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ABSTRACT
Mining closed high utility itemsets (HUIs) from databases is an important topic in data mining, which refers to the discovery of itemsets to utilities greater than an end-user specific minimum utility threshold min_util. Apriori is an algorithm used for frequent item set mining. Apriori is designed to operate association rule learning over transactional databases. In our existing system is solved the compact and lossless representation of HUIs from closed high utility itemsets, three powerful algorithms are used AprioriHC (Apriori-based algorithm for mining High utility Closed itemsets), AprioriHC-D (AprioriHC algorithm with Dispose of unprofitable and isolated items) and CHUD (Closed High Utility Itemset Discovery) to find this representation. In this project, we propose two efficient algorithms are proposed to reduce competition and also high utilities. There are: (1) AprioriHC-D-ORP(AprioriHC-D algorithm with ORP) and (2) AprioriHC-D-DCI(AprioriHC-D algorithm with Distinctive Closed Itemsets) to find Concise representation. In AprioriHC-D-ORP algorithm explores the ratio of the odds of the odds that a case has been exposed to the odds that a control has been exposed. In odds ratio patterns, we consider only dataset D, we write D^pos for those transactions in D that are denoted as positive, and D^neg for those denoted as negative. We can extend our notations and write sup(E,D) and ORP(E,D) respectively. We propose two approaches, first identify all the equivalence classes and their borders in Datasets D, and second obtain a decomposition of the frequent itemsets in D^pos and extract the border of the corresponding to the support level of in D^pos. In each element, R_i in the right bound of the closed pattern of an equivalence class. For each R_i, we check also D^neg to see if this R_i have good odds ratio. If it does not have good odds ratio, then its entire equivalence class can be discarded. If it has good odds ratio, then we need to consider the corresponding left bound L_i. We need to move L_i right to a more specialized L_i, so that the requirements on odds ratio are satisfied. In AprioriHC-D-DCI algorithm uses a level-wise algorithm to find all frequent DCIs. We consider that h be the closure operator that structurally characterizes the disjunctive closure of any itemset I. This algorithm uses closure operator h to conclude that regenerates 1-frequent itemsets, 2-frequent itemsets, and so forth.
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I. INTRODUCTION
DataMining is a complete process of analyzing large amounts of data and picking out the relevant information. It refers to extracting or mining hidden predictive information from large amounts of data. The data sources can include data bank, data warehouse, the network, other information repositories, or data that are streamed into the system dynamically. [4,13]. Association Rule in Data Mining deals with a vital role in the process of mining data for frequent itemsets. Finding frequent patterns called as association, correlation, and causality analysis. Frequent patterns are the patterns that occur frequently in the data set. Patterns can include itemsets, sequences and subsequences. Frequent itemset mining is an interesting topic of data mining that focuses on looking at sequences of actions or events. A frequent itemset refers to a set of items that often occur together in a transactional data set. Example: bread and milk. It involves the following steps: cleaning and coordinate data from data sources like databases, flat files, pre-treatment of selecting and transformation target data, mining the required knowledge and finally estimation and delivery of knowledge. A data mining algorithm is complete if it mines all interesting patterns. It is often impractical and ineffectual for data mining systems to generate all possible patterns.

In High Utility Itemset Mining, the objective is to determine itemsets that have utility values above a given utility threshold. The utility bound property of any itemset gives an upper bound on the utility value of...
any itemset. This utility bound property can be used as an examining measure for pruning itemsets at early stages that are not expected to qualify as high utility itemsets. High utility itemset mining is a challenging task in frequent pattern mining, which has wide applications. Given a transaction database, FIM consists of discovering frequent itemsets. i.e. groups of items (itemsets) occur frequently in transactions. However, an important limitation of FIM is that it consider that each item cannot come more than once in each transaction and that all items have the same importance (weight, unit profit or value). For example, consider a database of customer transactions containing information about the quantities of items in each transaction and each item has a unit profit value. FIM mining algorithms would discard this information and may thus discover many frequent itemsets achieve a low profit and fail to discover less frequent itemsets that achieve a high profit.

II. RELATED WORK

Alva Erwin et al [1] have planned high utility itemsets mining that extends frequent pattern mining to find itemsets during a dealings info with utility values higher than a given threshold. However, mining high utility itemsets presents a larger challenge than frequent itemset mining, since high utility itemsets lack the anti-monotone property of frequent itemsets. Transaction Weighted Utility (TWU) planned recently by researchers has anti-monotone property, however it's AN overestimate of itemset utility and thus results in a bigger search house. Bai-En Shie et al [2] has mining high utility mobile ordered patterns by integration mobile data processing with utility mining. 2 tree-based ways area unit planned for mining high utility mobile ordered patterns. Cheng Wei dynasty Wu dialect et al. [3] has mining closed+ high utility itemsets that is a compact and lossless illustration of high utility itemsets. We tend to gift AN economical rule referred to as CHUD (Closed+ High Utility itemset Discovery) for mining closed+ high utility itemsets. Further, a way referred to as DAHU (Derive All High Utility itemsets) is planned to recover all high utility itemsets from the set of closed+ high utility itemsets while not accessing the initial info. Ching-Huang Yun [4] has planned AN new data processing capability for a mobile commerce surroundings. To raised mirror the client usage patterns within the mobile commerce surroundings, we tend to propose AN innovative mining model, referred to as mining mobile ordered patterns, that takes each the moving patterns and get patterns of consumers into thought. Claudio Lucchese [5] have planned a fresh ascendable rule for locating closed frequent itemsets, a lossless and condensed illustration of all the frequent itemsets which will be strip-mined from a transactional info. This rule exploits a divide-and-conquer approach and a bitwise vertical illustration of the info and adopts a specific visit and partitioning strategy of the search house supported an inventive theoretical framework, that formalizes the matter of closed itemsets mining very well.

III. GENERAL DEFINITIONS

Itemset: Set of things that occur along.

Association Rule: Likelihood that individual things area unit purchased along.

\[ X \bowtie Y \text{ where } X \cap Y = \emptyset \]

Support: \( \text{supp}(X) \) of associate degree itemset X is that the quantitative relation of transactions during which associate degree itemset seems to the entire variety of transactions. The support worth of X with relevance is outlined because the proportion of transactions within the information that contains the item-set X.

Confidence: Confidence of rule \( X \Rightarrow Y \), denoted \( \text{conf}(X \Rightarrow Y) \). The arrogance worth of a rule, \( X \Rightarrow Y \), with relevance a group of transactions T, is that the proportion the transactions that contains X that additionally contains Y. Confidence is outlined as:

\[ \text{conf}(X \Rightarrow Y) = \frac{\text{supp}(X \cup Y)}{\text{supp}(X)} \]

High Utility Itemset Mining

Let \( I=\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_M\} \) has a set of items, and \( D=\{T_1,T_2,\ldots,T_N\} \) has a set of transactions database. Every dealing \( T_k \) has belongs to dealing information D and variety of thingsets I to get things i as a result of all items area unit hold on in information D, wherever amount of itemsets is portrayed as QT(I). To spot every dealing distinctive symbol is employed. The inner utility is outlined because the items i will count within the transactions information D for every transactions \( T_k \). The external utility is outlined because the utility values area unit accessory within the information D for each dealing. High utility itemset is outlined as set of things i no but user specified minimum threshold; aside from high utility itemset is named as low utility itemset. The length of the itemset is denoted as M variety of item in an exceedingly set. i.e. \( 1 \leq I \leq M \).

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

The traditional ARM approaches deal with the utility of the items by its presence in the transaction set. The frequency of itemset is not acceptable to reflect the actual utility of an itemset. For example, the sales
manager may not be attentive in frequent itemsets that do not archive significant profit. Recently, one of the most challenging data mining tasks is the mining of high utility itemsets are more efficiently. Identification of the itemsets with high utilities is called as Utility Mining.

The measurement of utility can be in terms of cost, profit or other expressions of user preferences. For example, in a computer system may be leads more valuable than a telephone in terms of profit. Utility mining model was proposed in to characterize the utility of itemset. The utility is a measure of how useful or profitable an itemset X is. The utility of an itemset X, i.e., u(X), is the amount of the utilities of itemset X in all the transactions containing X. An itemset X is called as high utility itemset if and only if u(X) ≥ min_utility, where min_utility is a end users specified minimum utility threshold.

In the representation proposed in this paper, we are often interested to test whether a given principle has a given issue. If we cannot specify the nature of the factors convoluted, such tests are called as model-free studies. Fig 1 shows the system architecture of high utility mining. There are two modules presents in high utility mining. One is admin module and other one is customer module. The admin module consists of adding the items, viewing the items, detect de-duplication, creating transactional database for all available items, calculating the odd ratio pattern using AprioriHC-D with ORP algorithm and calculating the distinctive closed itemsets using AprioriHC-D with DCI algorithm. The customer module consists the viewing the items and buying the items.

4.1 Odd Ratio pattern

In contrast with a “case-control” study first find the individuals by product status and then tests retrospectively for exposure to an aspect. In such a situation, the number of selling products (called the “principles”) and non-selling products (called the “issues”) is fixed, and thus the risk that an exposed customer will become a selling products case cannot be estimated directly. In Odd Ratio pattern, we proposed the ratio of
the odds that a principle has been exposed to the odds that an issue has been exposed. This ratio is called the “odds ratio”.

**Discovering all frequent Odd Ratio pattern**

This section defines an algorithm, called AprioriHC-D with ORP that generates all frequent itemsets. For clarity, this method omits the fact that it outputs their supports as well. In odds ratio patterns, we consider only dataset D whose transactions are denoted either as “positive” or as “negative”. Given a dataset D, we write $D^{pos}$ for those transactions in D that are assumed as positive, and $D^{neg}$ for those assumed as negative. For our discussion on odds ratio, we take $D^{pos}$ to be the selling products and $D^{neg}$ to be the not-selling products.

Since all patterns in $[P]_{D}$ must exist in exactly the same transactions in D, these patterns must have the same odds ratio value as P. Hence we can extend our notations and write $sup(E,D)$ and $ORP(E,D)$ respectively for the support and odds ratio value of an equivalent class E in D. The first approach is to first identify all the equivalence classes along with their borders in D, and filter them by their support in $D^{pos}$ and by their odds ratio. The second approach is to first obtain a decomposition of the frequent itemsets in $D^{pos}$, then extract the border of the corresponding to the support level of in $D^{pos}$. In each element, $R_j$ in the right bound of the closed pattern of an equivalence class. For each $R_j$, we check also $D^{pos}$ to see if this $R_j$ have good odds ratio. For each $R_j$, we check also $D^{neg}$ to see if this $R_j$ have good odds ratio. If it does not have good odds ratio, then its entire equivalence class can be discarded. If it has good odds ratio, then we need to consider the corresponding left bound $L_j$. We need to move $L_j$ right to a more specialized $L'_j$ so that the requirements on odds ratio are satisfied. The algorithm is given above as Algorithm 3.1.

**Algorithm 3.1 (AprioriHC-D-ORP)**

**Input:** Dataset $D = D^{pos} \cup D^{neg}$, threshold for support $ms$, and threshold for odds ratio $k$.

**Output:** A concise representation of equivalence classes of patterns having support at least $ms$ and odds ratio at least $k$. The concise representation comprises, for each equivalence class, its borders, its support in $D^{pos}$, its support in $D^{neg}$ and its odds ratio.

**Method:**

1. $ε$: the collection of all equivalence classes of $F(ms,D)$, concisely represented by their borders, and annotated with their support levels.
2. For each $\langle L, \{R\} \rangle$ in $E_D$ do
3. $x := OR(R,D)$;
4. $y := sup(R,D^{pos})$; $z := sup(R,D^{neg})$;
5. If $x \geq k$ then
6. Output $\langle L, \{R\} \rangle$, $x$, $y$ and $z$.
7. End if
8. End for

**4.2 AprioriHC-D-DCI**

The Minimum Description Length (MDL) principle finding Concise (or condensed) representations of frequent patterns, by giving the shortest description of the whole set of frequent patterns. In this work, we suggest a new exact concise representation of frequent itemsets. This representation is established on an exploration of the disjunctive search space. The disjunctive itemsets bring information about the complementary occurrence of items in a dataset. A novel closure operator is then arranged to suit the characteristics of the explored search space.
The proposed operator aims at mapping many disjunctive itemsets to a unique one, they are termed as disjunctive closed itemset. Hence, it permits to drastically reduce the number of managed itemsets within the targeted representation. Interestingly, the proposed representation offers direct connection to the disjunctive and negative supports of frequent itemsets while ensuring the derivation of their exact conjunctive supports.

To the best of our knowledge, the exact concise representation based on frequent essential itemsets is the unique representation that can solve straightforwardly be done in a levelwise manner that regenerates 1-frequent itemsets, 2-frequent itemsets, and so forth.

\[ h:= 1; \text{DCI}:= \{ \}; \text{C}_1:= \{ \{h\} \mid h \in I \}; \]
for all \( I \) in \( C_1 \) do \( l:= 0; u:= |I| \); while \( C \) not empty do
Count the supports of all candidates in \( C \) in one pass over \( D \);
\[ F_i:= \{ I \in C_i \mid \text{Supp}(I_i, h) \geq s \}; \]
\[ \text{DCI}:= \text{DCI} \cup F_i; \]
\[ \text{Gen}:= \{ \}; \]
for all \( I \in F_i \) do
if \( \text{Supp}(I) \neq I: l \) and \( \text{Supp}(I) \neq I: u \) then
\[ \text{Gen}:= \text{Gen} \cup \{ I \}; \]
\[ \text{PreC}_{h+1}:= \text{AprioriGenerate(Gen)}; \]
\[ C_{h+1}:= \{ \}; \]
for all \( J \in \text{PreC}_{h+1} \) do
Compute bounds \([l, u]\) on support of \( J \);
if \( l \neq u \) then \( l:= I; \text{u}:= u; C_{h+1}:= C_{h+1} \cup \{ J \}; \)
\[ h:= h + 1 \]
end while
return \( \text{DCI} \)

The proposed operator aims at mapping many disjunctive itemsets to a unique one, they are termed as disjunctive closed itemset. Hence, it permits to drastically reduce the number of managed itemsets within the targeted re-presentation. Interestingly, the proposed representation offers direct connection to the disjunctive and negative supports of frequent itemsets while ensuring the derivation of their exact conjunctive supports.

To the best of our knowledge, the exact concise representation based on frequent essential itemsets is the unique representation that contributes this interesting feature through its exploration of the disjunctive search space. In this space, itemsets are identified by their respective disjunctive supports. Thus, an itemset verifies an element of a dataset (or transaction) if one of its items exists to this transaction. With respect to set inclusion, an essential itemset is the minimal set of items among those itemsets representing a common set of transactions. There are three different supports are as Conjunctive support, Disjunctive support and Negative support. Let us consider \( I_i=\{i_1,i_2,...,i_n\} \) be a set of itemsets. Conjunctive support follows that \( \text{Supp}(I_i) \) is the number of transactions containing all items of \( I \). Disjunctive support follows that \( \text{Supp}(I_i) \) is the number of transactions containing at least one item of \( I \). Negative support follows that \( \text{Supp}(I_i) \) is the number of transactions that do not contain any item of \( I \). An itemset \( I \) is said to be frequent if \( \text{Supp}(I_i) \) is greater than or equal to a minimum support threshold, denoted \( \text{minsupp} \). This representation can solves straightforwardly be done in a levelwise manner that regenerates 1-frequent itemsets, 2-frequent itemsets, and so forth.

V. CONCLUSION
In this project for mining high utility itemsets two algorithms are presented namely AprioriHC-MINEX (AprioriHC-D algorithm with ORP) and AprioriHC-D-DCI (AprioriHC-D algorithm with Distinctive Closed Itemsets). We introduce that it outputs theirsupports is well. This experiments also show that the error made when approximating the support of frequent itemsets using the support of odd ratio pattern remains very low in practice. Finally, we considered the effect of this approximation on the support and confidence of association rules. These two algorithms are uses AprioriHC-D which perform a breadth-first search for calculating high utility itemset mining. The results shows that these two algorithms efficiently calculating the high utility itemsets.
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