RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

A survey conducted on cotton and Bt cotton farming practices, with pesticide application: a case study

Suneetha Chatla* and Sumanth Kumar Kunda

Department of Environmental studies, science college, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-52210, Andhra Pradesh Department of Zoology, Science College, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur-52210, Andhra Pradesh

Corresponding author: uneetha Chatla

ABSTRACT

Agricultural produce has to increase to fulfill the necessity of the farmer and population demand due to this reason pesticide and fertilizers cane be used to increase the crop yield and agricultural produce. The excessive use of chemicals to control pest incidence, though increased yield levels within a short span, it has been the major contributor to environmental degradation as measured in terms of adverse effects on human health, soil and water quality, local biodiversity and ecological balance. Anyone who uses pesticides or is present when pesticides are sprayed is at risk for dangerous exposure. Present research focused and suggested. They are airborne thus they are found long distances from the site application. Exposure of wildlife over an extended period of time to pesticide levels not immediately lethal may result in chronic poisoning.

Keywords: Pesticides, exposure, risk, wildlife, effects.

Date of Submission: 16-10-2017

Date of acceptance: 31-10-2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, the major obstacles for expansion of cotton yields have been the inadequacy of water and attack by insects. To overcome the damage caused by the insect pests, chemical fertilizers, pesticides, insecticides etc. were usually applied to the cotton crops. The excessive use of chemicals to control pest incidence, though increased yield levels within a short span, it has been the major contributor to environmental degradation as measured in terms of adverse effects on human health, soil and water quality, local biodiversity and ecological balance (Anon, 2010; Gregg elliote 2015). Pesticides being used in agricultural tracts are released into the environment and come into human contact directly and indirectly (Mohamad, 2017). Human beings are exposed to pesticides found in environmental media (Soil, water, air and food) by different routes of exposure such as inhalation, ingestion and dermal contact. Exposure to pesticides results in acute and chronic health problems. These range from temporary acute effects like irritation of eyes, excessive salivation to chronic diseases like cancer, reproductive and developmental disorders etc. (Kong-Ming 2007). The number of sprays per crop season might vary from 5 to 20 or more. It is estimated that insecticides worth about ` 30 billion (US \$ 660 million) are used annually in Indian agriculture of which about `16 billion are spent for

the control of cotton pests and of this `12 billion against bollworm alone. In terms of volume, about 54 percentage of the total insecticides used in Indian agriculture are sprayed on cotton crop. This indicates the economic importance of bollworms in general and H. armigra in particular. Despite such huge efforts, bollworm control was not successful because a pest like H.armigra had developed resistance to most of the currently recommended insecticides (Shanmugham et.al., 2007). This bewildering critical situation had led the farmers to a series of social and economic risks especially the small-scale farmers in developing countries like India. Many small-scale farmers in the south India ill or die due to exposure of pesticides and lack of adequate equipment and knowledge about how to handle pesticides safely. Medical costs and inability to work were a severe economic burgen on affected farmers. The indiscriminate and excessive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides caused soil degradation reducing its nutrient and water retention capacity. As a consequence farmers experienced in declining yields and had to increase production inputs. The resistance of some pests and the appearance of secondary pests would add to the multiplication of the problem (, Insect-Plant Interactions in a Crop Protection Perspective (2017)).

To pay for the increasing costs of farm inputs, small-scale farmers were obliged to credit from banks or cotton buyers or money lenders and mere and more farmers would be driven into indebtedness (Appiah et al., 2016). This had frustrated the farmers, scientists and policy makers alike. Bt-cotton came as a boon at a time when they were in deep dungeon of crisis and desperately looking for an alternative and dependable control measure (Phipps and Park, (2002)). Keeping in a view of the economic importance of cotton bollworms and the benefits that Bt-cotton can offer to the growers.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS Operationalization of variables

There were different types of variables used in the present study under five major sections *viz* general information, pesticide usage pattern, information on health status of Bt-cotton growers, economic status of Bt-cotton growers and social status of Bt-cotton growers. The description of the each important variable (Table 3.3) was depicted and the method of operationalisation was illustrated as follows.

Sl.No	Objective	Name of the Variables	Tools used for data collection
1	To analyze	Pesticide usage pattern over	Primary data was collected
	environmental	years in Bt-cottonand non	through the interview schedule
	benefits and to	Bt-cotton farming in Guntur	developed with the study aided
	assess the pesticide	district	with specially designed
	usage pattern in Bt		questionaries'
	cotton farming		
			Secondary data was generated
			from published data
2	To analyze human	Health problems include	Primary data was collected
	health beefits of Bt	1, general weakness	through the interview schedule
	cotton farming	2, coughing	developed with the study aided
		3,nausea	with specially designed
		4, Diarrhea	questionaries' Secondary data
		5, Asthma	was generated from published
		6, eye irritation	data
		7, Stomach ache	
		8, blurred vision	
		9, wounds	
		10, severe cold	
		11, respiratory problems	
		12, sleeplessness	
		13, fever and	
		14, skin irritation	

III. RESULTS

Pesticide usage pattern in Guntur district

It was evidenced from the statistics (Table 4.1.4a) provided by department of Agriculture on pesticide usages in Guntur district over years, the drastic reduction occurred in 2002-03, the year Bt was introduced. During 2001-02, the quantity of the plant protection chemicals utilized in cotton growing

Table No: 4.1.1	pesticide usage	pattern of Bt-cotton
	farmers	

Tarmers								
Sl.No	Duration	Pesticides taken						
1	Upto 60 DAS*	Imidachloprid						
	_	Acetamiprid						
		Dimethoate						
		Acephate						
2	60-90 DAS	Novaluce						
		Acetamiprid						
		Triazophos						
		Lufenuron						

was 2681 technical grade. It was reduced to 960 t during 2002-03. Further gradually but to a greater extent it came down to 649 tons, 666 tons, 162 tons, 64 tons, 72 tons, 52 tons during 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08, 2008-09 respectively. It is a clear indication that the usage of different groups of plant protection chemical has been steadily comedown with increasing adoption of Bt Cotton.

		Acephate
		Intreprid
		Streptomycilin
		Monochrotophos
3	90-120 DAS	Imidachlophos
		Acephate
		Triazophos
		Novaluron
		Acetamiprid
4	120 DAS	Acephate
		Streptomycilin

www.ijera.com

Suneetha Chatla .Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 7, Issue 10, (Part -6) October 2017, pp.58-65

DAS=	Days	after	sowing	Bt-cottonseed
	~		0	

			S	S
1	Up to 60 DAS	428	48	66

Table No: 4.1.2. Pesticide usage pattern in Bt cotton farmers in Guntur district

Name	of the	1998-	1999	2000-	200	200	20	003	200	04 200	5	2006	2007	7 20)0 %	change
Chem	icals	1999	-	2001	1-	2-	-	004	-	-	6	-	-	8-	b_0	etween
			2000		200	200	20	/04	200	200	0	2007	2000	9 20		2008-
					2	5									0	9
Synthe	etic	64	60	59	120	54	27	1	28	13		4	4	3	4.	.69
pyreth	riods															
Other		1020	1012	1002	209	674	45	51	439) 108		42	47	38	3 3.	.73
Insect	icides				6											
weedi	cides	128	121	134	84	43	23	3	26	11		5	10	4	3.	.13
fungic	ides	423	421	441	361	177	14	2	167	7 20		9	1	7	1.	.65
Roder	nticides	18	17	16	20	12	6		6	10		4	3	3	10	6.67
Neem	based	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	2	1	-	
pestici	ides						_							-		
regula	growtn tor	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-		2	-	
total		1653	1631	1652	268	960	64	9	666	5 162		64	72	58	3 3	51
total		1000	1001	1002	1	100	0.		000	, 10-		0.				
S1.N	Durat	i Tota	ıl F	ercenta	Perce	enta		2		60-90	4	593	89		9	95(5%
0	on	no o	f g	e of	ge of	•				DAS					t	actericid
		spra	ye ii	nsectici	pesti	cide									e	e)
		rs	d	e	s aga	inst		3		90-120	4	548	92	, ,	7	8.3
			a	gainst	sucki	ng				DAS					(21.7%
			S	ucking	pests	-									f	ungicide
			р	ests	-)	U
1	Up to	395	1	00	100			4		After		397	10	0	6	66.7(16.6
	60									120					t	actericid
	DAS									DAS					e	and
2	60-90	212	6	0(35%	95(59	%									1	6.7%
	DAS		f	or	bacte	erici									f	ungicide
			S	podopt	de))	
			e	ra						Averag	9	9.83				
			ta	argeted)						e						
3	90-120	182	1	00	83.7(16.7		Tał	ole 4	4.1.4a pl	ant	protecti	on ch	nemica	als-coi	npositio
	DAS				%					f	rom	1998-9	9 to 2	2008-0	09	
					fungi	cide										
					s)			Sou	rce	Agricul	ture	action j	olan ((2009-	-10)	
4	After	84	1	00	66.7											
	120				(16.6	,	Table 4.1.4.b Analysis of variance (ANOVA)					VA) of				
	DAS				bacte	erici	i plant protection chemicals									
					de an	ıd		Sou	r	SS	d	MS	F		P-	F crit
					16.79	%		ce o	of		f				valu	
					fungi	cide		Var	i						e	
					s)			atio	n							
	Avera	4.36	,					Rov	v	32192	6	53654	4 1	0.07	6.98	2.239
	ge							s		54238		2373	3	8631	E-08	8 81489

Table No: 4.1.3 pesticide usage pattern in non Bt cotton farmers in Guntur district

Sl.N	Duratio	Total	Percentag	Percentag
0	n	noof	e of	e of
		sprayer	insecticide	pesticide
		S	s against	against
			Bollworm	Bollworm

10783 45.143 1 1.377 59038 958 5

2.024

Erro	35152	6	53260		
r	15.19	6	.8362		
total	79206	8			
	14571	3			

www.ijera.com

Colu

mns

11861

1

0.03

1.9369

57261

61 | P a g e

The synthetic pyrethroids use was 120 tons during 2001-02 and the present usage is 3 t in 2008-09. The usage of other insecticides had also comedown heavily from 2096 t in 2001-02 to 38 t in 2008-09.The results of ANOVA (Table 4.1.4b), revealed that there were significant differences among the rows which indicate differences among the plant protection chemicals i.e., synthetic pyrethroids, other insecticides, weedicides, etc. Further significant differences do find among the columns *i.e.* during the years from 1998-99 to 2009-10, the usage of plant protection chemicals was found to be different.

Consumption of weedicides

www.ijera.com

It was observed from the (Fig.2) that weedicides usage in cotton farming was fluctuated during 1998-2001 between 128 tons and -134 tons.

Later, it recduced to 84 tons during 2001-02 and registered a study decline which had been recorded till 2009-10 with slight fluctations during the years from 2005 to 2008. The decline in weedicide consumption could be attributed to Bt-technology adoption.

Consumption of synthetic pyrethroids

It was proved (Fig.3) that the usage of synthetic pyrethroids was reduced from 2002-03 onwards. During the years from 1948 to 2001, there had been fluctuations but from 2002 onwards the reduction has been diminished from 120 tons to mere 3 tons during 2009-10. It was clearly observed that the usage of synthetic pyrethroids had gone to an extreme peak stages during 2000-01 which touched 120 tons during the two preceded crop years and then drastically declined from 134 tons to 60 tons in the very next year (2001-2002), because of Bt-cotton introduction.





DOI: 10.9790/9622-0710065865

Other insecticides

The peak usage of insecticides was observed (Fig.4) during 2001-02 with 2096 tons which has been doubled by earlier three years average (1998-2001). The usage of insecticides declined suddenly to 674 tons during the very next year (2002-03) and further tremendously reduced to 35 tons by 2009-10.

Consumption of fungicides

The usage of fungicides (Fig.5) was also fluctuated between 421 tons and 441 tons during the years 1998 to 2001. It further reduced to 361 by 2001-02 and tremendously got reduced to a negligible quantity of 4 tons by 2009-10.

Consumption of neem based pesticides

Positive indication of the usage of neem based pesticides was observed (Fig.5), which showed that the usage of neem based pesticides touched 2 tons during 2007-08 when compared to the previous years which recorded zero usage. Position and during the next two consecutive years this usage has got stabilized. The usage of neem based pesticides could be attributed to education of synthetic pesticide and increased awareness towards advanced scientific technology.





The results showed that usage of plant growth regulators had reached to 5tons by 2007-08

Plant growth regulators

www.ijera.com

DOI: 10.9790/9622-0710065865

from a position of zero usage during the 9 preceding years between 1998-99 to 2006-2007. But this usage had recorded diminishing scale in 2008-09 with 2 tons and further declined to 1 ton by 2009-10 during 2007-08 from previous years nil position. It gives an induction that knowledge on usage of non-toxic chemicals increased amongst cotton farmers. To summarise the environmental benefits of Bt-cotton, it is obviously known that various types and kinds of chemical contented synthetic pesticides will be sprayed over cotton plants to extract higher yields and protect the plants from the pests or insects which destroy the cotton crop completely. An extreme environmental exposure to these poisonous pesticides has led to dreadful damage creating environmental imbalances and deteriorated the eco system. At that

moment of environmental crisis, genetically modified cotton seed has been introduced which have been affording to conserve the ecosystem. Number of sprays for Bt cotton on an average is 4.36 (Table 4.1.2) while it was 9.83 (Table 4.1.3) for non Btcotton. It was clearly observed that the usage of toxic pesticides and insecticides has substantially got reduced to a greater extent after the adoption Btseed, besides reducing environmental cotton exposure to chemical insecticides, as observed from both the primary and the secondary data pertaining to the usage of synthetic pesticides by the farmers in growing cotton crop in Guntur district. It is evident that the usage of these pesticides has been very less in Bt cotton. Since the







IV. DISCUSSION

Major environmental benefits could be attributed to decrease of 50 percent in the number of insecticide sprays per season, which in turn reduced insecticide residues that could potentially runoff into watersheds and aquifers. A decrease of 14 sprays in China (from 28 to 14 sprays), 7 in South Africa, and 2 in USA. Global insecticide savings attributed to Bt cotton in 2001 were 10.500 MT of insecticide active ingredient equivalent to 13% of the 81,200 MT (a.i) of all cotton insecticides used globally in 2001 (Anders et al., 2014). According to department of agriculture Andhra Pradesh State, India during 2001-02 the quantity of plant protection chemicals utilized in cotton growing area was 2681 tons technical grade. It was reduced to 52 tons during the 2008-09 respectively (Tulsi Bhardwaj. and Sharma, (2013). The important aspects of the environmental debate surrounding the introduction of G.M. crops and that in their potential reduction in pesticides use in the European Union 50% reduction of pesticide spraying resulting from the introduction of Bt-cotton varieties. (Phipps and Park, 2008). Hence, it is important to know the reduction in pesticide use can be linked to improve the surrounding environment.

Quaim and Alinde (2005) reported that they had empirically analyzed the effects of Bt cotton on pesticide use and productivity in Argentina. The farm survey revealed that the Bt technology lead to a considerable decline in pesticide application rates (Sadashivappa, (2015). On an average Bt technology adopting farmer use 50% less insecticide on their Bt plots than on plots grown with conventional cotton. All most all of these reductions occur include in highly toxic chemicals, with concomitant positive effects for the environment (Shetty, (2014; Mark Lynas, (2017). Moreover, Bt cotton adopters benefit from significantly higher yields compared to conventional cotton due to insufficient pest control methods. It was also observed from the present study that with introduction of Bt-cotton, number of sprays reduced to 4.36 from 9.83 which could be attributed to the environmental benefits associated with introduction of Bt-cotton technology (Kranthi et al., 2012). Bt-cotton farming has reduced pesticide sprayings by 172 million Kg and reduced the environmental toot print associated with pesticide use by 14 percent (Saravanan, (2016). The Bt technology has also significantly reduced the release of greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture which is equivalent to removing 5 million cars from roads (Brookes and Barfoot, 2004). GM crops have contributed to a significant reduction in global environmental impact of production agriculture. Since1996, the use of pesticide was reduced by 224 million kg of active ingredient (6.9% reduction) and the overall environmental impact associated pesticide use on these crops was reduced to 15.3 percent (Brookes and Barfoot, (2015). In absolute terms the

largest environmental gain has been associated with the adoption of Bt cotton. Phipps and Park, (2002) stated that despite the limitations in the analysis, the overall complexities of the debate that the authors believed the GM technology has the potential to markedly reduce overall pesticide use. Further, if less chemical is used and the number of sprav applications are reduced, there would be a considerable saving in support of energy required for crop production (Brookes and Barfoot, (2016). According to Kline, a New Jersey based consulting firm, analyzed the future trends in pesticide use in the USA during the year 2009. Their analyses of the market indicated that by 2009, Bt and insect protected crops would contribute to annually 20 million and 6 million kg of herbicide and insecticide active ingredient respectively.

V. CONCLUSION:

In a recent survey, conducted in the USA showed that about 75% of people surveyed, said that they approved the use of biotechnology to produce insect protected crops which in turn reduced the use of pesticides. This is supported by studies carried out in Canada by the University of Guelph. According to their studies the public was offered the choice of either buying conventional or GM sweet corn and potatoes. A list of sprays used on the produce was clearly visible for the public to see. Under these circumstances 60% of the public preferred to buy GM rather than conventional produce. The authors of these studies expressed their interest to observe the attitude of Europe Union consumers towards G.M. and Non G.M. varieties. From all these, the expected hypothesis "the adoption of Bt-cotton farming will result in positive environmental effects, prevents the environmental pollution and stabilizes the eco system", has been evidently proved to be true.

REFERENCES:

- Brookes G and Barfoot P. (2016). Environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996-2014: Impacts on pesticide use and carbon emissions, GM Crops Food. 2016 Apr 2;7(2):84-116
- [2] Brookes G, Barfoot P. (2015). Environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996-2013: Impacts on pesticide use and carbon emissions. GM Crops Food; 6(2):103-33.
- [3] Pesticide studies must look beyond bees and crops, expert says, (2016).Researchers need to look 'very broadly' at how neonicotinoids can affect bees, expert says, CBC.
- [4] Tulsi Bhardwaj. and Sharma J.P. (2013). Impact of Pesticides Application in Agricultural Industry: An Indian Scenario,

www.ijera.com

International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science Technology, Vol (4)8:817-822.

- [5] Anders S. Huseth and Russell L. Groves (2014). Environmental Fate of Soil Applied Neonicotinoid Insecticides in an Irrigated Potato Agroecosystem, PLoS One; 9(5).
- [6] Vasant P. Gandhi, Dinesh Jain ().Introduction of Biotechnology in India's Agriculture: Impact, Performance, springer book.
- [7] Prakash Sadashivappa, (2015).Socioeconomic Impacts of Bt Cotton Adoption in India: Evidence from Panel Data, AgBioForum Journal Agrobiotechnology Management and Economics; Volume 18(2):
- [8] Shetty P.K. (2014). Organic farming and sustainability, sps.
- [9] Mark Lynas, (2017) Bt brinjal in Bangladesh, Environmental news and comment.
- [10] Saravanan S. (2016). Bt cotton in India, pesticide use and environmental impact in

India, International Journal of Applied Research 2016; 2(7): 829-832.

- [11] Phipps R.H. and Park J.R. (2002). Environmental benefits of genetically modified crops: Global and European perspectives on their ability to reduce pesticide use, Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences, 11: 1-18.
- [12] Eric Kwadwo Appiah, Richard Baah-Mintah, Ellen Owusu-Adjei, (2016). Effects of Credit on Agricultural Inputs and Technology in the Nkoranza North District, Ghana, American Journal of Rural Development Vol. 4(6):134-142.
- [13] Science, Insect-Plant Interactions in a Crop Protection Perspective (2017).
- [14] Mahmoud, (2017). Nato Science For Peace And Security Series C: Environmental Security] Exposure And Risk Assessment Of Chemical Pollution — Contemporary Methodology
- [15] Gregg Elliott, K. (2015). The Effects of Fertilizers & Pesticides.

International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) is **UGC approved** Journal with Sl. No. 4525, Journal no. 47088. Indexed in Cross Ref, Index Copernicus (ICV 80.82), NASA, Ads, Researcher Id Thomson Reuters, DOAJ.

Suneetha Chatla. "A survey conducted on cotton and Bt cotton farming practices, with pesticide application: a case study." International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), vol. 7, no. 10, 2017, pp. 58–65.