RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Review Study of soil behavior mix with Reinforcement Fiber

*Dhyanendra Singh Rajput[1], Mukesh Pandey[2], Rakesh Gupta[3]
[1]. *M.tech Student, ITM University, Gwalior, M.P., India*
[2]. *Professor & Head, Department of Civil Engineering, ITM University, Gwalior.*
[3]. *Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, ITM University, Gwalior.*
Corresponding Author: Dhyanendra Singh Rajput

ABSTRACT
Soil reinforcement has more and more become a viable choice for raising the performance of earth structure underneath unstable and dynamic loading. Within the past few decades, haphazardly distributed fiber-reinforced soils even have been studied for geotechnical engineering applications. Unlike soil structures strengthened with placoid inclusions, soil structures strengthened with haphazardly distributed fibers area unit designed mistreatment composite approaches. Variety of experimental studies are reportable on fiber-reinforced soil by many researchers. many composite models are planned by them to clarify the contribution to shear strength from haphazardly distributed fibers at intervals a soil mass. My study presents a review of the out there literature explaining the mechanisms and also the models for predicting shear strength behavior of fiber-reinforced soils.

Date of Submission: 22-08-2017 Date of acceptance: 17-09-2017

I. INTRODUCTION

In India, the trendy era of soil stabilization began in early 1970's, with a general shortage of crude oil and aggregates, it became necessary for the engineers to seem at suggests that to boost soil aside from commutation the poor soil at the vacant lot. Soil stabilization was used however owing to the employment of obsolete strategies and additionally owing to the absence of correct technique, soil stabilization lost favor. In recent times, with the rise within the demand for infrastructure, raw materials and fuel, soil stabilization has began to take a replacement form. With the provision of higher analysis, materials and instrumentality, it's rising as a preferred and cost-efficient methodology for soil improvement. Unlike consistently strengthened soils restricted info hasbeen reportable on haphazardly distributed fiber-reinforced soils within the literature. However, associate increasing range of experimental and numerical studies on the topic are conducted by many researchers within the previous few decades (e.g., Hoare, 1979; Grayan d Ohashi, 1983; Freitag, 1986; Grayand Al-Refeai, 1986; Maher and grey, 1990; Michalowski and Zhao, 1996; Ranjan et al., 1996; Bauer and Oancea, 1996; Wasti and Butun, 1996; Consoli et al., 1998; Kumar et al., 1999; Kaniraj and Havanagi, 2001; Santoni et al., 2001; Michalowski and Cermak, 2003; Yetimoglu and Salbas, 2003). These studies indicate that stress–strain-strength properties of haphazardly distributed fiber strengthened soils also are a perform of fiber content, ratio, and fiber surface friction at the side of the soil and fiber index and strength characteristics. the most objective of this study was to see the result of fiber reinforcement content on bearing capability, stiffness and malleability of the fiber-reinforced sand fill on soft clay sub grade. A series of laboratory California Bearing (CBR) tests were administrated with variable reinforcement content. The results obtained from the tests were conferred and mentioned. Several ground improvement techniques are developed for the development of engineering properties of soils. This includes replacement of weak soils by fascinating smart quality soils, use of ready-made drains, chemical stabilization by cement or lime, inclusion of reinforcement at intervals soil, jet grouting etc. variety of soil reinforcement works areadministrated when the rimary development of the principle for strengthened earth by Gore Vidal in 1969. ab initiothe reinforcement has been administrated withinthe typical means by mistre atment geosynthetics (strip, geotextiles, geogrids etc.). soon soil reinforcement with haphazardly distributed fibers has been incorporated with success.
A number of laboratory studies (direct shear check, triaxial compression check, unconfined compression check, CBR test) are administrated by totally different researchers to research the behavior of fiber-reinforced soils. of these studies have foretold that

the addition of fiber reinforcement causes vital improvement within the strength of the soil. The contribution of the fibers is ruled by the development in equivalent friction angle and cohesion of soil within the composite mix. It is terribly costly to exchange the inferior soil entirely soil and thus, soil stabilization is the factor to seem for in these cases
1.It improves the strength of the soil, thus, increasing the soil bearing capability.
2. it's a lot of economical each in terms of value and energy to extend the bearing capability of the soil instead of going for deep foundation or foot.
3. it's additionally accustomed offer a lot of stability to the soil in slopes or different such places. typically soil stabilization is additionally accustomed forestall eating away or formation of dirt, that is incredibly helpful particularly in dry and arid weather

Arenicz and Chowdhury (1988) bestowed the results of laboratory tests on the performance of earth walls reinforced each by strips and random reinforcements. Their results showed that the presence of random reinforcement in an exceedingly backfill soil "enhances the apparent friction angle of such a material underneath the conditions of bond failure," which the rise during this apparent friction angle is in direct relationship to reinforcement content and shape. Unfortunately, they didn't conduct these tests over a variety of confining stresses. Therefore, the persistence of this increased friction angle at higher confining stresses isn't proverbial.
Bauer and Oancea (1996), supported their triaxial test results, indicated that the secant modulus as a sign of the stiffness at intervals the initial vertical strain of twenty-two decreased with increasing plastic fiber contents up to r ¼ 0:5%: They additionally reported that on the far side this vertical strain the secant modulus remains fairly constant.

Consoli et al. (1998), conducting triaxial compression tests, showed that fiber reinforcement increased the peak and residual strengths, however decreased stiffness.
They additionally indicated that the cohesion intercept was slightly littered with fiber inclusions. Freitag (1986) reported that every which way distributed steel fibers in an exceedingly compacted fine-grained soil may lead to larger strength and stiffness.

Gray and Al-Refeai (1986) administered a series of triaxial compression tests on a dry sand reinforced with every which way distributed, distinct fibers and finished that: (1) Presence of fibers increased each the last word strength and stiffness of strengthened sand, and a decrease in stiffness at low strains, ascertained with material inclusions, didn't oc cur with the fibers; (2) increase in strength with fiber inclusion varied linearly to a fiber content of 2% by weight, so approached an asymptotic upper limit; and(3) at a similar ratio (length to diameter ratio) and weight fraction (content), rougher (not stiffer) fibers cared-for be simpler in increasing strength at low confining stresses.

Hoare (1977) bestowed the results of a series of laboratory compression and CBR tests on a sandy gravel reinforced with little amounts (<2% by weight) of random fibers. His results showed that the presence of fibers increased the soil's resistance to compaction. Consequently, once a continuing compaction effort was applied to a variety of samples with increasing fiber content, the strength increase was insignificant, and in many samples, the strength truly decreased . This was most likely caused by Associate in Nursing associated increase in body that occurred with increasing fiber content.

Kaniraj and Havanagi (2001), conducting unconfined compression tests on cement stabilized fiber-reinforced fly ash –soil mixtures, concluded that randomly oriented polyester fiber inclusions increased the strength of the raw fly ash–soil specimens as well as that of the cement-stabilized specimens and changed their brittle behavior to ductile behavior.

Kaniraj and Havanagi (2001), conducting unconfined compression tests on cement stabilised fiber-reinforced fly ash –soil mixtures, finished that every which way homeward bound polyester inclusions increased the strength of the raw fly ash–soil specimens moreover as that of the cement-stabilized specimens and adjusted their brittle behavior to ductile behavior.

Kumar et al. (1999), supported their laboratory investigations conducted on a loose sand and pool ash specimens strengthened with every which way distributed polyester fibers, finished that the fibers increased the height compressive strength, CBR value, peak friction angle and malleability of the specimens. They additionally reportedthat the optimum fiber content for each siltys and pool ash was just about 0.3–0.4% of capacity measure weight.

Michalowski and Zhao (1996), supported triaxial check results, indicated that the steel fibers crystal rectifier to a rise within the peak shear–stress, and therefore the stiffness before reaching failure. They additionally reported that polymeric amide fibers created a rise within the peak shear–stress for big confining pressures, however the result was related to a substantial loss of stiffness before failure and a considerable increase of the strain to failure.

Ranjan et al. (1996), on the premise of the triaxial test and applied statistical analysis, concluded that the inclusion of fibers caused a rise in peak shear strength and reduction within the loss of post-peak stress.

Santoni et al. (2001), based on their laboratory unconfined compression tests conducted on sand specimens reinforced with randomly oriented discrete fibers, concluded that the fiber inclusions significantly improved the unconfined compression strength of sand specimens. They also reported that a maximum performance was achieved at a fiber content rate between 0.6% and 1.0% dry weight. The disagreement among the reported results is attributed to the difference in the material properties and testing conditions.

Santoni et al. (2001), supported their laboratory unconfined compression tests conducted on sand specimens reinforced with every which way homeward-bound distinct fibers, concluded that the fiber inclusions considerably improved the unconfined compression strength of sand specimens. They additionally reported that a most performance was achieved at a fiber content rate between 0.6% and 1.0% dry weight. The disagreement among the reported results is attributed to the distinction within the material properties and testing conditions.

Yetimoglu and Salbas (2003), concluding direct shear tests on a sand, indicated that peak shear strength and initial stiffness of the sand weren't affected considerably by the every which way distributed distinct fibers. They additionally reported that residual shear strength angle of the sand cared-for increase by adding the fiber reinforcement.

II. CONCLUSIONS

I concluded from past study soil reinforcement with indiscriminately distributed fibers as tension material has been accepted for the advance of geotechnical behavior of weak soils. Improvement of strength of the soil by reinforcement is achieved in terms of apparent cohesion developed or in increase in soil friction angle. The strength improvement depends on the shear strength of soil, durability and distribution pattern of reinforcement. The stress-strain response of fiber-reinforced soil composite depends on fiber content, fiber ratio, and fiber-soil interface friction, strength characteristics of fibers, soil-reinforcement bond strength and internal friction angle of soil.

REFERENCES

[1] Arenicz, R. M., and Chowdhury, R. (1988). "Laboratory investigation of earth walls I, simultaneously reinforced by strips and random reinforcement." *Geotech. Testing f J.,* 11(4), 241-247.

[2] Bauer, G.E. and Oancea, A., "Triaxial Testing of Granular Soils Reinforced with Discrete Polypropylene Fibers", Proceedings of the First European Geosynthetic Conference, Maastricht, Netherlands,

[3] Consoli, N. C., Prietto, P. D. M. and Ulbrich, L. A. (1999). ''The behavior of a fibre-reinforced cemented soil.'' Ground Improvement,London, 3(1), 21–30.

[4] Freitag (1986), "Soil Randomly Reinforced with Fibers", August,1986.

[5] Gray, D. H., and Al-Refeai, T. (1986). "Behavior of fabric versus fiber-reinforced sand." *J. Geotech. Engrg.,* ASCE, 112(8), 804-820.

[6] Hoare, D. J. (1977). "Laboratory study of granular soils reinforced with randomly oriented discrete fibers." *Proc, Int. Conf. on Use of Fabrics in Geotech.,* L'Ecole

Nationale des Ponts et Chausses, I, Paris, France, 47-52.

[7] Kaniraj, S.R., Gayathri, V., 2003. Geotechnical behavior of fly ash mixed with randomly oriented fiber inclusions. Geotextiles and Geomembranes 21, 123–149.

[8] Kumar, R., Kanaujia V.K. and Chandra D., "Engineering Behavior of Fiber-Reinforced Pond Ash and Silty Sand", Geosynthetics International, 6, 509- 518, 1999

[9] 7. Michalowski, R.L., Cˇ erma´ k, J., 2003. Triaxial compression of sand reinforced with fibers. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering 129 (2), 125–136.

[10] Ranjan, G., Vasan, R.M., Charan, H.D., 1996. Probabilistic analysis of randomly distributed fiber-reinforced soil. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering 122 (6), 419–426.

[11] 9.Santoni V, Rabilloud T, Doumas P, Rouquié D, Mansion J, Rossignol M. Towards the recovery of strength of soil by mixing reinforced fiber. 2001;20:70–71.

[12] 10.Yetimoglu, T., Inanir, M., Inanir, O.E., 2005. A study on bearing capacity of randomly distributed fiber-reinforced sand fills overlying soft clay. Geotextiles and Geomembranes 23 (2), 174–183

International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) is **UGC approved** Journal with Sl. No. 4525, Journal no. 47088. Indexed in Cross Ref, Index Copernicus (ICV 80.82), NASA, Ads, Researcher Id Thomson Reuters, DOAJ.

Dhyanendra Singh Rajput. "Review Study of soil behavior mix with Reinforcement Fiber." International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA), vol. 7, no. 9, 2017, pp. 20–23.