**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

**OPEN ACCESS** 

and

# Subclasses of Analytic...] Subclasses of Analytic Functions Associated With (J, K)-Symmetrical Functions H.N. Kanthalakshmi, F.S Alsarari and S. Lathal

<sup>\*</sup>H.N. Kanthalakshmi, F.S Alsarari and S. Latha

Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja's College, University of Mysore, Mysore 570 005, INDIA Corresponding Author: H.N. Kanthalakshmi,

## ABSTRACT

The objective of the present paper is to study results that are defined using differential operator  $_{SD}\sigma_{,s,l}^{\sigma,s,l}$ ,

the notions of (i,k)-symmetrical functions. The integral representation and some properties for these class are obtained.

**Keywords:** Subordination, Convex functions, k-fold symmetric domain, k-fold symmetric function, (*j*,*k*)-symmetric points. **2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45.

Date of Submission: 13-09-2017 Date of acceptance: 28-09-2017

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of form

$$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_{n}z^{n},$$
(1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk Error!, and S denote the subclass of A consisting of all function which are univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

For f and g be analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$ , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in  $\mathcal{U}$ , if there exists an analytic function w in U such that |w(z)| < 1 with w(0)=0, and f(z)=g(w(z)), and we denote this by  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ . If g is univalent in U, then the

$$\begin{array}{l} =z^{+} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}^{z} , \\ n=2 \end{array}$$
 nd subordination is equivalent to  $f(0)=g(0)$  and

 $f(\mathcal{V}) \subset g(\mathcal{V})$ . The convolution or Hadamard product of two analytic functions  $f,g \in A$  where f is defined by (1)

and 
$$g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}b_nz^n$$
, is

$$(f^*g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

The convolution or Hadamard product of two analytic functions  $f,g \in \mathcal{A}$  where f is defined by (1)

and  $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}b_nz^n$ , is

$$(f^*g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

In order to define a new class of symmetrical functions defined in the open unit disk U, we first recall the notion of k-fold symmetric functions defined in k-fold symmetric domain, where k is any positive integer. A domain D is said to be k-fold symmetric if a rotation of  $\mathcal{D}$  about the origin through an angle  $\frac{2\pi}{k}$  carries  $\mathcal{D}$  onto itself. A function f is said to be k-fold symmetric in  $\mathcal{D}$  if for every z in  $\mathcal{D}$  we

$$\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right) = 2\pi i \frac{2\pi i}{k} (\pi)$$

The family of all k-fold symmetric functions is denoted by  $s^k$ , and for k=2 we get class of odd univalent functions. In 1995, Liczberski and Polubinski [] constructed the theory of (j,k)-symmetrical functions for  $j=0,1,2,\ldots,k-1$ ) and (k=2,3,... If  $\mathcal{D}$  is k-fold symmetric domain and j any integer, then a function  $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C$  is called (j,k)-symmetrical if for each  $z \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $f(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon^{j} f(z)$ . We note that the (j,k)-symmetrical functions is a generalization of the notions of even, odd, and

*k*-symmetrical functions The theory of (i,k)-symmetrical functions has many interesting applications; for instance, in the investigation of the set of fixed points of mappings,

have

$$\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}z\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}}f(z), z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

for the estimation of the absolute value of some integrals, and for obtaining some results of the type of Cartan's uniqueness theorem for holomorphic mappings, see [].

Denote the family of all (j,k)-symmetrical functions by  $s^{(j,k)}$ . We observe that  $s^{(0,2)}$  $s^{(1,2)}$  and  $s^{(1,k)}$  are the classes of even, odd and k-symmetric functions respectively. We have the following decomposition theorem:

**Theorem 1** [, Page 16] For every mapping  $f: \mathcal{V} \mapsto C$ , and a k-fold symmetric set  $\mathcal{V}$ , there exists exactly one sequence of (j,k)-symmetrical functions  $f_{i,k}$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f_{j,k}(z),$$

where

$$f_{j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu j} f\left(\varepsilon^{\nu} z\right), z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(2)

Now, we define the differential operator  $\delta \mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}$  as follows; The operator  $\delta \mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}$ can be written in terms of convolution as

$$\lambda, \delta^{\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}}(z) = \psi(z)^* \psi(z)^* \dots \psi(z)_{\sigma-times}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^s z^n f(z)$$
$$\psi(z) = \left[ \frac{\lambda}{l+1} \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{\lambda}{l+1} \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{z}{(1-z)} \right]^* \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\delta+1}} z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
$$\lambda, \delta^{\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^s \left( c(\delta,n) \left[ \frac{\lambda(n-1)+l+1}{l+1} \right]^{\sigma} a \right)_n z^n$$

where

**Error!** Note that  $0,1,0,f(z)=0^{1,0,0}$ , f(z)=zf(z)and

 $\overset{0,0,0}{\underset{\lambda,\delta}{\mathcal{D}}} f(z) = f(z).$ When  $\sigma=0$ get we

Sălăgean differential the [], operator when s=l=0, we obtain the Maslina Darus and W.Ibrahim Rabha differential operator Π, when  $s=0,\delta=0,$ we get the multiplier transformation [] and when  $\delta = s = l = 0$ , we obtain the Al-oboudi differential operator [].

We denote by  $S^*$  and K the familiar subclasses consisting of functions which, respectively starlkie and convex in U. Al Sarari and Latha introduced and studied the classes which are related to (j,k)-symmetrical functions. For more details about the classes with (j,k)-symmetrical functions see [, , , ].

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathcal{K}^{\sigma,s,l}(\lambda,\delta,\alpha,\beta)$ denote the class of functions  $f \in A$  satisfying the inequality

$$\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z))'\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z))'\right|} & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z))'\right| \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left|\begin{array}{cccc} (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left| z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z \right| \\ (z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z) & \left| z, \phi^{\sigma, s, l}, z \right| \\ (z$$

where,

www.ijera.com

$$f_{j,k}(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\lambda,\sigma}^{k-l} \varepsilon_{\lambda,\sigma}^{-\nu j} D^{\sigma,s,l}(\varepsilon^{\nu z}), \varepsilon^{k} = l$$

$$\tag{4}$$

**II. MAIN RESULTS** 

where

**Error!** *and j*=0,1,2,...,*k*-1.

**Theorem 2.1** A function  $\int_{\beta,k} \mathcal{K}^{\sigma,s,l}(\lambda,\delta,\alpha,\beta)$ 

 $\lambda, \delta^{\mathcal{D}}$ 

if and only if

$$\frac{(z(\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}_{\lambda,\delta})''_{\lambda,\delta})''_{\lambda,\delta}}{(z,z)} \sim \frac{(z(\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}_{\lambda,\delta})''_{\lambda,\delta})''_{\lambda,\delta}}{(1-\alpha\beta z)}$$

[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} ... \end{proof}] Remark 2 From Theorem Error! Reference source not found. we have

$$\frac{\int \left(z_{0} \mathcal{O}^{\sigma,s,l}_{j(z)}\right)' + \int \left(z_{0} \mathcal{$$

since

$$\mathcal{R} \left\{ \frac{1+\beta z}{1-\alpha\beta z} \right\} = 0$$
  
then  $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l} \quad t \in \mathcal{K},$ 

**Theorem 2.3** If  $f_{j,k} \in \mathcal{K}^{\sigma,s,l}(\lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta)$ ,

2.5

**Remark 4** From Theorem and inequality (5), we know that if  $f_{\vec{k}} \in \mathcal{K}^{\sigma,s,l}(\lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta)$ ,

Then

then 
$$\mathcal{D}^{\sigma,s,l}_{\lambda,\delta}$$
 is a quasi- convex function.  
2

### .1 The Integral Representation

In this section, we give the integral representation of functions in the class  $\sigma s^{l}$ 

*j,k* 
$$\mathcal{K}^{O,S,l}(\lambda,\delta,\alpha,\beta)$$
.

Theorem

Let 
$$f \in \mathcal{K}^{\sigma, s, l}(\lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta)$$

where  $\lambda, \delta^{\sigma,s,l}(z)$  is defined by the equality (4),  $\omega$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\omega(0)=0, |\omega(z)| < 1$ . [Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} ...

**5** \end{proof}]  
**Theorem 2.6**  

$$f \in \mathcal{K}^{\sigma,s,l}(\lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta)$$
. Then  
**Error**!

Let

$$\lambda, \delta^{\mathcal{O}} \overset{\sigma, s, l}{f(z)} = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\xi} \int_{0}^{\xi} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon^{\mu} z} \frac{(1+\alpha)\omega(t)}{t(1-\alpha\beta\omega(t))} dt \right\} \frac{1+\beta\omega(\eta)}{1-\alpha\beta\omega(\eta)} d\eta d\xi$$
(7)

where  $\omega$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\omega(0)=0$ ,  $|\omega(z)|<1$ .

 $[Sorry. Ignored \begin{proof} \dots \end{proof}]$ 

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer-Verlag, (1983).
- [2]. F. Al Sarari and S. Latha, A few results on functions that are Janowski starlike related to (j,k)-symmetric points, Octagon

Mathematical Magazine. **21**(2), (2013), 556–563.

[3]. S. Ruscheweye and T, Sheil-Small, Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 48, (1979), 119-135.

www.ijera.com

- [4]. R. M. Goel and B. S. Mehrok, Some invariance properties of a subclass of close-to-convex functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math 12(10), 1240-1249, 1981.
- [5]. F. Al Sarari and S. Latha, A note on functions defined with related to (j,k)-symmetric points, International Journal of Mathematical Archive. 6(8), (2015), 1-6.
- [6]. F. Al Sarari and S. Latha, A note on coefficient inequalities for symmetrical functions with conic regions, An. Univ. Oradea, fasc. Mat. 23(1), (2016), 67-75.
- [7]. F. Al Sarari and S. Latha, On symmetrical functions with bounded boundary rotation, J. Math. Comput Sci. 4(3), (2014), 494-502.
- [8]. G. S. SaLagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Mathe. Springer - Verlag, 2013, (1983), 362 - 372.
- [9]. M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, Generalization of Differential Operator, Journal of

Mathematics and Statistics, 4(3): 138-144,2008.

- [10]. F.M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized sălăgean operator, Int.j. Math. Sci., 27, 1429-1436, 2004.
- [11]. A. Catas, On certain class of p-valent functions defined by multiplier transformations in proceedings of the International symposium on geometric function theory and applications. GFTA 2007, proceedings (Istanbul, Turky 20-24, August 2007).
- [12]. T.Lakshminarasimhan, On subclasses of functions starlike in the unit disc, J. Indian. Math. Soc. 41 (1977), 233-243.
- [13]. A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, (1957), 598â"-601.
- [14]. P. Liczberski and J. PoNubiński, On (j,k)-symmetrical functions, Math. Bohem. 120(1), (1995), 13–28.

International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) is **UGC approved** Journal with Sl. No. 4525, Journal no. 47088. Indexed in Cross Ref, Index Copernicus (ICV 80.82), NASA, Ads, Researcher Id Thomson Reuters, DOAJ.

H.N. Kanthalakshmi. "Subclasses of Analytic...] Subclasses of Analytic Functions Associated With (J, K)-Symmetrical Functions H.N. Kanthalakshmi, F.S Alsarari and S. Latha] ." International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), vol. 7, no. 9, 2017,