**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

OPEN ACCESS

# **Contribution To The Molecular Lipophilicity Scale By Qspr Models Of Lipophilicity Prediction**

Ouanlo Ouattara\*, Mamadou Guy-Richard Kone, Thomas Sopi Affi,Kafoumba Bamba, Yafigui Traore, Nahossé Ziao

Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et de Physico-Chimie du Milieu, UFR SFA, Université Nangui Abrogoua, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, République de Côte d'Ivoire. \*Corresponding author : Ouanlo Ouattara

#### ABSTRACT

This work deals with the prediction of the lipophilicity of forty-four (44)aromatic substances whoseexperimental values of lipophilicity are non-existent to date. Using QSPR models of lipophilicpredictionbased on empirical and quantum descriptors the AM1 level, the lipophilicity of these 44 molecules has been predicted by quantum chemistrymethods, thuscontributing to the increase in scale of molecularlipophilicity. The reliability of the prediction of lipophilicity by model 1 at the level of the empirical descriptors 97.84%. The prediction by the model 2 at the level of the quantum descriptors of the AM1 levels 95.60%.

Keywords :Prediction - MolecularLipophilicity - MolecularModeling - QSPR Models - Quantum Chemistry.

Date of Submission: 20-06-2018

Date of acceptance: **09**-07-2018

### I. INTRODUCTION

Molecularlipophilicityis the affinitythat a substance has for fatty substances likelipids. It expresses the bioavailability of a substance in living organisms. Essential parameter in the rationaldesignofdrugs, lipophilicity is intimately linke d to the partition coefficient P of the octanol-water system.However,tsexperimentaldeterminationisdiffi cult or even impossible in some cases. In addition to experimental approaches, there are also several theoreti calapproachestocalculatinglipophilicitywithmultipl e constraints, amongothers : averylimitedbase of experimentallipophilicitydataveryexpensiveequipm ent - dangerousness of certain tests - environmental pollution - ignorance stericeffects and electronic interactionsfailuretoaddresstheconformationalflexib ility of molecules. To solve these problems, Ouanlo Ouattara and al. [1; 2], haveproposed in

earlierworks the contribution of quantum chemistry in the prediction of lipophilicity by the use of empiricaland quantum descriptorsat AM1 level.The aim of thisworkis to predict the lipophilicity of 44 aromatic substances whoseexperimental values are non-existent to date. The QSPR models 1 and 2 respectivelybased on the empirical [1] and quantum descriptorsat AM1 level [2] wereused to predict the lipophilicityofthese44moleculeswithapredictivereli ability of 97.84% for model 1 and 95.60% for model 2.

#### II. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

# 2.1 Presentation of the 44 molecules of unknownlipophilicity

The codedmolecules Mi, i rangingfrom 1 to 44 of unknownexperimentallipophilia [3], are recorded in Table 1.

| COD<br>E | Substance chimique              | Structure 2D  | COD<br>E | Substance<br>chimique            | Structure 2D                       |
|----------|---------------------------------|---|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| M1       | 1-ethyl-3-<br>methylbenzene     | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                    | M23      | 1,3-dimethyl-2-<br>propylbenzene | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>   |
| M2       | 1-ethyl-2,3-<br>dimethylbenzene | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> | M24      | 1-ethyl-2-<br>propylbenzene      | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> |

#### Table 1:Names and 2D structures of the 44 aromaticmolecules.

www.ijera.com

| М3  | 1-ethyl-2,4-<br>dimethylbenzene          | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                          | M25 | 1-ethyl-3-<br>propylbenzene        | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                                       |
|-----|--|--|-----|------------------------------------|--|
| M4  | 2-ethyl-1,4-<br>dimethylbenzene          | H <sub>3</sub> C   | M26 | 1-ethyl-4-<br>propylbenzene        | CH <sub>3</sub>  |
| М5  | 2-ethyl-1,3-<br>dimethylbenzene          | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>   | M27 | 1,6-<br>dimethylnaphthalen<br>e    | H <sub>3</sub> C   |
| M6  | 1-ethyl-2,3,4-<br>trimethylbenzene       | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                          | M28 | 1,2,3-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> |
| M7  | 1-ethyl-2,3,5-<br>trimethylbenzene       | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>         | M29 | 1,2,4-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                    |
| M8  | 2-ethyl-1,3,4-<br>trimethylbenzene       | H <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                         | M30 | 1,2,5-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                                       |
| М9  | 1-ethyl-2,3,4,5-<br>tetramethylbenzene   | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> C CH                       | M31 | 1,2,6-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | H <sub>3</sub> C   |
| M10 | 2-éthyl-1,3,4,5-<br>tetramethylbenzene   | H <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH<br>CH <sub>3</sub>                   | M32 | 1,2,7-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | H <sub>3</sub> C   |
| M11 | 1-ethyl-2,3,4,5,6-<br>pentamethylbenzene | H <sub>3</sub> C<br>H <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub> C | M33 | 1,2,8-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> CH                                       |
| M12 | 1,2-diethylbenzene                       | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH  | M34 | 1,3,5-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH                                 |

| M13 | 1,3-diethylbenzene               | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                    | M35   | 1,3,6-<br>trimethylnaphthalen<br>e             | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>   |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|-------|--|--|
| M14 | 1,4-diethylbenzene               | H <sub>3</sub> C                                      | M36   | 1,2,3-<br>triethylnaphthalene                  | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>  |
| M15 | 1,2-diethyl-3-<br>methylbenzene  | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> | M37   | 1,2,3-triethyl-5-<br>methylnaphthalene         | H <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>  |
| M16 | 1,2-diethyl-4-<br>methylbenzene  | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> | M38   | 1,2,3,4-<br>tetraethylbenzene                  | H <sub>3</sub> C   |
| M17 | 1-methyl-2-<br>propylbenzene     | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH                                 | - M39 | 1,2,3,4-tetraethyl-5-<br>methylbenzene         | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                          |
| M18 | 1-methyl-3-<br>propylbenzene     | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub>                    | M40   | 1,2,3,4-tetraethyl-<br>5,6-<br>dimethylbenzene | H <sub>3</sub> C<br>H <sub>3</sub> C<br>H <sub>3</sub> C<br>H <sub>3</sub> C<br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> |
| M19 | 1-methyl-4-<br>propylbenzene     | CH <sub>3</sub>                                       | M41   | Hexaethylbenzene                               | H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub><br>H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub>   |
| M20 | 1,2-dimethyl-3-<br>propylbenzene | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> | M42   | 1,2,3-trimethyl-4-<br>propylbenzene            | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH<br>CH<br>CH  |
| M21 | 2,4-dimethyl-1-<br>propylbenzene | CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub><br>CH <sub>3</sub> | M43   | 1,2,3,4,5-<br>pentamethyl-6-<br>propylbenzene  | $H_3C$ $CH_3$<br>$H_3C$ $CH_3$<br>$H_3C$ $CH_3$  |

| M22 | 1,4-dimethyl-2-<br>propylbenzene | H <sub>3</sub> C | M44 | 1,4-dipropylbenzene | CH <sub>3</sub> |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|

#### 2.2 Computationaldetails

All the molecules werefully optimized using the GAUSSIAN 03 software [4] for the semiempirical method AM1 of model 2, which made it possible to calculate the quantum descriptors of the AM1 level. The empirical descriptors of model 1 werecalculated using the ACD / CHEMSKETCH software [5].

# 2.3 QSPR models of molecularlipophilicityprediction

ThemolecularlipophilicitypredictionQSPR modelsused to predict the lipophilicity of the moleculesinTable1arederivedfromourpreviouswork [1; 2].Thesemodels 1 and 2 are performing in the prediction of lipophilicitybecausetheysatisfy all Tropsha criteria[6]. Theyalso check normality tests (Shapiro-Wilk test) [7] and autocorrelation tests (Durbin-Watson test) [8].The predictivecapacity of model 1 is 97.84% and that of model 2 is 95.60%. Thesemodels are as follows:

Model 1 :Empiricaldescriptors

$$\begin{split} logP &= -0.\,4547 + 0.\,0217 \cdot V_M + 0.7689 \cdot R_M \\ &\quad -1.\,8745 \cdot P_M \\ n &= 14 \ ; \ R &= 0.9925 \ ; \ R^2 &= 0.9851 \ ; \ s \\ &\quad &= 0.0867 \ ; \ F \\ &\quad &= 220.9188 \ ; \ FIT &= 2.2877 \\ \hline Model 2: \ Quantum \ descriptors \ of \ the \ AM1 \ level \\ logP &= 1.\,9891 - 417.\,8917 \cdot \epsilon_B + 3.\,2938 \cdot \chi \\ &\quad &\quad &\quad + 1.\,8490 \cdot Q \\ n &= 14 \ ; \ R &= 0.9863 \ ; \ R^2 &= 0.9729 \ ; \ s \\ &\quad &= 0.1171 \cdot F \end{split}$$

$$= 0.1171$$
, F  
= 119.4556 ; FIT = 1.2422

2.4 Values of moleculardescriptors of models 1 and 2 The expressions of the molecular descriptors involved in the expressions of models 1 and 2 are given in Tables 2 and 3. Table 4 gives the numerical values of these descriptors.

 Table 2: Expression of empirical descriptors in model 1.

| Empiricaldescriptors         | Notation       | Expression  |
|------------------------------|----------------|---|
| Molecular volume [9]         | V <sub>M</sub> | V <sub>M</sub><br>M                                       |
| Molarrefractivity[10]        | R <sub>M</sub> | $\frac{R_{M}}{-\frac{(n^{2}-1)}{M}} \cdot \frac{M}{-1}$   |
| Molarpolarizability[11 ; 12] | P <sub>N</sub> | $P_M = \frac{P_M}{(\varepsilon_r - 1)} \cdot \frac{M}{M}$ |

Table 3: Expression of quantum descriptors in

| model 2   |                |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Quantum descriptors   | Notation       | Expression   |  |  |  |  |
| Basicitybybydrograbouding[13]                                       | ٤ <sub>B</sub> | $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{g} = 0.01 \cdot [\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{LENO}(H_{2}O) - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{EONO}]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicalelectronegativity[14]                                       | I              | $\chi = \frac{\epsilon_{HOMO} - \epsilon_{LIMO}}{2}$   |  |  |  |  |
| Sumofabsolutesvalues absolues of net electrical charges of Mulliken | Q              |  |  |  |  |  |

| Table 4: | Values mo | oleculardes | criptors ir | n models 1 | l and 2. |
|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|
|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|

| CODE | Model 1des                 | criptors                   |                           | Model 2descriptors            |         |                       |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| CODE | $V_{M}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> ) | $R_{M}$ (cm <sup>3</sup> ) | $P_{M} (10^{-24} cm^{3})$ | $\epsilon_{\rm B}({\rm a.u})$ | χ(a. u) | <b>Q</b> ( <b>e</b> ) |
| M1   | 138.50                     | 40.62                      | 16.10                     | 0.0050                        | -0.1792 | 2.3286                |
| M2   | 154.80                     | 45.45                      | 18.01                     | 0.0050                        | -0.1786 | 2.5586                |

www.ijera.com

| M3  | 154.80 | 45.45 | 18.01 | 0.0049 | -0.1747 | 2.5557 |
|-----|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|
| M4  | 154.80 | 45.45 | 18.01 | 0.0049 | -0.1744 | 2.5547 |
| M5  | 154.80 | 45.45 | 18.01 | 0.0050 | -0.1789 | 2.5580 |
| M6  | 171.00 | 50.27 | 19.93 | 0.0049 | -0.1746 | 2.7870 |
| M7  | 171.00 | 50.27 | 19.93 | 0.0049 | -0.1738 | 2.7860 |
| M8  | 171.00 | 50.27 | 19.93 | 0.0049 | -0.1739 | 2.7879 |
| M9  | 187.30 | 55.10 | 21.84 | 0.0049 | -0.1721 | 3.0148 |
| M10 | 187.30 | 55.10 | 21.84 | 0.0049 | -0.1720 | 3.0172 |
| M11 | 203.60 | 59.92 | 23.75 | 0.0048 | -0.1714 | 3.2560 |
| M12 | 155.00 | 45.35 | 17.97 | 0.0050 | -0.1796 | 2.6273 |
| M13 | 155.00 | 45.35 | 17.97 | 0.0050 | -0.1798 | 2.6250 |
| M14 | 155.00 | 45.35 | 17.97 | 0.0050 | -0.1771 | 2.6222 |
| M15 | 171.30 | 50.17 | 19.89 | 0.0050 | -0.1791 | 2.8600 |
| M16 | 171.30 | 50.17 | 19.89 | 0.0049 | -0.1751 | 2.8566 |
| M17 | 155.00 | 45.25 | 17.94 | 0.0050 | -0.1786 | 2.6467 |
| M18 | 155.00 | 45.25 | 17.94 | 0.0050 | -0.1792 | 2.6488 |
| M19 | 155.00 | 45.25 | 17.94 | 0.0050 | -0.1761 | 2.6453 |
| M20 | 171.30 | 50.08 | 19.85 | 0.0050 | -0.1785 | 2.8799 |
| M21 | 171.30 | 50.08 | 19.85 | 0.0049 | -0.1744 | 2.8766 |
| M22 | 171.30 | 50.08 | 19.85 | 0.0049 | -0.1742 | 2.8755 |
| M23 | 171.30 | 50.08 | 19.85 | 0.0050 | -0.1787 | 2.8795 |
| M24 | 171.50 | 49.98 | 19.81 | 0.0050 | -0.1791 | 3.2691 |
| M25 | 171.50 | 49.98 | 19.81 | 0.0050 | -0.1796 | 2.9453 |
| M26 | 171.50 | 49.98 | 19.81 | 0.0050 | -0.1768 | 2.9424 |
| M27 | 156.00 | 53.74 | 21.30 | 0.0048 | -0.1523 | 2.5857 |
| M28 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1511 | 2.8204 |
| M29 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1496 | 2.8181 |
| M30 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1500 | 2.8226 |
| M31 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1510 | 2.8170 |
| M32 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1514 | 2.8183 |
| M33 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1487 | 2.8199 |
| M34 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1502 | 2.8240 |
| M35 | 172.30 | 58.57 | 23.21 | 0.0047 | -0.1518 | 2.8207 |
| M36 | 187.80 | 54.90 | 21.76 | 0.0050 | -0.1788 | 3.1735 |
| M37 | 204.10 | 59.72 | 23.67 | 0.0049 | -0.1743 | 3.4011 |
| M38 | 220.70 | 64.44 | 25.54 | 0.0049 | -0.1747 | 3.7035 |
| M39 | 236.90 | 69.27 | 27.46 | 0.0049 | -0.1730 | 3.9204 |
| M40 | 253.20 | 74.09 | 29.37 | 0.0049 | -0.1725 | 4.1611 |
| M41 | 286.30 | 83.54 | 33.12 | 0.0049 | -0.1736 | 4.7645 |
| M42 | 187.50 | 54.90 | 21.76 | 0.0049 | -0.1744 | 3.1085 |
| M43 | 220.10 | 64.55 | 25.59 | 0.0048 | -0.1714 | 3.5793 |
| M44 | 188.00 | 54.61 | 21.65 | 0.0050 | -0.1766 | 3.2626 |

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the prediction are shown in Table 5. According to Table 5, the predicted values obtained by the models 1 and 2 are all positive, thusshowingthat these aromatic molecules are lipophilic.Themodel1basedonempiricaldescriptorsg ives values of logP substantiallyidentical to those of the model 2 established on the basis of the quantum descriptors of the AM1 level.

**Table 5:**Prediction of the lipophilicity of 44 aromatic compounds whose non-existent experimental data.

| COD | Chemical substance          | Model 1<br>logP <sub>pred</sub> | Model 2<br>logP <sub>pred</sub> | CODE | Chemical substance               | <b>Model 1</b><br>logP <sub>pred</sub> | <b>Model 2</b><br>logP <sub>pred</sub> |
|-----|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| M1  | 1-ethyl-3-<br>methylbenzene | 3.60                            | 3.61                            | M23  | 1,3-dimethyl-2-<br>propylbenzene | 4.56                                   | 4.64                                   |

*Ouanlo Ouattara Journal of Engineering Research and Application ISSN : 2248-9622, Vol. 8, Issue 7 (Part -I) July 2018, pp 55-61* 

|           | 1 other 23                               |      |      |     | 1 othyl 2   |      |      |
|-----------|--|------|------|-----|---|------|------|
| M2        | dimethylbenzene                          | 4.09 | 4.05 | M24 | propylbenzene                                     | 4.56 | 5.35 |
| М3        | 1-ethyl-2,4-<br>dimethylbenzene          | 4.09 | 4.08 | M25 | 1-ethyl-3-<br>propylbenzene                       | 4.56 | 4.75 |
| M4        | 2-ethyl-1,4-<br>dimethylbenzene          | 4.09 | 4.08 | M26 | 1-ethyl-4-<br>propylbenzene                       | 4.56 | 4.77 |
| М5        | 2-ethyl-1,3-<br>dimethylbenzene          | 4.09 | 4.04 | M27 | 1,6-<br>dimethylnaphthalene                       | 4.32 | 4.28 |
| M6        | 1-ethyl-2,3,4-<br>trimethylbenzene       | 4.55 | 4.51 | M28 | 1,2,3-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.73 |
| M7        | 1-ethyl-2,3,5-<br>trimethylbenzene       | 4.55 | 4.52 | M29 | 1,2,4-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.74 |
| <b>M8</b> | 2-ethyl-1,3,4-<br>trimethylbenzene       | 4.55 | 4.52 | M30 | 1,2,5-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.74 |
| М9        | 1-ethyl-2,3,4,5-<br>tetramethylbenzene   | 5.04 | 4.96 | M31 | 1,2,6-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.73 |
| M10       | 2-ethyl-1,3,4,5-<br>tetramethylbenzene   | 5.04 | 4.97 | M32 | 1,2,7-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.73 |
| M11       | 1-ethyl-2,3,4,5,6-<br>pentamethylbenzene | 5.52 | 5.42 | M33 | 1,2,8-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.75 |
| M12       | 1,2-diethylbenzene                       | 4.09 | 4.16 | M34 | 1,3,5-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.75 |
| M13       | 1,3-diethylbenzene                       | 4.09 | 4.15 | M35 | 1,3,6-<br>trimethylnaphthalene                    | 4.81 | 4.73 |
| M14       | 1,4-diethylbenzene                       | 4.09 | 4.17 | M36 | 1,2,3-<br>triethylnaphthalene                     | 5.04 | 5.19 |
| M15       | 1,2-diethyl-3-<br>methylbenzene          | 4.55 | 4.60 | M37 | 1,2,3-triethyl-5-<br>methylnaphthalene            | 5.52 | 5.65 |
| M16       | 1,2-diethyl-4-<br>methylbenzene          | 4.55 | 4.63 | M38 | 1,2,3,4-<br>tetraethylbenzene                     | 6.01 | 6.21 |
| M17       | 1-methyl-2-<br>propylbenzene             | 4.07 | 4.20 | M39 | 1,2,3,4-tetraethyl-5-<br>methylbenzene            | 6.47 | 6.63 |
| M18       | 1-methyl-3-<br>propylbenzene             | 4.07 | 4.20 | M40 | 1,2,3,4-tetraethyl-5,6-<br>dimethylbenzene        | 6.95 | 7.09 |
| M19       | 1-methyl-4-<br>propylbenzene             | 4.07 | 4.23 | M41 | Hexaethylbenzene                                  | 7.91 | 8.19 |
| M20       | 1,2-dimethyl-3-<br>propylbenzene         | 4.56 | 4.64 | M42 | 1,2,3-trimethyl-4-<br>propylbenzene               | 5.04 | 5.11 |
| M21       | 2,4-dimethyl-1-<br>propylbenzene         | 4.56 | 4.67 | M43 | 1,2,3,4,5-<br>pentamethyl-<br>6-<br>propylbenzene | 5.99 | 6.02 |
| M22       | 1,4-dimethyl-2-<br>propylbenzene         | 4.56 | 4.67 | M44 | 1,4-dipropylbenzene                               | 5.03 | 5.36 |

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

The contribution of quantum chemistry in the prediction of molecularlipophilicitysolves the thorny question of multiple constraints related to the experimental and theoretical determination of the lipophilicity of organic compounds.The establishment of QSPR models for predictinglipophilia by quantum chemicalmethods in ourpreviousworkallowed us to predict the molecularlipophilicity of 44 chemical substances whoseexperimental values are unknown to date. The model 1 based on the empirical descriptors has a predictive capacity of 97.84% and the model 2 based on the AM1 quantum descriptors has a predictive capacity of 95.60%. The predicted values in this work are therefore reliable above 95%, contributing significantly to an increase in the database on molecular lipophilicity.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. O.OuattaraandN.Ziao,ComputationalChemis try,5, 2017, 38-50. https://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cc.2017.51004
- [2]. Ouanlo OUATTARA et al. Int. Journal Of Engineering Research and Application. ISSN: 2248-9622. Vol. 7, Issue 5, (Part-I) May 2017, pp. 50-56. https://www.ijera.com
- [3]. Sangster Research Laboratories, (Sherbrooke ST. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1H7, 1989).
- Gaussian 03, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. [4]. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J.

- [5]. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, (2004).
- [6]. ACD/LogP,v.10,AdvancedChemistryDevelo pment, Inc., (Toronto, On, Canada, 2007).
- [7]. A.Tropsha,P.Gramatica,V.K.Gombar,QSAR Comb.Sci.,22,2003,6977.<u>https://doi.org/10.1</u> 002/qsar.200390007
- [8]. Shapiro S. S. and Wilk M. B., Biometrika, 52, 3 and 4, 591-611, (1965).
- [9]. Durbin J. and Watson G. S., II. Biometrika, 38(1-2): 159-179, (1950,1951).
- [10]. Michael L. Connolly, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 1985, 1118-1124.
- [11]. M. H. Abraham, G. S. Whiting, R. M. Doherty, W. J. Shuely, J. Am. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1990,1451-1460.
- [12]. R.Clausius, Die mechanischeU'grmetheorie.2. P. 62 (1879).
- [13]. O. F. Mossotti, Mem. Di mathem. E fisica in Moderna. 24 11. p. 49 (1850).
- [14]. Abraham, M. H.; Chem. Soc. Rev. 22, 73-83 (1993).<u>https://doi.org/10.1039/cs993220007</u> <u>3</u>
- [15]. G. I. Cardenas-Jiron, S. Gutierrez-Oliva, J. Melin, A. Toro-Labbe, J. Phys.Chem. A. 101, 4621-4627 (1997).

Ouanlo Ouattara "Contribution To The Molecular Lipophilicity Scale By Qspr Models Of Lipophilicity Prediction "International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), vol. 8, no.7, 2018, pp.55-61