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ABSTRACT
Submerged arc welding is most widely used in industries and research organizations. In this work the effect of various parameters on Nickel element transfer was studied. L9 Orthogonal array was used & three factors Welding Current, Arc Voltage, Welding Speed were taken. Test material was AISI SS 304 plates. It is concluded that welding current is the most significant factor for the transfer of Nickel element to the weld metal. It is also concluded that with an increase in the value of arc voltage & welding speed Nickel element shows a decreasing trend.
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I. INTRODUCTION
In industries and research organizations most widely used welding methods are shield metal arc welding (SMAW), gas metal arc welding (GMAW), gas tungsten arc welding (GTAW) and submerged arc welding (SAW). The SAW process is often preferred because it offers high production rate, high melting efficiency, ease of automation and low operator skill requirement. It was first used in industries in the mid 1930’s as a single-wire welding system[1]. The operating variables used in the SAW process results in varying heat input in the weldment. The consequence of this is the deterioration of the chemical constituents of the weld bead. Therefore, the properties of the parent metal cannot adequately match those of the weldment to ensure good performance in service, especially in low temperature services. The control parameters are (i) Welding current (ii) Arc Voltage (iii) Weld speed.[2] Nickel improves toughness, adds a solid solution hardening effect and increases quench hardenability [3]. It is also believed to influence the stacking fault energy of ferrite in such a manner that plastic deformation is accommodated at low temperatures [4]. This study is all about to find out the most contributing factor for transfer of nickel element in the weld bead.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY
Pandey et al (1994) showed in their work that welding current and voltage have an appreciable influence on element transfer, as well as on weld composition. Weldment properties such as strength, toughness and solidification cracking behaviour are affected by chemical composition of the weld.[5] Chandel et al (1997) through their research paper presented theoretical predictions of the effect of current, electrode polarity, electrode diameter and electrode extension on the melting rate, bead height, bead width and weld penetration, in submerged-arc welding.[6] Khalil et al (1997) described cracking behaviour during the submerged arc welding of medium carbon steel plates and found that the cracking susceptibility increases with an increase in the welding current and decreases with an increase in the welding speed or the electrode wire feed rate. It also increases with increases in the plate rolling reduction ratio and with decrease in the plate thickness.[7] Gunaraj & Murugan (1999a) studied the effect of controllable process variables on the heat input and the area of the heat-affected zone (HAZ) for bead-on-plate and bead-on joint welding using mathematical models developed for the submerged arc welding of pipes. A comparative study of the area of the heat-affected zone between bead-on-plate and bead-on-joint welding was then carried out.[8] Gunaraj & Murugan (1999b), Murugan & Gunaraj (2005) again addressed the main problem faced in the manufacture of pipes by the SAW process regarding the selection of the optimum combination of input variables for achieving the required qualities of weld. They suggested the solution by the development of mathematical models through effective and strategic planning and the execution of experiments by RSM.[9,10] Tušek (2000) worked on mathematical modelling of melting rate in twin-wire welding for the first time and found his models were very accurate practically.[11] A multi wire SAW process was modelled by Wen et al (2001) using a general purpose finite element package for thick wall line pipes. It was shown that the geometric distortion and residual stresses and strains can be minimized through process optimization.[12] Pandey (2004) proposed a relationship between welding current and
direct SAW process parameters using two level half factorial design. Interactive effects of direct parameters were also studied.[13] The study performed by Karaoğlu & Seçgin (2008) focuses on the sensitivity analysis of parameters and fine tuning requirements of the parameters for optimum weld bead geometry. Changeable process parameters such as welding current, welding voltage and welding speed are used as design variables. Effects of all three design parameters on the bead width and bead height show that even small changes in these parameters play an important role in the quality of welding operation. The results also reveal that the penetration is almost non-sensitive to the variations in voltage and speed.[14] Dhas & Kumanan (2011) used Taguchi’s design of experiments and regression analysis to establish input–output relationships of the process. By this relationship, an attempt was made to minimize weld bead width, a good indicator of bead geometry, using optimization procedures based on the genetic algorithm (GA) and particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm to determine optimal weld parameters.[15] Ghosh et al. (2011a) addressed the issue associated with the uncertainties involved with the heat affected zone (HAZ) in and around the weldment produced by SAW process. The most intriguing issue is about HAZ softening that imparts some uncertainties in the welded quality. It increases the probability of fatigue failures at the weakest zones caused by the heating and cooling cycle of the weld zone. They assessed the heat affected zone of submerged arc welding of structural steel plates through the analysis of the grain structure by means of digital image processing techniques. It was concluded that the grains are predominantly of smaller variety and the counts for larger grain are almost negligible. The absence of larger size grains in the image vouch for the soundness of the weld in comparison to the competing welding methodologies of structural steel plates.[16] Ghosh et al. (2011b, c) used graphical technique to predict submerged arc welding yield parameters and studied the effect of main factors, viz. current, wire feed rate, travel speed and stick out and the interactions among the main factors on the welding bead parameters. The interactions depicted the level of confounded character of the main factors with respect to the significant yield parameters of the process.[17,18] A series of measurements was carried out by Shen et al. (2012) on specimens of submerged arc welded plates of ASTM A709 Grade 50 steel. The bead reinforcement, bead width, penetration depth, HAZ size, deposition area and penetration area increased with increasing heat input but the bead contact angle decreased with it. The electrode melting efficiency increased initially and then decreased with increasing heat input but the plate melting efficiency and percentage dilution changed only slightly with it. Cooling time exhibited a very good linear relationship with the total nugget area, heat transfer boundary length, and nugget parameter.[19]

III. TAGUCHI’S PHILOSOPHY

Taguchi’s philosophy is an efficient tool for the design of high quality manufacturing systems. Dr. Genichi Taguchi, a Japanese quality management consultant, has developed a method based on orthogonal array experiments, which provide much-reduced variance for the experiment with optimum setting of process control parameters. Thus the integration of design of experiments (DOE) with parametric optimization of process is achieved in the Taguchi method.

This will provide desired results. The desired results refer to the acceptable quality parameters of the product. For welded joint, this will mean desired mechanical properties of the joint, which-in turn-depends on bead geometry. Again, control of the process parameters will lead to optimal bead.

An orthogonal array (OA) provides a set of well balanced (minimum experimental runs) experiments and Taguchi’s signal-to-noise ratios (S/N), which are logarithmic functions of desired output; serve as objective functions for optimization. This helps in data analysis and prediction of optimum results. The steps involved in the Taguchi method are as follows.[20-23]

Step 1 Formulation of the problem.
Step 2 Identification of control factors, noise factors and signal factors.
Step 3 Selection of factor levels, possible interactions and degrees of freedom associated with each factor and the interaction effects.
Step 4 Design of an appropriate orthogonal array
Step 5 Experimentation and data collection.
Step 6 Statistical analysis and interpretation of experimental results.
Step 7 Conducting confirmatory tests

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup was Submerged Arc Welding Machine, Model -Tornado Saw M-800 transformer and FD10-200T welding tractor available at MM University. The experimentation was done on SS 304 plates of dimensions 100mm x 62mm x12mm. The welding current, voltage and welding speed could be regulated, displayed and preset on the panel of the tractor for the convenience of the operator. Process parameters with their studied levels are shown in table 1. The objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of various process parameters in a SAW process on the weld bead geometry. The factors and their associated levels were chosen on the basis of a pilot experiment by varying one factor at a time.
The experimental design was completed using the Taguchi’s Fractional Factorial Experiments (FFEs). In the present experimental situation, three factors were varied during the experiment. Three factors (namely current, welding speed and voltage) varied at three levels, have two degrees of freedom (dof) associated with them. A possible matrix for studying a combination of a two-level and three-level factors is an nine trial Orthogonal Array labeled as L9 matrix.[24] As shown in table 2.

Table 2. L9 (3x3) Orthogonal Array for experimentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>I (Amp)</th>
<th>V(Volt)</th>
<th>ws(m/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. RESULT & ANALYSIS

The data collected from the experimentation is used in MINITAB software for calculations. From the data readings using MINITAB analysis of variance for s/n ratio and also for mean is done as shown in the table below.

Table 3 Analysis of variance for means

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>D.F.</th>
<th>Seq SS</th>
<th>Adj SS</th>
<th>Adj MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I (Amp)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>26.07</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(Volt)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ws(m/h)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Error</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the basis of analysis of variance further the responses were calculated, the response for s/n ratio as well as for mean were calculated and on basis of it the rank were allotted according to the mean value as shown in table 4.

Table 4 response table for mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>I(Amp)</th>
<th>V(Volt)</th>
<th>ws(m/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.607</td>
<td>4.900</td>
<td>5.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.930</td>
<td>4.900</td>
<td>4.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.340</td>
<td>5.077</td>
<td>4.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above table it is clear that among the three parameters, Welding current is most significant factor for transfer of nickel element.

Also fig. 1 shows the main effect plot for means, this also show that with increase in value of welding current the transfer of nickel element shows a increasing trend. With increase in value of welding speed and arc voltage first it shows decreasing trend and then shows increasing trend.

VI. CONCLUSION

- Welding Current is the most significant factor for transfer of nickel element to the weld metal
- It is also concluded that with an increase in the value of arc voltage & welding speed nickel element shows a decreasing trend.
- Arc voltage is least significant factor for transfer of nickel element to weld metal

REFERENCES


