RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

The skin effect of subsurface damage distribution in materials subjected to high-speed machining

Sudeep Kumar Singh, Prajnandreya Jena

Gandhi Institute of Excellent Technocrats, Bhubaneswar, India Eastern Academy of Science and Technology ,Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

This

paperproposesthe

'skineffect'ofthemachining-

induceddamageathighstrainrates. Thepaperfirstreviewsthepublishedresearchworkonmachininginduceddamageandthenidentifiesthegoverningfactorsthatdominatedamageformationmechanisms. Amongmanyinfl uentialfactors, suchasstress-strainfield, temperaturefield, materialresponsestoloadingand loadingrate, and crackinitiation and propagation, strainrate is recognized as a dominant factor that can directly lead to the 'skineffect' of material damage in a loading process. Thepaperelucidates that material deformati

that can directly lead to the skine frect of material damage in a loading process. In expaper elucidates that material deformation s^{-1} (s⁻¹)

leads to the embrittlement, which in turn contributes to the `skineffect' of subsurface damage. The paper discusses the `skineffect' based on the principles of dislocation kinetics and crack initiation and propagation. It provides guidance to predicting the material deformation and damage at high strain-rate for the transformation of the principle strain and the prin

applications ranging from the arm or protection, quarrying, petroleum drilling, and high-indications and the second sec

speed machining of engineering materials (e.g. ceramics and SiCreinforce daluminum alloys).

Keywords:skineffect,strainrate,dislocation,embrittlement,damage

I. INTRODUCTION

The term 'skin effect' has been used to describe distribution f the alternating current in a conductor that electric currentmainly flows in the 'skin' layer of the conductor. The current density is the high est at the surface layer of the conductorandquickly decreases in the inner layers. The 'skin effect' isfurther strengthened at a higher frequency of the alternatingcurrent.Similarly,theauthorshavefoundth atthe'skineffect'

of subsurfaced amage (SSD) distributional so exists in material deformations. The 'skine ffect'

of SSD distribution can be enhanced at a higher strain rat einaloading process.

Generally, an increased strain-rate results in embrittlementofthematerialsubjectedtoloading,whic hinturnleadstothe'skin effect'. For example, in armor applications, the brittlenessofthematerialgreatlyaffectstheballisticperforma nceofanarmor.Ceramicsgenerallyhavebetterresistan cetothe

ballisticimpactthanmetallicmaterials[1,2].Anotherex ampleisthehigh-speed

machining(HSM)ofengineeringmaterials,such as ceramics and SiC reinforced aluminum alloys. Highspeeds of machining could embrittle the workpiece

materialandsuppressSSDdepthbecauseofthe'skineff ect'.

We areliving in a worldthat needssupport fromvarious

materials. How these materials may serve our purposes

has been a subject of study. Some materials are harder and more

Sudeep Kumar Singh Int. Journal of Engineering Research and Application ISSN: 2248-9622, Vol. 8, Issue 9, (Part -1) Sep.2018, pp.131-147



Figure 1.Maximumflankwearofthedifferenttoolinsertsversusmachiningtime(cuttingspeed:100 m min⁻¹,feed:75 µm/rev,depthofcut:1.0mm,coolant:5%vol.trimsolution).Reprintedfrom[23],Copyright(2012),withpermissionfro mElsevier.

brittle (e.g. ceramics, semiconductors, cast irons) than others(e.g. most metals). It is necessary to shape the materials intovariousproducts with the help of modern manufactu ring

technologies, such as machining, laser beam cutting, for ming, forging and welding. On the other hand, we want the products to perform the functions as we desire. These functions

mayincludestrengthandtoughness,fatiguestrength(e. g.aircraft

enginesandbridges), wearresistance (e.g. bearings and cut-

ting tools), etc. To achieve the respective functions, the

rightmaterialsmustbechosenfortheappropriateapplic ations.

Titanium, Inconel, and aluminum alloys, for example,

arenormallyusedintheaerospaceapplications[3,4].Cr ystallinesilicon is a typical substrate material for the semiconductor[5–

7] and photovoltaic industries [8,9]. Sapphire is used as the substratematerial for LEDs [10–

12].Ceramicshavebeen

usedinthehigh-

precisionbearingsandcuttingtools[13,14].

Glasses are indispensable materials for optics and light

ransmission [15]. However, the above-mentioned materialscan easily be induced with SSD when they are subjected tomachining.

In machining of titanium, Inconel and aluminum alloys, work hardening and toolwear are notable, resulting in ametamorphic layer on the machined surface [16–19]. Generally, the metamorphic layer degrades the service performance of a

part because of the different mechanical properties from thebulkmaterial, such ashardness, toughness, and plasticity [20, 21]. On the other hand, materials, such as SiC, sapphire, and silicon, are hard and brittle, and can easily be

introduced with SSD during a machining process [7, 15, 22], which is detrimental to the performance and lifetime of a part.

As shown in figure 1, an as-received cutting tool insertofferedalifetimeofapproximately49

min.However,whenanother insert of the same batch from the same manufacturerwasfinishedbythemagneticabrasivefini shing(MAF)

technique, its lifetime was 86 min, almost doubling the lifetime of the as-received version. Why should this happen? What is the function of MAF on the lifetime of the einsert?



(a) Top view



(b) Cross-sectional view

Figure 2. SEM imagesof(a) top view and (b) cross-sectionalview of a smooth groove generated by grinding in an alumina sample. [24](1988)©ChapmanandHallLtd.WithpermissionofSpringer.

To answer these questions, an early work conducted

byZhangetal[24,25]shouldbereferredto.Intheirwork, Zhanget al produced a smooth groove in a hot-pressed alumina samplein the single-point grinding process at a speed of 1800 m min^{-1} .Figure2showstheimagesofthegroovetakenfromt hetopand

cross-sectional views by a scanning electron microscope (SEM).Figure 2(a) presents the top view of the groove with a smoothsurface. Although the groove did not show any observabledamage (e.g. cracking, chipping), its subsurface was severelydamagedwithalayerofpulverization, as shown infigure2(b).Moreover, the cross-

sectionalviewrevealsthatmaterialpile-up

occurred to the two sides of the groove. The pile-

upwasclearlybecauseofthesideflowofthepulverizedm aterial.Therefore,pile-up does not have to be plastic

deformation in the machining of the hard and brittle materials.

Based on the understanding of figure 2, it is suggested that the cutting edge of the as-received

insert in figure 1shouldhavebeenleftwiththegrindinginducedSSDwhichisresponsible for the compromised tool life. Upon the removalofSSDbytheMAFtechnique,toollifewaslarg elyextended, as depicted in figure 1. Therefore, there mo valofthemachining-induced damage is beneficial to the

improvementoftheperformanceandlifetimeofacuttin gtool.

Over the years, continuous efforts have been made inmachiningofhardandbrittlematerials.Bifanoetal[2 6]were thefirsttoproposethe'ductile-

regime'machiningtechniqueforbrittlematerialstoach Although'ductile-regime'

machininghasreceivedmuchattention, it is still controversial as it lacks both theoreticalandexperimentalsupport. This technique is mainly concerned

de_

withsurfacefinishwithnoconsiderationofSSDofamac hined workpiece. It has not solved the machining pro-blemsofthehardandbrittlematerials.

In order to solve these problems, Zhang et al [25] used

adifferentapproach. The ynotonly investigated the surf acebutal so the subsurface characteristics of a machined work piece.

where the elemental chip thickness is related to the depth They were the first to report the material pulverization m echanism together with the other forms of machininginduced SSD inceramics [24,25,27–

30]. Theirfindingshave

been applied in industry for high efficiency and low dam age

machiningofceramicmaterials.

Ultrasonically-assisted machining (UAM) has success-fully been used in reducing machining force and improving surface integrity for the hard and brittle materials [31–35]. Infact,UAM helps suppressmachininginduced damage.

enhancethecriticaldepthofcut[31],reducemachiningf orces[32,36],andaltermaterialproperties[37].UAMh asagreatpotentialformachiningofthehardandbrittlem aterials,however, there are still critical issues to be resolved.

TheissuesincludehowUAMsuppressesthemachining damageandimprovesworkpiecesurfaceintegrity. HSMhasattractedmuchattentionbecauseofitsimprov ¢mentinmachiningefficiency,reductionintoolwear,

-0.34



(3)

ievehigh-qualitygrinding. presentedineq<u>uation(1)[46,47],</u> dt Vcosg Dycos(j-g) (1)

of

cut. However, equation (1) cannot be used to calculate thestrainrateinthemachiningofhardand brittlematerialsbecausethesematerials do notnormallyshownotable plastic

deformation before fracturing. Wang et al proposed a

simpleformulaforcalculatingstrainrate, shown as equatio n(2)[48],

 $de_{=}V$, dt(2)

(_)

-induced

 a_{c}

where a_c represents depth of cut. Equation (2) describes strainrate in the region of a material compressed by a cutting tool. In this study, equation (2) is adopted to calculate strain ratebased on the previous studies. As shown in figure 3, the SSD

depthinthehard

andbrittlematerialsdecreaseswithanincrease in strain rate of machining, which well depicts the skin effect' of damage formation in terms of strain rate. Thebestfittinglinein figure3showsthattheSSDdepthismathematicallyprop ortionaltothenegativeexponentofstrainrate,aspresent edinequation(3),

<u>|de</u>

and suppression in workpiece damage as compared to the conventional machining [38–

40].HSMcanbeappliedtomanydifferentmaterialswit hnospecificrequirementsontheworkpiece properties.

Most of all, HSM leads to a high strainratewhichresultsintheso-

called'skineffect',namely,the

machining-

inducedSSDtendstodistributeinthesuperficial

layer of a workpiece machined at a high strain rate [41–45].Therefore, HSM presents a huge potential in high-efficiencymachiningoftheabove-mentionedmaterials.However,the

underlying mechanisms of the 'skin effect' of SSD distribu-

tionremainunrevealedandneedinvestigations.

This paper is to explore the mechanisms of the 'skineffect'ofSSD at highstrain rates anditsapplicationto

HSM.AmongthedifferencesbetweenHSMandthelow -speed

machining, the strain rate is the primary factor. This paperpresents the 'skin effect' of SSD distribution at high strainrates (> 10^3 s⁻¹) with section 2 dealing with the 'skin effect' of machining-induceddamage.Section3discussestheunderlying

mechanisms of the 'skin effect' at high strainrates; section 4discusses the 'skin effect' in terms of dislocationandenergytheories;section5concludesthepa per

andpresentsanoutlook.

1. 'Skin-effect' of damage at high strain rates In machining, the plastic strain rate $d\epsilon/dt$ is regarded as a function of rake angle γ of a cutting tool, shear angle j,cutting speed V, and the elemental chip thickness Δy , a s where k is a constant (k=1531 in figure 3).

In addition, the 'skin effect' can also be found in themetallicmaterials. The 'skin effect' was identified in theearlyworksconductedonIN-718byPawadeetal[60],on

the nickel-based FGH95 superalloys by Jin et al [42, 43], onthe D2 tool steels by Kishawy and Elbestawi [61], and on thenickel-basedME16superalloysbyVeldhuisetal[62].Therefo re, the 'skin effect' exists not only in the hard andbrittlematerials, suchasceramics, semiconductor materials.

andglasses, butals oin the metallic materials, such as superalloys and tool steels.

The 'skin effect' is an intrinsic property that governs

thedamagebehavioroftheengineeringmaterials.The' skineffect' can be interpreted as 'material damage (e.g. cracking,dislocation, phase transformation) is localized if the materialis loaded at a high strain rate'. In the case of machining, forexample, SSD depth decreases at an increased machiningspeed(strainrate),andviceversa.

2. Mechanisms of the 'skin-effect' of damageathighstrainrates

Materialembrittlement

Generally, a material subjected to machining undergoe splastic deformation before it fractures. The plastic deforma-

tionisgovernedbydislocationmotionwhichisdepende ntonstrainandstrainrate. Therelationshipbetweenthed islocationmotionandstrainrateisinferredbasedonthe Orowantheory



figurelegends.

[63], as given in equation (4), $\frac{de}{=rbv},$

(4) Therefore, the strain rate in machining is obtained as $\frac{de}{dt} = \frac{dr}{bL + rbv},$

dt

(7) dt dt where ρ is dislocation density; b is the magnitude of theBurger's vector; and v is dislocation velocity [64, 65]. How-ever,equation (4)only describes an instantaneous motion of a dislocation excluding the dynamic behaviors, such a snuclea-

tion, immobilization, recovery, and annihilation. Therefore, amoreadequate modelisneeded. Strain can becalculatedby

wheredp/dtisthechangerateofdislocationdensity.Ther ightside of equation (7) has two terms, the first term representingthenucleationandannihilationofdislocati onsandthesecond

termrepresentingdislocationmovement[67].Thedisl ocationvelocityvcanberesolvedbytheappliedshearstr ess[67]

equation (5)[66], Cv=bt, (8)

e=rbL,

(5) where Cisthedrag coefficient due to lattice viscosity and

τis

whereListheaveragedisplacementofadislocation. The n, the relationship between the dynamic behaviors of dislocations and strain rate can be inferred by differentiating both sides of equation (5),

the applied shear stress. As shown in figure 4, the dislocation velocity increases with the applied shear stress, but by an upperlimit. The dislocation velocity is bounded by the phonon

drageffects[67–

70] with the time between obstacles [71], the dislocation velocity does not exceed the sound velocity in the

 $\frac{de_{d(rbL)}}{dt} = \frac{dr_{bL}}{dt} + rb\frac{dL}{dt},$

(6) material[72,73].Atastrainratehighenoughtotheextent

dtdt dt dt thatthemovingdislocations cannoteffectivelyaccommodate



Figure 4. Relationshipbetweendislocation velocityand appliedshearstressfordifferentmaterials.[74]JohnWiley&Sons.©1994WILEY-VCHVerlagGmbH&Co.KGaA,Weinheim.

yield-to-tensileratio σ_s/σ_b increases. At a high strain rate(>10⁴s⁻¹), theyield strength approaches the tensile strength. As a limit, the yield strength can be the same as but neversurpass the tensile strength [76]. In this case, the material fractures prior to yielding, which is a typical characteristic of

abrittlematerial.Materialembrittlementduetothestrai nrateeffectisthusrealized.

As shown in equation (2), strain rate is determined basedoncuttingspeedanddepthofcutinthecaseofmach ining.

Therefore, the strain-rate evoked embrittlement can be acquired by increasing cutting speed and decreasing depth of cut. As shown in figure 6 (a), at a cutting speed of 1000 m min^{-1} , the cutting chipexhibited atypical continuous morphology fora

ductile material, such as an aluminum alloy. However, as the cutting speed increased to 5000 m min⁻¹, the chip morphology turned to befragmental, asshowninfigure 6 (b), which means that the material has been embrittle dun der this condition.

For brittle materials, Lawn and Marshall first proposedthattheratioofhardnesst

shouldbeusedto estimate the brittleness of a material [80]. Boccaccini studiedthemachinabilityofaglass-ceramicsintermsofthe materialbrittlenessrepresentedinequation(9)

(9)

 $where Hand K_{c} are the hardness and fracture to ughness of the material, respectively. \\$

ItshouldbepointedoutthatmaterialhardnessHisstrainrate sensitive and generally increases with strain rate[16, 45, 81–85] due to the strain-rate hardening effect.

 $\label{eq:correlation} A correlation between hardness and strain rate is expressed in$

 $|\underline{d}|$

equation(10)[86]



Figure 5.Strainratedependencyofmaterialstrengths[77,78].

loading,moredislocationsnucleate,emittingatthesou ndvelocity,andresultinginadislocationavalanche.

Dislocations can be classified into two types, mobile andimmobile. The mobiledislocationsmaybe trapped by eachotherandturnedintoimmobileonesbecauseofthei rinter-

actions, including entanglement, attraction, obstruction, etc. Therefore, material deformation enhances not only disloca-

tionnucleationandmotion, butalso dislocation immobiliza-

tion. The accumulation of the immobile dislocations increases the resistance to plastic deformation and leads to material hardening [75]. At a high strain rate, dislocation avalanche may dramatically increase the density of the immobile dis-

locationswhichareresponsibleformaterialhardening. Consequently,theplasticdeformationofamaterialissu p-

pressed beforefracturing, namely, the material is embrit tled. Interms of the strengthen hancement, both tensilest rength σ_b and yield strength σ_s increase with strain rate, as shown in figure 5. However, as strain rate increases, they than

ieldstressincreasesmore thetensilestrength and the rapidly

where m represents strain-rate exponent, and m = 0 for arigid-perfectly plastic material and m = 1 for a linear

viscoussolid, respectively [87,88]. Hardnesshas apow erlawdependence on strain rate.

Thevariationinfracturetoughnessiscomplicated.Mac hado et al found that the fracture toughness of CFRPdecreased as strain rate increased [89, 90]. Anton et al foundthat the dynamic fracture toughness of the Pyrex glass wasgreater than the static fracture toughness. However, for themagnesiapartially-stabilizedzirconiaandyttriatetragonalzirconia polycrystals, the dynamic fracture toughness wassmaller than the static fracture toughness [91]. Generally, thefracture toughness of a material is larger at a high strain

ratethan under the static or quasi-static condition. Suresh et

alfoundthattheratioofthedynamictostaticfracturetou ghness

wasintherangeof1.1–1.6for brittleceramics [92].Liuetal

studiedthehigh-

speedgrindingofsiliconcarbideceramics

andconcludedthatthedynamicfracturetoughnesswasr elated to strain rate [93]. Even if both the hardness andfracturetoughnessincreasewithstrainrate,theform erdemonstrates a higher rate of increase than the latter. There-fore, as the strain rate increases, the brittleness of a materialincreasesaccordingly.



(a) Cutting speed V = 1,000 m/min

(b) Cutting speed V = 5,000 m/min

Figure 6. Chipmorphologies of 7050-T7451 aluminum alloy with the uncut chip thickness of 0.1 mm and the cutting speeds (a) V=1000 mm m⁻¹ and (b) V=5000 mm m⁻¹, respectively. Reproduced with permission from [79].



Figure 7. Variation of SSD depth with material brittleness. Reprintedfrom[94],Copyright(1995),withpermissionfromElsevier.

Zhangetalstudiedtheeffectofbrittlenessofceramicsin grindingonSSDdepthandfoundthattheSSDdepthdecr eased as brittleness of ceramics increased [94], which

is explained in figure 7. They presented an analytical equation

forSSDdepthoinequation(11),

Dislocationkinetics

Dislocations can be responsible for the formation of

grainboundariesandcracks. Themovementof dislocati onsisessential to the evolution of damage. Under an external loading condition, dislocation nucleation, multiplication,

and motion are to dissipate the loading energy. The dislo cations in a material may be attracted to the free surface by the image

force [95–98]. As a result, the dislocation density in the

skinlayerofthematerialishigherthanthatinthedeeperl ayers.In

addition,dislocationdensityshouldhavealargergradie ntatahigher strain rate, and vice versa. If the dislocation density isnot high enough to accommodate the loading from machin-ing, for example, the dislocation entanglement should firsttake place in the skin layer, followed by grain refinement

and cracking. Therefore, at a high strain rate, the distribution of

SSDfollowsthe'skineffect'.

Stresswaveeffect

At high strain rates, the contribution of stress waves to the skin effect' of SSD distribution

should be taken into consideration.Asshowninfigure8,thecompressivestress wavesareproducedduetothehigh-

speedsqueezingbyacuttingtool.

The stress waves propagate along the cutting direction andthey are partially reflected by the free surface because of theshortest propagation distance. The compressive stress wavescan be converted to tensile stress waves from the free surfacereflection, which wasalso describedbyHopkinson[99]. Fol-

$d = k \cdot a_g 1/\log(1 \cdot B),$

(11)

lowingthislineofreasoning,thereflectionwavesnearth efreesurfacemayproducetensilestressthatisunbearabl eforan

whereand λ are constants; a_g is the grit depth of cut.Equation (11) depicts that in grinding of ceramics, SSD

depthcanbesuppressedbyincreasingbrittlenessofcera mics,whichisobtainedwithanincreasedstrainrateinhi gh-speed

grinding.Inotherwords,the'skineffect'ofSSDdistribu tionexistsinmachiningofmaterialsatanincreasedspee d.

embrittledmaterial.Consequently,cracksmushroomn earthefreesurface.Thismaybethereasonfortheresultst hattherearportion (with stress wave reflection) were with more

damagethanthefrontportionofthesamplesubjectedtoi mpactloadinginthestudyconductedbyJiangetal[100]. Theimpact

energyisrapidlydissipatedbythemushroomingofthecr acks.



Figure 8. Schematic of stress waves propagating in the workpiece inhigh-speedmachining.

Correspondingly, the cracks are more concentrated in thanawayfrom the surface layer of the workpiece.

Cracking

Generally, SSD is dependent on stress distribution. Based on the Boussinesqelastic-field theory[101], as illustrated in figure 9, there is an elastically stressed (st rained)

regionbeneaththeloadingpoint.Foranindenterwithas harptip,thestresslevelapproachesinfinityaroundtheti panddecreases

away from the tip. However, the stress cannot approach in-finity since a material should yield or fracture as the stressexceedsthematerialstrength.Theregionissubjec tedtohydrodynamic stress and shear stress which may result ingrainrefinementorpulverization.

Material damage is due to the consequence of loadingduringwhichenergyisconsumedbythemateria lsubjectedtoloading. Damage is dependent not only on the intensity ofloading stress but also on the process of loading. In otherwords, it is also dependent on the strain rate during loading. Atanincreasedstrainrate, the damage increase scorre-

spondingly[100,102].Pingetalfoundthattheenergyde nsity in breaking a rock increased with the power law ofstrain rate [103]. At a high strain rate, the number of

smallcracksrapidlyincreasestoeffectivelyabsorbthei mpactenergy, the intersection of the small cracks results in

the comminution of a material. Therefore, material frag mentation increases with strain rate, as shown in figure 1 0.

Gradyproposedamodeltopredictfragmentsized, base don the balance between the kinetic energy and the newlycreatedsurfaceenergy, as shown in equation (12) [/104],

silicon, and finally the intact monocrystalline silicon [106], sequentially in the depth direction.

Figure 11 shows a schematic diagram of SSD in a brittlematerial subjected to machining. At the top surface is theamorphous layer below which is the pulverization layer.

Thepulverized material is squeezed by the cutting edget othetwo sides of the groove, for mingpile-

up.Medianandradialcracksform around the pulverization layer. If a radial crack extendstothesurface, surface chipping occurs.

Stress gradient may also be responsible for the 'skineffect' of SSD. At an increased strain rate, the stress

gradientincreases, which may result in a concentrated S SDI ayerbeneath the surface. As described in figure 12(a), at a

lowstrainrateinmachining,SSDdepthislargeandsoist hechip

size. On the other hand, as the strain rate increases, the

stressgradientincreases, which results inmore concentr ated SSD in the skin layer of the material. As shown in figure 12(b), the thicknesses of the respective amorphous and

pulverizationlayersdecrease, and so does the chipsize. I naddition, the

stressleveldecaysfasterduetoahigherstressgradient, w hichresultsinareducedSSDdepth.

Based on the above analysis, figure 13 describes the dis-tribution of SSD at different strain rates in machining.

Thematerialatthefrontofthecuttingtoolissubjectedto boththedeviatoric and hydrostatic stresses. In such a

case, the combi-nation of the two stresses tends to form a pulverization zonedescribedbyZhangetal[25].Thepulverizationzon econsists

of microscopic cracks and an amorphous layer (or a

 20^{12} KC

grain-refined layer). Macro-cracks initiate and propagate from

the boundary of the pulverization layer. The free surface of the

workpiece has the least resistance to crack propagation com-

paredtothebulkmaterialdownbelowthesurface.There fore,basedontheprincipleoftheminimummaterialresis tance,thecrackstendtopropagatetowardsthefreesurface, whichleadsto

thedamageconcentrationinthesurfacelayertocausethe' skineffect'. At an increased strain rate, as schematically shown

infigure13(b), the chipsize is decreased and the thicknesses of the pulverization and amorphous layers are reduced ac rates, the formation and distribution of dislocations follow the

'skineffect'andsodoesSSD.Dislocationsmovetowardst he

cordingly.

Morechippingisexpected in the machined surface beca use of the material embrittlement at the increased strain rate.

3. Discussion

Based on physics, SSD may be caused by lattice mismatch(e.g. dislocations and stacking faults) and bond rupture of amaterial. Generally, cracking can be a consequence of dislocations.Forexample,itmayresultfromtheaccumulat ion

and entanglement of dislocations. Therefore, at high str ain

whereversusisthesonicvelocity. The fragmentsizedec reases at an increased strain rate [105]. The limit to thegrain refinement is likely to be amorphization, as reported byZhao et al who discovered that the microstructural change

inthemonocrystallinesiliconunderalaser-

inducedshockloading. The surface layer of the silicon was left with layers of micrometer-sized grains,

nanometer-sizedgrains, amorphous

free surface under the image force, creating 'skin

effect', which leads to the dislocations as well as SSD acc umulation

nearthefreesurface.Ontheotherhand,highstrainratest endtopromotedislocationmultiplication,whichinturn obstructsmaterialdeformationand causes the embrittlementto thematerial.Basedon an early grindingstudy

conductedbyZhangandHowes[94]onceramicmateria ls,SSDdepth



Figure9.Schematicsof(a)astressed(strained)regionaroundtheloadingpoint;(b)stress(strain)distributioninthedepthdi rection.



Figure 10. Fragments of sandstone impacted at different strain rates. Reproduced with permission from [102].



Figure11.Subsurfacedamageofbrittlematerials.

decreases with an increase in the material brittleness. There-fore, the 'skin effect' of the dislocations and the materialembrittlement due to dislocation multiplication lead to the 'skineffect' of SSD at high strain rates.

Practically,numerousfactors,suchasstrainandstrain rate, dislocation movement, crack initiation and propagation,materialphasetransformation,stressdistr ibution,andstress

wave propagation, as well as the changes in the materialproperties, are collectively responsible for the 'skineffect' of SSD. It is difficult to analyze the 'skin effect' from one factor alone. However, the effect can be comprehended f romthe

aspectofenergydissipation.

From the energy point of view, machining is recognizedas an energy rebalance process. A system with the minimumenergy level is the most stable. A material in machining isactivated with an elevated energy that has a tendency to transform into the most stable state of the minimum energy. The material damage, including dislocations and cracking, is a way of energy relaxation. Based on the minimum energy principle, the damage tends to move to wards wh ere the



(a) Low strain rate(b) High strain rate Figure 12. Subsurfaced amage evolution with strain rate.





Figure 13. Propagation of macro-cracks at different strain rates.

energyrequirementisthelowestfordamageformation. Since the free surface has the lowest energy for damage

formation compared to other locations within the material, damagetends to propagate towards the free surface.

In this paper, the effect of temperature rise on damageformationinmachiningistemporarilyputaside tosimplifythediscussion. The temperature in machining indeed affects themechanical behavior of a material, such as dislocation kinetics[107, 108], stress wave propagation, and eventually surfaceintegrity of a machined part. Specifically, in the

conventionalmachiningofductilematerials, temperatur ehasanotableeffectonthegenerationofthesurfacemetam orphiclayer[17,20,109]. Whereasatthehighstrain-

ratemachining,thetemperatureeffectcanbeneglected.T hereasonisexpatiatedinthefollowing.

Temperature rise is a reflection of the heat generation inmachining. The heat in machining of a ductile material ismainly generated from material shear and friction. However, at a high strain rate, the material is embrittled, which directlycontributestotheheatreductionfromthedecrea sedshearandfrictionandthustothetemperaturereducti onaccordingly.

The 'skin effect' of damage at high strain rates provides aguidance for many industrial applications. In machining, the 'skin effect' allows to acquire the desired surface quality of amachined part by increasingstrain rate inmachining, such as ultrasonicassisted machining and peening.

II. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OUTLOOK

This paper proposes the 'skin effect' of material damage athighstrainratesforthefirsttime.The'skineffect' isapplicablenotonlytothehardandbrittlematerials,but also to most other engineering materials, such as metallic materials. The paper draws the following concluding remarks.

(a) The'skineffect'ofdamageisobtainedatahigh strainrateinaloadingprocess.

(b) Highstrainrateresultsinanincreaseinmateria lbrittleness.

(c) Brittlenessisamaterialpropertythatcontribut estothe

'skineffect'ofdamageinaloadingprocess;

The 'skin effect' of damage can have numerous industrialapplications.OnedirectapplicationistheHS Mofthediffi-

cult-to-machine materials, such as ceramics, high strengthmetals, and composite materials. Nevertheless, many issuesremain unresolved, such as how high the stain rate should bein order to suppress SSD in machining. Other issues mayinclude dislocation nucleation and motion, interactions

amongdislocationsduringloadingatahighstrainrate.

With a rapid development of the modern testing equip-ment and techniques, to have well-controlled condi-tionscomestoreality.Hightesting speedandhighprecisionmachinetools are readily available. addition. the state-of-the-In artcharacterization facilities, such as the focused ion be amdeviceincombination with high-

resolutiontransmission

electron microscopes (HRTEM), the cathode luminescencedeviceincombinationwithSEM, arealso readilyaccessible.

Withtheaforementionedmoderntestingequipmentan dtechniques, the unresolved issues are expected to be res olved, and the underlying physical mechanisms of the 'skin effect'

ofdamagecanfurtherbeexplored in the near future.

REFERENCES

- GaoY2014Studyonhighstrianratedeformatio [1] nofalumina, siliconcarbideceramics and Al₂O₃/ SiCnanocompositesSchoolofMaterialsScienc eandTechnology(Beijing:ChinaUniversity ofGeosciences)
- SternbergJ1989Materialpropertiesdeterminin [2] gtheresistanceofceramicstohighvelocitypenet rationJ.Appl.Phys.653417-24
- UlutanDandOzelT2011Machininginducedsu [3] rfaceintegrityintitaniumandnickelalloys:arevi ewInt.J.Mach.ToolsManuf.51250-80
- ThakurAandGangopadhyayS2016State-of-[4] theartinsurfaceintegrityinmachiningofnickelbasedsuperalloysInt.J.Mach.ToolsManuf.100 25 - 54
- HahnPO2001The300mmsiliconwafer-[5]

acostandtechnologychallengeMicroelectron. Eng.563-13

- WangZ,TianB,PantouvakiM,GuoW,AbsilP, [6] VanCampenhoutJ,MercklingCandThourhout DV2015RoomtemperatureInPdistributedfeedbacklaserarray directlygrownonsiliconNat.Photon.9837
- [7] PeiZJ,BillingsleySRandMiuraS1999Grindin ginducedsubsurfacecracksinsiliconwafersInt. J.Mach.ToolsManuf.391103-16
- [8] MasukoKetal2014Achievementofmorethan2 5% conversion efficiency with crystalline silicon heterojunctionsolarcellIEEEJ.Photovolt.414 33-5
- [9] TaguchiM, YanoA, TohodaS, MatsuyamaK, NakamuraY, Nishiwa kiT,FujitaKandMaruyamaE201424.7%recor defficiencyhitsolarcellonthinsiliconwaferIEE EJ.Photovolt.496-9
- [10] RyuHY,JeonKS,KangMG,YuhHK,ChoiYHa ndLeeJS2017Acomparativestudyofefficiency droopandinternalelectricfieldforInGaNblueli ghtingemittingdiodesonsiliconandsapphiresubstrate sSci.Rep.744814
- [11] Zang Z,ZengX, Du J, WangM and TangX 2016Femtosecondlaserdirectwritingofmicroh olesonroughenedZnOforoutputpowerenhanc ementofInGaNlightemittingdiodesOpt.Lett.413463-6
- LeeY J, HwangJ M,HsuTC,Hsieh MH,Jou [12] J.LeeB Μ J,LuTC,KuoHCandWangSC2006Enhancingt heoutputpowerofGaNbasedLEDsgrownonwetetchedpatternedsapphiresubstratesIEEEPhoto nicsTechnol.Lett.181152-4
- [13] YinZ,HuangC,YuanJ,ZouB,LiuHandZhuH2 015Cuttingperformanceandlifepredictionofa nAl₂O₃/TiCmicro-nanocompositeceramictoolwhenmachiningausteni ticstainlesssteelCeram.Int.417059-65
- ShalabyMA,ElHakimMA,AbdelhameedMM [14] ,KrzanowskiJE,VeldhuisSCandDosbaevaGK 2014Wearmechanismsofseveralcuttingtoolm aterialsinhardturningofhighcarbonchromiumtoolsteelTribol.Int.70148-54
- LiHN,YuT B,Zhu L D andWangWS2016 [15] EvaluationofgrindinginducedsubsurfacedamageinopticalglassBK7 J.Mater.Process.Technol.229785-94
- Che-[16] HaronCHandJawaidA2005Theeffectofmachi ningonsurfaceintegrityoftitaniumalloyTi-6% Al-4% V J.Mater.Process.Technol.166188–92
- ThakurA, MohantyA and Gangopadhyay S201 [17]

4Comparative study of surface integrity aspects of Incoloy825 during machining with uncoated and CVD multilayercoatedinsertsAppl.Surf.Sci.32082 9–37

- [18] HerbertC,AxinteD,HardyMandBrownPD201 2Investigation into the characteristics of white layersproduced in a nickel-based superalloy from drillingoperationsMach.Sci.Technol.1640– 52
- [19] ImranM,MativengaP,GholiniaAandWithersP 2015AssessmentofsurfaceintegrityofNisuper alloyafterelectricaldischarge,laserandmechanicalmicrodrillingprocessesInt.J.Adv.Manuf.Technol.7 91303–11
- [20] AramcharoenA,MativengaPTandManufactur ing,L.P.Group2007Whitelayerformationand hardeningeffectsinhardturningofH13toolsteel withCrTiAlNandCrTiAlN/MoSTcoatedcarbidetoolsInt.J.Adv.Manuf.Technol. 36650
- [21] ZhangB,ShenW,LiuY,TangXandWangY199 7Microstructuresofsurfacewhitelayerandinter nalwhiteadiabaticshearbandWear211164–8
- [22] WangC,FangQ,ChenJ,LiuYandJinT2016Sub surfacedamageinhighspeedgrindingofbrittlematerialsconsideringki nematiccharacteristicsofthegrindingprocessI nt.J.Adv.Manuf.Technol.83937–48
- [23] YamaguchiH,SrivastavaAK,TanMA,Riveros REandHashimotoF2012Magneticabrasivefin ishingofcuttingtoolsformachiningoftitaniuma lloysCIRPAnn.61311–4
- [24] ZhangB,TokuraHandYoshikawaM1988Stud yonsurfacecrackingofaluminascratchedbysin gle-pointdiamondsJ.Mater.Sci.233214–24
- [25] ZhangBandHowesTD1994MaterialremovalmechanismsingrindingceramicsCIR PAnn.43305–8