industry has significant transformation in witnessed manufacturing practices, primarily attributed to the increasing demand for components that adhere to strict performance, safety, and cost-efficiency standards. As aircraft systems evolve and become more sophisticated, there is a growing need for parts that are lightweight yet possess high mechanical strength, while also accommodating complex geometries that optimize aerodynamics and integration. Traditional subtractive manufacturing techniques, which often face challenges related to material inefficiency, geometric limitations, and prolonged production lead times, are becoming less viable in light of these requirements [1]. To address these issues, additive manufacturing (AM), commonly known as 3D printing, has emerged as a groundbreaking technology in the aerospace sector [1,2]. Additive manufacturing stands out by facilitating the layer-by-layer construction of intricate structures directly from digital blueprints, thereby mitigating several challenges associated with conventional manufacturing methodologies. AM is celebrated for enhancing design flexibility, fostering rapid prototyping, reducing material wastage, and enabling the cost-effective production of customized components [2,3]. Specifically, the ability of AM to produce tailored components aligns with the aerospace industry's drive for innovative efficient manufacturing solutions, contributing significantly to the industry's shift towards more customized and complex designs that processes traditional production accommodate [1,2,3]. This transformative capability positions 3D printing as a disruptive force poised to existing paradigms in manufacturing [4]. Furthermore, there is increasing interest in the potential applications of advanced materials facilitated by 3D printing technologies, such as carbon-fiber composites and biocompatible materials, which can enhance performance characteristics essential for aerospace applications [1,5]. A study by Balaji et al. [1] emphasizes the viability of AM in producing aviation-grade parts, detailing certification processes that ensure compliance with industry standards. Additionally, the integration of smart materials through AM allows for the development of self-sensing structures that promise to enhance aircraft performance while ensuring safety [6]. The benefits

www.ijera.com DOI: 10.9790/9622-15084250 42 | Page

of adopting additive manufacturing technology extend beyond mere cost savings and efficiency improvements to include enhancements in product capabilities, ultimately fostering a more agile and innovative aerospace manufacturing landscape. Consequently, the advent of 3D printing represents a pivotal leap for the aerospace sector, bridging the gap between traditional manufacturing limitations and the demands of modern aircraft design and production. As this technology continues to evolve, it is likely that the aerospace industry will increasingly rely on additive manufacturing techniques to stay competitive and meet the evergrowing expectations for performance, safety, and sustainability [1,3].

3D printing technologies, or additive manufacturing (AM), have significantly influenced both commercial aviation and the defense sector, primarily due to their numerous advantages. A key benefit is rapid prototyping, which allows engineers and designers to rapidly iterate on and validate designs, substantially reducing innovation cycles and leading to a decreased time-to-market for new components. This benefit is supported by studies indicating that AM technologies facilitate quick adjustments to designs, enhancing the development of new aircraft systems and defense equipment [7,8]. Beyond prototyping, AM has matured to support the production of end-use parts that meet stringent regulatory and performance standards. For instance, through techniques such as Selective Laser Melting (SLM), AM can produce complex and customized components that would otherwise be challenging to fabricate using conventional manufacturing methods [9,10]. The inherent design freedom allows for innovative geometries and lightweight lattice structures that contribute to greater efficiency, thus supporting the growing trend towards more fuel-efficient aircraft and lighter defense systems [11,12]. Moreover, additive manufacturing emphasizes sustainability minimizing material waste, as material is only applied where necessary, hence enhancing both economic and environmental sustainability. This reduction in waste aligns closely with industry goals for resource efficiency in manufacturing processes [13]. The economic advantages become apparent through an analysis of lower production lead times, reduced inventory needs, and the ability for mass customization, which have led to a transformative impact on supply chain dynamics within the aerospace sector [14,15]. The cumulative influence of these factors positions 3D printing as a disruptive technology within the aerospace industry, reflecting its alignment with contemporary production requirements and strategic values increasingly adopted in defense applications [16]. Thus, the rise

of additive manufacturing continues to redefine manufacturing paradigms within these domains, setting a precedent for further technological advancements.

The selection of materials for aerospace applications is critical due to the demanding environments encountered, necessitating significant consideration of mechanical performance and compliance with stringent regulatory requirements. High-performance engineering thermoplastics, particularly Ultem 9085, have emerged as preferred materials in this industry due to their superior properties. Ultem 9085, a polyetherimide (PEI), exhibits exceptional mechanical strength, stiffness, and resistance to high temperatures and harsh chemicals. These attributes are crucial in the aerospace sector where components must meet rigorous safety and certification standards mandated by organizations such as the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) [17,18]. Furthermore, research indicates that Ultem 9085 has favorable flame, smoke, and toxicity characteristics. which are critical in minimizing risks in aviation environments [19–21]. Specifically, highlight that Ultem 9085 has lower smoke density and toxicity compared to other materials, aligning with the aerospace industry's emphasis on safety [19,21]. In addition to mechanical and thermal properties, Ultem 9085 demonstrates excellent with compatibility additive manufacturing processes, particularly fused deposition modeling (FDM). This compatibility allows for the production of geometrically complex and lightweight components while maintaining structural integrity, thereby enhancing the efficiency of manufacturing workflows in aerospace applications [22,21]. The ability to tailor components to specific functional requirements through FDM not only expands the design capabilities of engineers but also improves production agility, which is essential for rapid prototyping and low-volume production runs that are common in aerospace projects [23,21]. Moreover, the integration of additive manufacturing with high-performance materials like Ultem 9085 signals a transformative shift in aerospace design and manufacturing strategies. As the industry adapts to the need for improved cost efficiency and enhanced performance, ongoing developments in materials and manufacturing techniques are essential to meet these challenges [24]. This transition reflects a broader trend toward utilizing advanced materials that not only fulfill mechanical demands but also align with stricter regulatory frameworks. In conclusion, Ultem 9085 stands out as a material of significant importance within the aerospace industry, due to its versatile properties that cater to both performance and regulatory compliance needs. Its compatibility with advanced manufacturing methods further positions it as a key material in the evolution of aerospace component production.

This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the current applications of 3D printing technologies within the aviation industry, highlighting both the technical and operational advantages that these approaches offer over conventional manufacturing methods. Special attention is devoted to the utilization of Ultem 9085 as a material of choice for additively manufactured components, examining its unique properties and the ways in which it addresses the stringent performance and safety requirements inherent to aerospace environments. Drawing on insights and empirical data from ongoing projects, the discussion further explores the practical benefits, challenges, and future prospects of integrating Ultem 9085based 3D printed parts into aviation systems. By synthesizing recent advancements and case studies, this paper aims to elucidate the transformative potential of additive manufacturing in shaping the next generation of aerospace components.

II. Additive Manufacturing Technologies and Their Applications in Aviation 2.1 Overview of Additive Manufacturing Technologies

Additive manufacturing (AM), or 3D printing, represents a paradigm shift in production methodologies, facilitating the creation of complex geometries through the layer-by-layer deposition of materials based on digital designs. This innovative approach contrasts significantly with traditional subtractive manufacturing, which involves removing material from a solid block to shape the desired final product. The uniqueness of AM lies in its ability to minimize waste while offering versatility in material use and geometric complexity, making it especially valuable in industries such as aerospace, automotive, and medical [25,26]. Among the various AM technologies, fused deposition modeling (FDM), selective laser sintering (SLS), stereolithography (SLA), and electron beam melting (EBM) have emerged as prominent methods, each distinct material compatibilities applications. For instance, FDM is particularly favored in aerospace for processing highperformance thermoplastics like Ultem 9085, which are critical for lightweight yet durable components [27]. Conversely, powder bed fusion techniques, notably laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) and electron beam melting (EBM), have gained traction for metal part production due to their capability to create dense and structurally sound components [28,29]. The developments in LPBF technology,

such as enhanced material characterizations and process optimizations, are essential for meeting the rigorous demands of aerospace manufacturing. Researchers have noted that LPBF can achieve high levels of detail and structural integrity, making it suitable for intricate parts that require stringent performance criteria [30]. The ongoing exploration of new alloys and processing parameters further highlights the adaptability of LPBF, showing promise for innovative applications in both aerospace and automotive sectors [31,32]. Moreover, there is a significant emphasis on Design for Additive Manufacturing (DfAM), which optimizes product design simultaneously with the AM process. This optimization is vital to leveraging the unique capabilities of AM technologies, which can yield parts with complex internal geometries that would be impossible to fabricate using conventional machining techniques [33,34]. This progressive thinking underscores a broader trend in the adoption of AM, where efficiency and performance drive the integration of these technologies high-stakes manufacturing into environments. In conclusion, AM's capabilities to fabricate complex geometries with minimal waste represent a significant advancement over traditional manufacturing. Technologies such as FDM and LPBF are at the forefront, meeting industry demands for high-performance materials and intricate designs, especially in sectors with rigorous specifications like aerospace. As research continues to evolve in this field, the future of manufacturing is likely to be increasingly defined by AM technologies.

2.2 Advantages of 3D Printing in Aerospace Applications

The advantages of 3D printing, particularly applications, are within aerospace transformative changes in the industry. One of the foremost benefits is the significant design freedom afforded by additive manufacturing. Traditional manufacturing methods face constraints producing complex geometries, whereas 3D printing allows for the realization of intricate structures, such as internal channels and lattice frameworks that enhance functionality and reduce weight [2,35]. This capability not only contributes to the optimization of part performance but also facilitates the integration of multiple components into a single structure, thereby decreasing the overall parts count in assemblies, which is critical for aerospace applications where weight and space are paramount [2].

Moreover, the rapid prototyping capabilities of 3D printing accelerate product development cycles, enabling the swift creation of

prototypes for design validation and functional testing [2,35]. This is particularly beneficial in the aerospace sector, where the costs of change late in the manufacturing process can be substantial. The on-demand production capability of 3D printing also minimizes the need for large inventories and reduces lead times, which is especially valuable when manufacturing low-volume, specialized aerospace components [2,36]. The reduction in inventory and waste, alongside the facilitation of just-in-time production methods, aligns with contemporary trends in sustainable manufacturing practices within the aerospace industry [35,37]. In addition to these primary benefits, innovation in material science related to additive manufacturing enhances the functional properties of 3D-printed aerospace components. For instance, the development of advanced material compositions enables improved mechanical properties, thermal resistance, and durability, which are essential for the demanding environments faced by aerospace components [35,37]. Furthermore, by utilizing 3D printing, manufacturers can explore various material mixes that allow for multifunctional components, such as those that integrate electronic properties or are optimized for thermal management [2,35]. As 3D printing continues to evolve, its integration within the aerospace industry not only streamlines manufacturing processes but also opens new avenues for engineering innovation.

2.3 Benefits in Weight Reduction, Functionality, Cost, and Lead Time

The integration of additive manufacturing (AM), commonly referred to as 3D printing, in the aerospace sector has brought significant advantages in terms of weight reduction, functionality, cost efficiency, and lead times. These benefits stem from the inherent capabilities of AM that allow for sophisticated geometrical optimizations and material savings. A primary benefit of 3D printing in aerospace engineering is weight reduction.

The use of advanced geometrical designs, such as lattice structures, enables the production of lightweight components that do not compromise strength or integrity. For instance, titanium and its alloys, which are widely used in aerospace, can be manufactured using AM techniques like direct metal laser melting (DMLM) [38]. This method allows for the creation of intricate designs that significantly reduce material usage while maintaining the necessary mechanical properties required in high-stress applications [39].

Additionally, the functionality of components manufactured through 3D printing can be drastically enhanced. AM enables the construction of parts with customized features, such

as integrated cooling channels or specific mounting points, which are not feasible with traditional manufacturing methods [2]. This functional integration not only improves the performance of individual components but also streamlines the overall assembly process, leading to increased reliability of the final systems. The innovation represented by the seamless incorporation of multiple functionalities into a single component exemplifies the transformative nature of AM technology [40].

Cost and production efficiency are also significantly improved through 3D printing. By using only the material required for the creation of each part, AM reduces material waste, which is especially crucial in industries where raw materials, such as advanced composites, are prohibitively expensive [39]. Moreover, AM reduces the number of manufacturing steps, tooling costs, and labor needed for production, resulting in overall cost savings [41]. The reduced production lead times afforded by 3D printing enhance the industry's responsiveness to market demands and design modifications, allowing for quicker iterations and more agile development processes [42].

Collectively, these advantages illustrate the extensive potential of 3D printing technologies in the aerospace industry, characterized by increased efficiency, enhanced performance capabilities, and a notable impact on sustainability through weight reduction and material conservation. As the technology continues to evolve, it paves the way for further innovations in aerospace design and manufacturing [43].

III. Properties and Applications of Ultem 9085

3.1 Technical Properties of Ultem 9085

Ultem 9085. high-performance a thermoplastic polyetherimide (PEI), is widely utilized in demanding sectors such as aerospace and transportation due to its remarkable technical properties. This thermoplastic material is recognized for its exceptional mechanical strength, thermal stability, and chemical resistance. Various studies indicate that Ultem 9085 exhibits a tensile strength of approximately 78.77 MPa [17] and a flexural modulus up to 2.36 GPa [17,44]. The material retains its structural integrity at elevated temperatures, showcasing a glass transition temperature (Tg) of around 186°C, which underscores its suitability for applications subjected to significant thermal stress [45,21].

Moreover, Ultem 9085's performance regarding flame, smoke, and toxicity (FST) is crucial for compliance with aerospace interior standards. It meets stringent flammability

requirements such as FAR 25.853 and OSU 65/65, ensuring low smoke emission and minimal toxicity in the event of fire [46,47,48]. Additionally, its resistance to a diverse range of chemicals enhances the material's longevity and reliability in various engineering applications [48,49].

The multifaceted properties of Ultem 9085 arise from its unique formulation, which includes a polycarbonate (PC) copolymer to enhance flow during additive manufacturing processes [50]. Consequently, Ultem 9085 not only provides high strength and thermal resistance but is also recognized for its excellent characteristics in 3D printing, making it a preferred choice for manufacturing complex geometries required in advanced applications [20].

Recent studies indicate that the mechanical properties of Ultem 9085 can be significantly influenced by the parameters of the 3D printing process, including build orientation and infill density [51,19]. Optimizing these parameters can lead to improved mechanical performance, addressing concerns regarding property degradation in additively manufactured polymers compared to their bulk counterparts [19]. This highlights the importance of ongoing research and development aimed at refining the application of Ultem 9085 in high-performance contexts.

In summary, Ultem 9085 stands out in the realm of advanced polymers as a versatile, durable, and thermally resilient material suitable for critical applications in aerospace and transportation, owing to its impressive mechanical and thermal properties and adherence to safety regulations.

3.2 Rationale for Use in Aerospace Applications

Ultem 9085, a high-performance amorphous thermoplastic polyetherimide (PEI), exhibits a range of properties that render it particularly suitable for aerospace applications. One of the key advantages of Ultem 9085 is its exceptional strength-to-weight ratio, making it an ideal choice for lightweight components critical in aircraft design aimed at enhancing fuel efficiency and reducing operational costs. For instance, Ultem 9085 demonstrates a tensile modulus of 2.36 ± 0.03 GPa and a yield strength of 78.77 ± 0.94 MPa, indicating its robust mechanical performance under various relevant loads in aerospace scenarios [17,21].

Flame retardancy is another vital property of Ultem 9085, aligning well with stringent aviation safety standards. Its inherent low toxicity and excellent flame-retardant capabilities make it suitable for interior components of aircraft, meeting the critical safety protocols established by aviation authorities [21,20]. Furthermore, Ultem 9085's low

smoke generation enhances its reliability in fire safety applications, reinforcing its suitability for the aerospace sector [21,22].

Additionally, the compatibility of Ultem 9085 with Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) allows for the efficient and economical production of complex geometries, which is highly beneficial in modern agile manufacturing environments within aerospace industry. Through the manufacturers can quickly prototype and produce custom-designed parts that may be difficult to achieve with traditional manufacturing processes, streamlining production workflows [53,21]. The rapid iteration capabilities provided by FDM technology are advantageous, particularly in aerospace where rapid prototyping can significantly shorten development cycles [52].

Moreover, advancements in 3D printing technologies further cement Ultem 9085's role in supporting innovation within the aerospace field. Research has focused on optimizing FDM parameters to enhance the material properties of Ultem 9085, ensuring that the end-use components adhere to the high-performance needs of aerospace applications [52,22]. As the aerospace industry towards more eco-efficient pushes technologically advanced aircraft designs, materials like Ultem 9085 play a crucial role due to their mechanical strengths, lightweight nature, and compatibility with modern manufacturing techniques.

3.3 Real-World Examples and application in our current project

Ultem 9085 is a high-performance thermoplastic material recognized for its superior mechanical properties and flame retardancy, making it increasingly popular in the aerospace industry. Notably, Airbus has employed 3D-printed Ultem 9085 components in the A350 XWB aircraft, utilizing this material for various cabin and ducting applications while adhering to stringent safety standards [20]. Similarly, Boeing utilizes Ultem 9085 for producing lightweight, certified parts for commercial and military demonstrating the material's compliance with rigorous aerospace regulations [48]. This real-world application highlights Ultem 9085's capabilities in meeting the demanding requirements of the aerospace sector, supported by its excellent mechanical properties and flame resistance [21,68].

In our ongoing project, the selection of Ultem 9085 for additive manufacturing using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) technology emphasizes its advantages in aerospace applications. Ultem 9085 enables the production of geometrically complex and lightweight components, crucial for

improved efficiency and performance in aviation [48]. The versatility of Ultem 9085 not only facilitates rapid prototyping but also supports functional testing and the final production of enduse components, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency of the manufacturing process. Research indicates that the material can be optimally processed under conditions that enhance its mechanical properties, emphasizing the importance of filament handling and print parameters [17,52]. Furthermore, Ultem 9085 is noted for its superior fire safety characteristics, making it suitable for high-temperature environments typical in aerospace applications [20].

Our experience with Ultem 9085 in FDM has underscored its importance in contemporary aerospace manufacturing. It aids in the transition from prototype to production and contributes to flexible design and manufacturing strategies necessary to keep pace with advancements in aircraft technology [55,44]. The combination of enhanced material properties, compatibility with advanced manufacturing techniques, and adherence to stringent safety standards ultimately positions Ultem 9085 as a strategic choice for aerospace component production [54,48].

IV. Discussion and Conclusions4.1 Current Limitations of 3D Printing in Aerospace

Despite the considerable advantages offered by additive manufacturing technologies in the aerospace sector, several limitations currently hinder their wider adoption for certain applications. One primary constraint is the cost of high-performance materials and 3D printing equipment, which remains relatively high compared to traditional manufacturing methods, particularly for large-scale production. Additionally, the build size of most industrial 3D printers imposes restrictions on the dimensions of components that can be produced in a single process, often necessitating the assembly of multiple printed parts—a process that may introduce additional weight or compromise structural integrity.

Surface quality and resolution also present notable challenges. While technologies such as fused deposition modeling (FDM) and selective laser sintering (SLS) are capable of producing structurally sound parts, the resulting surface finish may require extensive post-processing to meet the stringent aesthetic and aerodynamic standards of aerospace components. Achieving uniform material properties throughout the printed part, especially for complex geometries, remains an ongoing area of research and development.

Furthermore, the certification and standardization of 3D printed parts pose significant

regulatory challenges. The aviation industry is subject to strict certification requirements, and the lack of universally accepted standards for additive manufacturing can complicate the qualification of 3D printed components for flight-critical applications.

4.2 Future Perspectives

Looking ahead, ongoing advancements in additive manufacturing technologies are expected to address many of the current limitations, paving the way for broader implementation in aerospace and beyond. The development of larger-format printers and multi-material printing capabilities will expand the range of feasible applications, enabling the fabrication of more complex and integrated structures. Improvements in process control, material science, and in-situ monitoring technologies are anticipated to enhance the consistency, mechanical properties, and surface quality of printed parts, thereby reducing the need for extensive post-processing.

Moreover, as regulatory bodies continue to develop and refine standards for the certification of additively manufactured components, the pathway toward the approval and adoption of 3D printed parts in safety-critical aerospace systems will become clearer and more streamlined. The integration of digital manufacturing workflows—including topology optimization, digital twins, and predictive maintenance—will further enhance the efficiency and adaptability of aerospace production.

In summary, while certain technical and regulatory hurdles remain, the future of 3D printing in aerospace is promising. Continued research and collaborative efforts among industry stakeholders are expected to unlock new opportunities for innovation, ultimately enabling the realization of lighter, more efficient, and more complex aerospace systems.

References

- [1]. Balaji, D., Ranga, J., Bhuvaneswari, V., Arulmurugan, B., Rajeshkumar, L., Manimohan, M., & Masi, C. (2022). Additive manufacturing for aerospace from inception to certification. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2022(1). https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7226852
- [2]. Martinez, D., Espino, M., Cascolan, H., Crisostomo, J., & Dizon, J. (2022). A comprehensive review on the application of 3D printing in the aerospace industry. Key Engineering Materials, 913, 27-34. https://doi.org/10.4028/p-94a9zb
- [3]. Frazier, W. (2014). Metal additive manufacturing: a review. Journal of

- Materials Engineering and Performance, 23(6), 1917-1928. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-014-0958-z
- [4]. Saraçyakupoğlu, T. (2022). Certification steps for the additively manufactured aviation-grade parts. The European Journal of Research and Development, 2(4), 33-42. https://doi.org/10.56038/ejrnd.v2i4.133
- [5]. Balaji, D., et al. (2022). Additive manufacturing for aerospace from inception to certification. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2022(1). https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7226852
- [6]. Yang, Y., Li, X., Chu, M., Sun, H., Jin, J., Yu, K., & Chen, Y. (2019). Electrically assisted 3D printing of nacre-inspired structures with self-sensing capability. Science Advances, 5(4). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau9490
- [7]. Pires, J., Azar, A., Nogueira, F., Zhu, C., Branco, R., & Tankova, T. (2021). The role of robotics in additive manufacturing: review of the am processes and introduction of an intelligent system. Industrial Robot the International Journal of Robotics Research and Application, 49(2), 311-331. https://doi.org/10.1108/ir-06-2021-0110
- [8]. Tymofiiv, V., Al-Rabeei, S., Hovanec, M., & Korba, P. (2022). Additive manufacturing opportunities in the aviation industry. Acta Avionica Journal, 50-54. https://doi.org/10.35116/aa.2022.0023
- [9]. Wang, X., Kustov, S., & Humbeeck, J. (2018). A short review on the microstructure, transformation behavior and functional properties of niti shape memory alloys fabricated by selective laser melting. Materials, 11(9), 1683. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11091683
- [10]. Chen, P., Liu, X., Jin, K., Qiao, X., & Yang, W. (2021). Tribological performance of textured 316l stainless steel prepared by selective laser melting. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-829565/v1
- [11]. Glaskova-Kuzmina, T., Dejus, D., Jātnieks, J., Kruuv, P., Lancere, L., Kobenko, S., ... & Zolotarjovs, A. (2022). Flame-retardant and tensile properties of polyamide 12 processed by selective laser sintering. Journal of Composites Science, 6(7), 185. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs6070185
- [12]. Kaynak, Y. and Kıtay, Ö. (2018). Porosity, surface quality, microhardness and microstructure of selective laser melted 3161 stainless steel resulting from finish machining. Journal of Manufacturing and

- Materials Processing, 2(2), 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmmp2020036
- [13]. Akib, R. (2024). Creating a robust composite material from waste plastic and steel for application in 3d printing. International Journal of Materials Science, 5(1), 48-52. https://doi.org/10.22271/27078221.2024.v5.i 1a.44
- [14]. Delić, M. and Eyers, D. (2020). The effect of additive manufacturing adoption on supply chain flexibility and performance: an empirical analysis from the automotive industry. International Journal of Production Economics, 228, 107689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107689
- [15]. Patham, K. (2024). Redesigning dynamic components for additive manufacturing using topology optimization. Journal of Micromanufacturing, 7(2), 147-163. https://doi.org/10.1177/25165984241260580
- [16]. Khorasani, A., Gibson, I., Veetil, J., & Ghasemi, A. (2020). A review of technological improvements in laser-based powder bed fusion of metal printers. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 108(1-2), 191-209. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-020-05361-3
- [17]. Cicala, G., Ognibene, G., Portuesi, S., Blanco, I., Rapisarda, M., Pergolizzi, E., ... & Recca, G. (2018). Comparison of ultem 9085 used in fused deposition modelling (fdm) with polytherimide blends. Materials, 11(2), 285. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11020285
- [18]. Hernandez, K., O'Brien, S., Bischoff, A., Parmigiani, J., & Roach, D. (2025). The influence of 3d printing parameters on ultem 9085 mechanical properties: a combined experimental and machine learning qualification approach. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6527800/v1
- [19]. Vīndedze, E., Glaskova-Kuzmina, T., Dejus, D., Jātnieks, J., Sevcik, S., Bute, I., ... & Gaidukovs, S. (2025). Effects of printing orientation on the tensile, thermophysical, smoke density, and toxicity properties of ultem® 9085. Polymers, 17(2), 145. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17020145
- [20]. Chen, P., Liang, E., Jiang, Y., Wu, H., & Lin, Y. (2023). Investigation of the effect of building directions on flame resistance of fdm parts. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2688721
- [21]. Padovano, E., Galfione, M., Concialdi, P., Lucco, G., & Badini, C. (2020). Mechanical

- and thermal behavior of ultem® 9085 fabricated by fused-deposition modeling. Applied Sciences, 10(9), 3170. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10093170
- [22]. Glaskova-Kuzmina, T., Dejus, D., Jātnieks, J., Vīndedze, E., Bute, I., Sevcenko, J., ... & Boobani, B. (2024). The tensile, thermal and flame-retardant properties of polyetherimide and polyetherketoneketone processed via fused filament fabrication. Polymers, 16(3), 336. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16030336
- [23]. Agnusdei, L., Ficarella, A., & Prete, A. (2025). Integrating sensors and machine learning: a smart monitoring system prototype for quality assurance in additive manufacturing for the aerospace industry. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5781603/v1
- [24]. Yanko, T. and Dmytrenko, O. (2021). Prospects for the implementation of new materials and technologies in the aerospace industry. Transactions on Aerospace Research, 2021(4), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.2478/tar-2021-0019
- [25]. Wong, K. and Hernandez, A. (2012). A review of additive manufacturing. ISRN Mechanical Engineering, 2012, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/208760
- [26]. Prashanth, K., Kolla, S., & Eckert, J. (2017). Additive manufacturing processes: selective laser melting, electron beam melting and binder jetting—selection guidelines. Materials, 10(6), 672. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10060672
- [27]. Rane, K. and Strano, M. (2019). A comprehensive review of extrusion-based additive manufacturing processes for rapid production of metallic and ceramic parts. Advances in Manufacturing, 7(2), 155-173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-019-00253-6
- [28]. Nathaniel, W. and Hoelzle, D. (2021). The temperature inside the part in powder bed fusion. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202101.04 76.v1
- Carraturo, M., Jomo, J., Kollmannsberger, [29]. S., Reali, A., Auricchio, F., & Rank, E. (2020).Modeling and experimental validation of immersed thermoan mechanical part-scale analysis for laser powder bed fusion processes. Additive Manufacturing, 36, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.10149
- [30]. Brown, B., Lough, C., Wilson, D., Newkirk, J., & Liou, F. (2024). Atmosphere effects in laser powder bed fusion: a review. Materials,

- 17(22), 5549. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17225549
- [31]. Pragana, J., Pombinha, P., Duarte, V., Rodrigues, T., Oliveira, J., Bragança, I., ... & Silva, C. (2020). Influence of processing parameters on the density of 316l stainless steel parts manufactured through laser powder bed fusion. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part B Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 234(9), 1246-1257.
 - https://doi.org/10.1177/0954405420911768
- [32]. Aumayr, C., Platl, J., Zunko, H., & Türk, C. (2020). Additive manufacturing of a low-alloyed engineering steel. BHM Berg- Und Hüttenmännische Monatshefte, 165(3), 137-142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00501-020-00966-3
- [33]. Belelli, F., Casati, R., Riccio, M., Rizzi, A., Kayacan, M., & Vedani, M. (2020). Development of a novel high-temperature al alloy for laser powder bed fusion. Metals, 11(1), 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/met11010035
- [34]. Uriati, F., Nicoletto, G., Riva, E., Varmus, T., & Konečná, R. (2022). Influence of surface orientation on fatigue performance of as-built additively manufactured inconel 718. Engineering Manufacturing Letters, 1(1), 34-39. https://doi.org/10.24840/2795-5168 001-001 0007
- [35]. Joshi, S. and Sheikh, A. (2015). 3D printing in aerospace and its long-term sustainability. Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 10(4), 175-185. https://doi.org/10.1080/17452759.2015.1111 519
- [36]. Han, L. (2023). Current status and prospects of three-dimensional printing application. Applied and Computational Engineering, 11(1), 192-202. https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/11/20230233
- [37]. Salmi, M. (2022). Design and applications of additive manufacturing and 3D printing. Designs, 6(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/designs6010006
- [38]. Radhika, C., Shanmugam, R., Ramoni, M., & BK, G. (2024). A review on additive manufacturing for aerospace application. Materials Research Express, 11(2), 022001. https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ad21ad
- [39]. Gisario, A., Kazarian, M., Martina, F., & Mehrpouya, M. (2019). Metal additive manufacturing in the commercial aviation industry: a review. Journal of Manufacturing

- Systems, 53, 124-149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2019.08.005
- [40]. Gu, D., Shi, X., Poprawe, R., Bourell, D., Setchi, R., & Zhu, J. (2021). Material-structure-performance integrated laser-metal additive manufacturing. Science, 372(6545). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg1487
- [41]. Raja, S., Kaliappan, S., Sekar, S., Patil, P., Usha, R., Manasa, N., ... & Esakkiraj, E. (2022). Polymer filament process parameter optimization with mechanical test and morphology analysis. Advances in Materials Science and Engineering, 2022, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8259804
- [42]. Abdi, M., Ashcroft, I., & Wildman, R. (2018).Design optimisation for additively manufactured automotive component. International Journal of Powertrains, 7(1/2/3),142. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijpt.2018.090371
- [43]. Blyweert, P., Vincent, N., Fierro, V., & Celzard, A. (2021). 3d printing of carbon-based materials: a review. Carbon, 183, 449-485.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2021.07.036
 [44]. Glaskova-Kuzmina, T., Dejus, D., Jātnieks, J., Kruuv, P., Zolotarjovs, A., Einbergs, E., ... & Vanags, E. (2023). Effect of printing direction and post-printing conditions on bending properties of ultem 9085. Journal of Composites Science, 7(8), 316. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs7080316
- [45]. Bruijn, A., Gómez-Gras, G., & Pérez, M. (2021). A comparative analysis of chemical, thermal, and mechanical post-process of fused filament fabricated polyetherimide parts for surface quality enhancement. Materials, 14(19), 5880. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14195880
- [46]. Pandelidi, C., Maconachie, T., Bateman, S., Kelbassa, I., Piegert, S., Leary, M., ... & Brandt, M. (2021). Parametric study on tensile and flexural properties of ultem 1010 specimens fabricated via fdm. Rapid Prototyping Journal, 27(2), 429-451. https://doi.org/10.1108/rpj-10-2019-0274
- [47]. Wang, X., Travis, C., Sorna, M., & Arola, D. (2024). Durability of ultem 9085 in marine environments: a consideration in fused filament fabrication of structural components. Polymers, 16(3), 350. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16030350
- [48]. Tosto, C., Saitta, L., Pergolizzi, E., Blanco, I., Celano, G., & Cicala, G. (2020). Methods for the characterization of polyetherimide based materials processed by fused deposition modelling. Applied Sciences,

- 10(9), 3195. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10093195
- [49]. Wang, X., Travis, C., Sorna, M., & Arola, D. (2024). Durability of ultem 9085 in marine environments: a consideration in fused filament fabrication of structural components. Polymers, 16(3), 350. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16030350
- [50]. Jiao, L., Chua, Z., Moon, S., Song, J., Bi, G., Zheng, H., ... & Koo, J. (2019). Laserinduced graphene on additive manufacturing parts. Nanomaterials, 9(1), 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9010090
- [51]. Ouassil, S., Magri, A., Vanaei, H., & Vaudreuil, S. (2022). Investigating the effect of printing conditions and annealing on the porosity and tensile behavior of 3d-printed polyetherimide material in z-direction. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 140(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/app.53353
- [52]. Gebisa, A. and Lemu, H. (2018). Investigating effects of fused-deposition modeling (fdm) processing parameters on flexural properties of ultem 9085 using designed experiment. Materials, 11(4), 500. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11040500
- [53]. Hernandez, K., O'Brien, S., Bischoff, A., Parmigiani, J., & Roach, D. (2025). The influence of 3d printing parameters on ultem 9085 mechanical properties: a combined experimental and machine learning qualification approach. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-6527800/v1
- [54]. Derevianko, I., Uspensky, B., Aврамов, K., Salenko, O., & Maksymenko-Sheiko, K. (2022). Experimental and numerical analysis of mechanical characteristics of fused deposition processed honeycomb fabricated from pla or ultem 9085. Journal of Sandwich Structures & Materials, 25(2), 264-283. https://doi.org/10.1177/10996362221137292
- [55]. Salazar-Martín, A., García-Granada, A., Reyes, G., Gómez-Gras, G., & Puigoriol-Forcada, J. (2020). Time-dependent mechanical properties in polyetherimide 3d-printed parts are dictated by isotropic performance being accurately predicted by the generalized time hardening model. Polymers, 12(3), 678. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12030678

50 | Page