

Mathematical modeling for preventing the life of aquatic species in the water body due to lack of dissolved oxygen caused by water pollution

Shikha Awasthi¹, Brijmohan Verma²

1. Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, FCRIT, Navi Mumbai, e-mail: shikha_1119@yahoo.co.in
2. Professor, Department of Mathematics, CSJM University, Kanpur

Abstract— Water is an important natural resource on which the survival of all living beings depend. The aquatic species take oxygen from water to survive. If water is polluted because of discharge of pollutants, the level of dissolved oxygen decreases to support their lives. This is an ecological problem. It can be solved by using a mathematical model based on various components. The model has non-linear differential equations with initial conditions. To get the solution of the model we use qualitative analysis (to get equilibrium point) and stability theory (to get the nature of equilibrium point)

Keywords— Mathematical model, Water pollution, Dissolved oxygen

INTRODUCTION

The water bodies near to residential areas or factories are most polluted because of constant discharge of wastes. The organic matters present in wastes are taken by small biological species (eg. bacterias) present in water and they convert them into inorganic matters using dissolved oxygen (DO) (hence level of DO decreases). A reason for decreased DO may be fertilizer runoff from farm fields and lawns. The same fertilizer which was meant to make land plants grow better now makes the aquatic plants do the same. If the weather becomes cloudy for several days, respiring plants will use much of the DO while failing to photosynthesize. When the increased numbers of aquatic plants eventually die, they support increasing amounts of bacteria which use large amounts of DO.

There is a food chain present in water body-

Bacteria (microorganism)-----> Protozoa-----
-->other biological species-----> Fishes

This ecological problem has been studied since long. The first model of effect of organic pollutants on dissolved oxygen in a water body produced by Streeter Phelps (1925). Since then this model has been generalized by many researchers including Dobbins (1964), O Conner (1967), Beck and Young(1973) and the research is still going on.

It is important to mention here that these models have only linear differential equations. They have not considered the non-linear process that exists into water body.


There are several other aspects such as natural depletion rate of pollutants, crowding of population (they kill each other to survive) growth of oxygen from green plants like algae, macrophytes etc during photosynthesis have also not been taken into account in these studies but should be considered. It may also be noted here that the level of dissolved oxygen can be increased by pumping air into the water body such as in lakes and big ponds which are used for production of fish.

Therefore, these aspect needs to be considered in the modeling process.

Statistics of DO in water body

DO levels rise from morning through the afternoon as a result of photosynthesis, reaching a peak in late afternoon. Photosynthesis stops at night, but plants and animals continue to respire and consume oxygen. As a result, DO levels fall to a low point just before dawn. Dissolved oxygen levels may dip below 4 mg/l in such


waters - the minimum amount needed to sustain warm water fish like bluegill, bass, and pike.



The oxygen content of natural waters varies with temperature, salinity, turbulence, photosynthetic activity of algae and plants, and atmospheric pressure. Primary sources of oxygen in water bodies include diffusion of atmospheric oxygen across the air-water interface and photosynthesis of aquatic plants

Thus, variations can occur seasonally as well as over 24-hour periods in response to temperature and biological activity. Dissolved Oxygen in a stream may vary from 0 mg/l to 18 mg/l. Readings above 18 mg/l are physically impossible. Concentrations below 5 mg/l may adversely affect function and survival of biological communities, and below 2 mg/l can lead to death of most fishes. The amount of DO an aquatic organism needs depends upon its species, the temperature of the water, pollutants present, and the state of the organism itself (adult or young, active or dormant)


RANGE OF TOLERANCE FOR DISSOLVED OXYGEN IN FISH



PPM :-

This is a way of expressing very dilute concentrations of substances. Just as per cent means out of a hundred, so parts per million or ppm means out of a million. Usually describes the concentration of something in water or soil. One ppm is equivalent to 1 milligram of something per liter of water (mg/l) or 1 milligram of something per kilogram soil (mg/kg)

Conceptual Model



Mathematical Model

Let us consider a water body where organic matters are discharged in the form of wastes. It is assumed that these pollutants are part of a food chain consisting of bacteria, protozoa and other aquatic species using the dissolved oxygen into water body for various biochemical and biodegradation process.

Let us consider following notations

T – The cumulative concentration of organic matters (degradable pollutants).

B – The density of bacteria.

P – The density of protozoa.

N – The density of an aquatic population such as fish which depends wholly on protozoa.

C – The concentration of dissolved oxygen.

Q – The constant rate of cumulative discharge of organic pollutants in water body.

q – The growth rate of dissolved oxygen by various sources including atmospheric diffusion and pumping of air into water body.

System of nonlinear differential equations

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = Q - \alpha_0 T - \frac{K_1 TB}{K_{12} + K_{11} T} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{dB}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_1 K_1 TB}{K_{12} + K_{11} T} - \alpha_1 B - \lambda_{10} B^2 - \frac{K_2 BP}{K_{21} + K_{22} B}$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{\lambda_2 K_2 BP}{K_{21} + K_{22} B} - \alpha_2 P - \lambda_{20} P^2 - K_3 PN$$

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \lambda_3 K_3 PN - \alpha_3 N - \lambda_{30} N^2$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = q - \alpha_4 C - \lambda_{12} \frac{K_1 TB}{K_{12} + K_{11} T} - \lambda_{23} \frac{K_2 BP}{K_{21} + K_{22} B} - \lambda_{34} K_3 PN - \lambda_{11} \alpha_1 B - \lambda_{22} \alpha_2 P - \lambda_{33} \alpha_3 N$$

Where $T(0) > 0$, $B(0) > 0$, $P(0) > 0$, $N(0) > 0$, $C(0) > 0$

In this model all the coefficients are assumed positive. The constants $\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}, \lambda_{30}$ are crowding coefficients of bacteria, protozoa and aquatic population respectively.

In the following lines, we analyzed this model by using the qualitative theory of differential equations. It may be pointed out here that for feasibility of the above mathematical model, the growth rate of bacteria and protozoa should be positive.

Now we find the region of attraction Ω for model (1.1), the proof of which is outlined here in the following lemma

Solution (Feasibility) of Model (1.1)

The region of attraction in the positive octant, is given by

$$\Omega: \left\{ 0 \leq T \leq \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}, 0 \leq B \leq R_B, 0 \leq P \leq R_P, 0 \leq N \leq R_N, 0 \leq C \leq \frac{q}{\alpha_4} \right\}$$

where

$$R_B = \frac{\lambda_1 K_1 Q}{\lambda_{10} (K_{11} Q + K_{12} \alpha_0)}, R_P = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K_1 K_2 Q}{\lambda_{20} [K_{22} \lambda_1 K_1 Q + \lambda_{10} K_{21} (K_{11} Q + K_{12} \alpha_0)]}$$

$$R_N = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 K_1 K_2 Q}{\lambda_{20} \lambda_{30} [K_{22} \lambda_1 K_1 Q + \lambda_{10} K_{21} (K_{11} Q + K_{12} \alpha_0)]}$$

Proof:

From the first equation of the model(1.1), we get

$$\frac{dT}{dt} \leq Q - \alpha_0 T$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dT}{Q - \alpha_0 T} \leq dt$$

Integrating both sides with respect to t,

$$\int \frac{dT}{Q - \alpha_0 T} \leq \int dt$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \log(Q - \alpha_0 T) \leq \int dt + \log c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(Q - \alpha_0 T) \geq -\alpha_0 t - \alpha_0 \log c_1$$

{ multiplying by $-\alpha_0$ both sides }

$$\Rightarrow \log(Q - \alpha_0 T) + \alpha_0 \log c_1 \geq -\alpha_0 t$$

$$\text{Let } \log c_1^{\alpha_0} = k_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(Q - \alpha_0 T) k_1 \geq -\alpha_0 t$$

$$\Rightarrow (Q - \alpha_0 T) k_1 \geq e^{-\alpha_0 t}$$

$$\Rightarrow (Q - \alpha_0 T) \geq \frac{e^{-\alpha_0 t}}{k_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\alpha_0 T \geq \frac{e^{-\alpha_0 t}}{k_1} - Q$$

On dividing the above equation by $-\alpha_0$ we get,

$$T \leq \frac{e^{-\alpha_0 t}}{-k_1 \alpha_0} + \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow T \leq -\frac{e^{-\alpha_0 t}}{k_1 \alpha_0} + \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}$$

Since $k_1 > 0, \alpha_0 > 0$ and $e^{-\alpha_0 t} > 0$

$$\frac{e^{-\alpha_0 t}}{k_1 \alpha_0} > 0$$

Therefore, $T \leq \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}$

Hence Since T be the cumulative concentration of organic matters which is positive

Hence $T \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq T \leq \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}, \text{ This is the region of attraction for T}$$

Now let's consider the second equation of the model (1.1), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{dB}{dt} &\leq -\frac{\lambda_1 k_1 TB}{k_{12} + k_{11} T} - \lambda_{10} B^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1 k_1 B}{k_{12} + k_{11} T} - \lambda_{10} B^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Rightarrow T \leq \frac{Q}{\alpha_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dB}{dt} \leq \frac{\lambda_1 k_1 B Q}{k_{12} \alpha_0 + k_{11} Q} - \lambda_{10} B^2$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\lambda_1 k_1 Q}{k_{12} \alpha_0 + k_{11} Q} = m_1,$$

a constant and $\lambda_{10} = m_2$

Hence the equation becomes,

$$\frac{dB}{dt} \leq m_1 B - m_2 B^2$$

This is an linear diferential equation, we can solve it by variable separable method,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dB}{m_1 B - m_2 B^2} \leq dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dB}{B(m_1 - m_2 B)} \leq dt$$

By using partial fraction, it can be written as,

$$\frac{dB}{m_1 B} + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{dB}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \leq dt$$

Now integrating both sides,

$$\int \frac{dB}{m_1 B} + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \int \frac{dB}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \leq \int dt$$

$$\frac{1}{m_1} \log B + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \frac{\log(m_1 - m_2 B)}{-m_2} \leq t + \log c_1$$

$$\frac{1}{m_1} \log B - \frac{1}{m_1} \log(m_1 - m_2 B) \leq t + \log c_1$$

$$\frac{1}{m_1} \log \frac{B}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \leq t + \log c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \log \frac{B}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \leq m_1 t + m_1 \log c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \log \frac{B}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} - m_1 \log c_1 \leq m_1 t$$

$$\text{let } c_1^{k_1} = c_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \log \frac{B}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \frac{1}{c_2} \leq m_1 t$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{B}{(m_1 - m_2 B)} \frac{1}{c_2} \leq e^{m_1 t}$$

$$\Rightarrow B \leq (m_1 - m_2 B) c_2 e^{m_1 t} \dots \dots \dots (i)$$

as we know B is the density of protozoa hence $B \geq 0$.

Consider the right hand side of above inequality,

$$m_2 > 0$$

$$c_2 > 0 \quad (\text{since } c_2 = c_1^{m_1} \text{ and } c_1 \text{ is positive constant}), \quad e^{m_1 t} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow m_2 c_2 e^{m_1 t} > 0$$

hence the bracket part of equation (i) has to be positive

$$\text{therefore, } m_1 - m_2 B \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow B \leq \frac{m_1}{m_2}$$

Now after substituting the value of m_1 and m_2 , we get

$$\Rightarrow B \leq \frac{\lambda_1 k_1 Q}{\lambda_{10} (k_{11} Q + k_{12} \alpha_0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq B \leq R_B$$

Hence the second result

Similarly from the third and fourth equation of the model (1.1), we get,

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq P \leq R_p$$

where

$$R_p = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 K_1 K_2 Q}{\lambda_{20} [K_{22} \lambda_1 K_1 Q + \lambda_{10} K_{21} (K_{11} Q + K_{12} \alpha_0)]}$$

and

$$0 \leq N \leq R_N$$

where

$$R_N = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 K_1 K_2 Q}{\lambda_{20} \lambda_{30} [K_{22} \lambda_1 K_1 Q + \lambda_{10} K_{21} (K_{11} Q + K_{12} \alpha_0)]}$$

Now consider the last equation of the model ,

$$\frac{dC}{dt} \leq q - \alpha_4 C$$

$$\frac{dC}{q - \alpha_4 C} \leq dt$$

after integrating both sides with respect to t, we get,

$$-\frac{1}{\alpha_4} \log(q - \alpha_4 C) \leq t + \log n_1$$

(n_1 is a constant)

multiplying above inequality by $-\alpha_4$, we get,

$$\log(q - \alpha_4 C) \geq -\alpha_4 t - \alpha_4 \log n_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \log(q - \alpha_4 C) n_2 \geq -\alpha_4 t \quad (\text{let } n_1^{\alpha_4} = n_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (q - \alpha_4 C) \geq \frac{e^{-\alpha_4 t}}{n_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow C \leq \frac{q}{\alpha_4} - \frac{e^{-\alpha_4 t}}{n_2 \alpha_4}$$

Here $\frac{e^{-\alpha_4 t}}{n_2 \alpha_4} > 0$ (since $n_2 > 0, \alpha_4 > 0$) and $e^{-\alpha_4 t} > 0$

$$\Rightarrow C \leq \frac{q}{\alpha_4}$$

Since C is the concentration of dissolved oxygen hence it is positive

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq C \leq \frac{q}{\alpha_4}$$

Hence the lemma is proved.

Conclusion:

From the above analysis we get the region of attraction of each variable. Now we have to get the equilibrium point of this model (1.1) (by using qualitative theory) and then to get the stability of these equilibrium points we use Stability Theory.

References:

- [1] Dobbins W.E., 1964, BOD and Oxygen Relationship in Streams. J.San.Eng.Div.,Proc.ASCE,90,53-78
- [2] Anderson, V. and Nival P., 1989 Modeling of phytoplankton population of dynamics in an enclosed water column. J. Mar. Biol.Assoc. U.K. 69:625-64.
- [3] Bohr H.O. and Miller S.B.,1983. A dynamic model of the high rate algal-bacterial waste water treatment Pond Water Res. Vol. 17,29-37.
- [4] J.K.Hale, Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley Interscience, Newyark, 1969.
- [5] J.B.Shukla, A.K.Agrawal,B.Dubey,P.Sinha, Existance and survival of two competing species in a polluted environment.J.Biol.Syst.9 (2001) 89-103.
- [6] M.W.Streeter,E.E.Phelps,A Study of pollution and Natural Purification of the Ohio rives, U.S. Public Health Service Bill, 1925,pp. 146-150.
- [7] J.B.Shukla, B.Dubey,H.I.Freedman, Effect of changing habitant on survival of species,Ecol. Model 87 (1996) 205-216.
- [8] S.E. Jorgenson,Fundamental of Ecological Modeling, Elsevier Science Publisher, B.V.,Amsterdam,Netherlands,1988.
- [9] B.Dubey,P.Sinha,P.Chandra, A model for fishery resource with reserve area, Nonlinear Anal.:Real World Problem.4 (2003) 625-637.

- [10] M.Straskraba, A. Gnauck, Fresh water ecosystems modeling and simulation. Developments in Environment Modeling, vol. 8, Elsevier, Amsterdam,1985.
- [11] Evans G.T. and J.S. Parslow., 1985.A Model of annual plankton cycles,Biol.Oceanogr.3,327-427.
- [12] Freedman H.I. and So J.W.H., 1985. Global Stability and persistence of simple food chains, Math, Biosciences, 76, 69-86.
- [13] Keller A.A., 1989.Modeling the effects of Temperature, light and nutrients on primary productivity: an empirical and a mechanistic approach compared. Limnol.Oceanogr.34.
- [14] Kelly R.A.,1975. The Delaware Estury. In Ecological Modeling in a resource management framework (C.S.Russel, ed) Resources for the future, Washington, D.C.
- [15] Mahmoud A. Abu-Ziad, 1998. Water and sustainable development: the vision for word water, life and the environment water poliy Vol.1 pp 9-19.