3g Umts Radio Network Optimisation Using Drive Test and Post Processing Tool

K. Sandeep Rajeev¹, R. Sudheer Babu² and Naresh³


Abstract

RF performance parameters such as the received signal strength, Throughput, Channel quality indicator (CQI), pilot pollution etc., are defined for the efficient and effective functioning of the RF network. Also we will measure short-call and long-call control tests from the drive testing process. And this project requires us to work on various tools such as JDSU 16.3 Drive test tool, ACTIX Post processing tool. By analyzing the drive test results, the main motive is to identify problems like less throughput, drop calls, handover failures in any Network like BSNL, Hutch etc., service test area and necessitate steps to improve the throughput, reduce call drops and handover failure rate. How to optimize the Node B coverage area successfully is the real challenge. If the optimization is successfully performed, then the QoS, reliability and availability of RF Coverage area will be highly improved resulting in more customers and more profits to the mobile telecom service providers.

Index terms: MS, TRX, BTS, BSC, MSC, OMCR, CSSR, CDR, HSR, TCH, KPI, CGI and QoS.

I. INTRODUCTION

GSM network usually called as 'cellular network' (as the whole coverage area is divided into different cells and sectors) is comprised of a mobile Station (MS) which is connected to the Base Transceiver Station (BTS) via air interface. In addition to other hardware, BTS contains the equipment called Transceiver (TRX), which is responsible for the transmission and reception of several radio frequency (RF) signals to/from the end user. BTS is then connected to the base station controller (BSC) via abis interface. BSC usually handles radio resource management and handovers of the calls from one BTS (or cell/sector) to the other BTS (or cell/sector) equipped in it. BSC is then connected to Mobile Switching Centre (MSC). Before GSM network installation, RF network planning (RNP) teams plan the BTS sites to cover a certain specific area keeping in view the terrain and population. Moreover, marketing teams also help RNP teams to predict population and user traffic estimation in the days to come. RNP teams visit the areas to be covered and prepare technical sites survey reports (TSSR). RNP teams use specific enterprise tools such as MapInfo, ASSETT etc. to plan the sites having different frequency and miscellaneous parameter allocations. Once the sites are planned, the next phase is to acquire the required land called site acquisition phase. After site acquisition, engineering teams install BTS sites. RNP teams also testify the planned sites with some test parameters and frequencies to verify their planned parameters and link budgets etc. such as signal level, signal quality, speech quality, path balance, path loss, call connectivity and so on. To cater the subscriber demand, RF optimization teams ensure minimum blocking/congestion over the air interface in order to provide better QoS to guarantee significant network performance. RF Optimization teams used to analyze Performance stats and evaluate QoS offered by the existing network. Since the deployment of GSM network, it has been observed practically that there are many phenomena and issues which have been neglected in literature/available text but they severely influence the network performance.

II. EVALUATION CRITERIA

GSM network performance and QoS evaluation are the most important steps for the mobile operators as the revenue and customer satisfaction is directly related to network performance and quality. Radio frequency network optimization (RNO) teams play a very significant and vital role in optimizing an operational network to meet the ever-increasing demands from the end users. Usually the following tasks are assigned to RNO teams:

1) To improve the existing network coverage and capacity.
2) To improve the offered service quality for fulfillment of customer demands.
3) To maintain the KPIs under pre-defined threshold.
4) To sustain the QoS criteria being imposed by country’s regulatory authority.
5) To standardize and benchmark the network performance with that of competitor’s network to attract more customers keeping a balance between cost and quality.
6) To effectively reuse the available bandwidth and frequency carriers in order to avoid internal interference and service degradation.

III. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

GSM Network service providers analyze the network performance and evaluate service quality indicators. These indicators can be used for the following mentioned purposes:

1) To identify and locate BSS (hardware) occasional faults to ensure physical resource availability.
2) To help RF tuning teams to analyze the radio situation, detect radio network problems in one or more BTS and finally devise a way to optimize the network and adopt corrective actions like new frequency allocations, antenna tilt adjustment, and parameter modification in OMCR database etc.
3) To monitor system behavior and variance in terms of traffic load, congestion, successful attempts etc.
4) To predict the upcoming traffic evolution and network expansions as per increasing number of mobile users.
5) To benchmark network with another competitor’s network to attract more users at the cost of better quality.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FLOW

Usually the network performance and indicators are badly affected due to wrong site integrations especially in terms of definition and parameter point of view. Following are the requirements of optimization in terms of network operation:

1) Frequency allocation Plan
2) Broadcast control channel (BCCH) Plan
3) Neighboring cells Plan
4) Interference (C/I, C/A) values
5) Best Server Plots
6) Site Audit Reports

In order to be capable to measure the network performance, the patterns of a normal day should be considered, while for performance evaluation congestion situations should also be analyzed. Following KPIs are more important for GSM radio network optimization & benchmarking to achieve remarkable QoS:

1) CSSR (Call Set up Success Rate).
2) CDR (Call Drop Rate).
3) HSR (Handover Success Rate).
4) TCH (Traffic Channel) Congestion Rate.
5) RX Level. (Ec/No)
6) RX Quality. (RSCP)

V. CALL ORIGINATION PROCEDURE

Call origination process has been briefly mentioned here from a counters perspective.

Step1: Channel Request or demand sent to BTS by MS in order to set up a call. BTS then forwards the request to BSC. A counter activates in BSC upon receiving channel request from MS in a cell/BTS.

Step2: BSC sends the channel activation command to MS through BTS. Another counter activates here in order to count the channel allocation in a cell/BTS.

Step3: After the channel allocation, call initiates after necessary authentication from core/MSC end. Once the call connected, another counter starts in order to count the abnormal call drop or failures (due to BSS or radio link problems).

VI. KPI ASSESSMENT & QOS ESTIMATION

In order to understand how the behavior of traffic channels (TCH) and control channels (SDCCH) affects the network’s performance, one has to analyze TCH and SDCCH blocking when congestion in the network increases. The above mentioned KPIs are frequently used in performance judgment and QoS estimation of the network.

1. CALL SET-UP SUCCESS RATE (CSSR)

Indicator CSSR

Definition Rate of call attempts until TCH successful assignment.

Formula Number of successful seizure of SD channel by Total number of requests for seizure of SD channel.

Result = [(CT01+CT02)/CT03]*100

Condition Applied

Where counter CT01 counts SD channel successfully seized for Call termination &CT02 counts SD channels successfully seized for Call origination. CT03 counts SD seize requests. Where SD (usually called SDCCH stands for Stand-alone dedicated control channel) and TCH stands for Traffic channel. A number of issues are related to its degradation as addressed below.

a) Issues Observed:

CSSR might be affected and degraded due to following issues:
1) Due to radio interface congestion.
2) Due to lack of radio resources allocation (for instance: SDCCH).
3) Increase in radio traffic in inbound network.
4) Faulty BSS Hardware.
5) Access network Transmission limitations (For instance: abis expansion restrictions)

b) Analysis & Findings:
Following methods are used to diagnose CSSR degradation as well as improvements:
1) Radio link Congestion statistics monitored using radiocounter measurement.
2) Drive Test Reports.
3) Customer complaints related to block calls have been reviewed.

Improvement Methodologies:
Following measures significantly improve the CSSR in live network:
1) Radio Resources enhancement (Parameter modification/changes in BSS/OMCR) such as half rate, traffic load sharing and direct retry parameters implementation.
2) Transmission media Expansion to enhance hardware additions (such as TRX).
3) Faulty Hardware Replacement (such as TRX) in order to ensure the resources availability in live network

2. CALL DROP RATE (CDR)
Indicator CDR
Definition: Rate of calls not completed successfully.
Formula: Number of TCH drops after assignment by Total number of TCH assignments.
Result: \[ \frac{(CT04+CT05)}{(CT06)} \times 100 \]
Condition Applied: Where CT04 counts TCH drops due to radio interface problems & CT05 counts TCH drops due to BSS problems. CT06 counts numbers of TCH successfully seized/assigned. A number of issues are associated to its degradation as demonstrated below.

a) Issues Observed:
CDR might be affected due to following issues:
1) Interference (either external or internal) being observed over air interface. Internal interference corresponds to in-band (900/1800 MHz) while external interference corresponds to other wireless (usually military) networks.
2) Coverage limitation is also one of the factors, which increase CDR values.
3) Hardware faults (such as BTS transceivers) can also be incorporated in an increasing CDR, which is a part of BSS failures.
4) Missing adjacencies (definition in BSS/OMCR) is also an important factor in CDR values increment.

b) Analysis & Findings:
Following methods are used to diagnose the rise in CDR values:
1) Radio uplink statistics monitored using radio counter measurement in order to confirm any uplink interference.
2) Path Balance stats which depict average of ‘ERP-RXPower’ (where ‘ERP’ stands for effective radiated power over downlink and ‘RX’ stands for receive power over uplink) also divert attention towards faulty transceivers hardware.
3) Customer complaints related to block calls would have been reviewed.
4) Interference band / Spectrum scanners are also useful in finding and tracing the contaminated frequency carriers resulting in increasing CDR.
5) Drive Test Reports.

Improvement Methodologies:
Following are some methods in order to improve the CDR value up to certain pre-defined baseline:
1) Faulty Hardware Replacement in order to ensure the resources availability in live network.
2) Frequency plans review and model tuning in order to ensure the clean band carriers for serving cells. For instance; band conversion is done from 900 to 1800 MHz in order to cater uplink interference. Sometimes concentric cells (multi band cell having GSM & DCS transceivers) solution is also devised.
3) New site integration is also suggested in order to improve indoor and outdoor coverage, which is usually termed as "Grid Enhancement".
4) Sometimes RF repeaters are also used in order to amplify the radio signal to extend coverage area.
5) Existing coverage optimization might be done using physical optimization techniques.
6) Parameter tuning can also be done to improve call sustainability. This is done using OMC terminal. For instance Power control parameters. Decrease emitted power when signal receive level and quality (measured by peer entity) are better than a given value and vice versa.
7) Frequency hopping technique is also incorporated to minimize the effect of interference.
8) Change of antenna orientation (azimuth/tilt) i.e., increase the down tilt of interferer cell antenna.

3. HANDOVER SUCCESS RATE (HSR)
Indicator HSR
Definition: Rate of successful handovers (intracell + intracell).
Formula: No of successful [intracell + intracell] HA1 by Total number of handover requests.
Result: \[ \frac{((CT07+CT08)/CT09+CT10)}{CT10} \times 100 \]
Condition Applied:
Where CT07 counts no. of incoming successful handovers & CT08 counts no. of outgoing successful handovers. CT09 counts no. of outgoing HO requests while CT10 counts no. of incoming HO requests. A number of issues are related for its degradation as illustrated below:

**a) Issues Observed:**
HSR might be affected and degraded due to following issues:
1) Interference (either external or internal) being observed over air interface, which might affect on going call switching in case of handover.
2) HA stands for Handover Attempts
3) Hardware faults (such as BTS transceiver) can also be incorporated as a decreasing HSR, which is a part of BS failures.
4) Location area code (LAC) boundaries wrongly planned and/or defined (where Location area represents a cluster of cells).
5) Coverage limitation is also one of the factors, which decrease HSR values.

**b) Analysis & Findings:**
Following methods are used to diagnose HSR degradation as well as improvements:
1) Radio Congestion statistics monitored using radio counter measurement in order to confirm congestion occurrence in a particular cell or area.
2) Customer complaints can also reveal the issue.
3) Drive Test reports reviewed.
4) WCR (Worst Cell Ratio) and CSSR (Call Set up Success Rate) KPIs also depict the TCH congestion problem.
5) Future subscriber density and growth is also a factor for the judgment of upcoming congestion.

**c) Improvement Methodologies:**
Following measures are used to minimize the TCH congestion in live network:
1) BSS Resources addition and expansion (including transceivers and transmission media) are important factors for TCH congestion improvement.
2) Faulty hardware maintenance or replacement can also minimize TCH congestion.
3) Deployment of moving/portable BTS (commonly called COW BTS) can be used as a better solution to improve congestion in case of foreseeable special events such as sports events, important meetings, festivals and exhibitions etc.

5. RX LEVEL:

**a) Issues Observed:**
Low RX level might arise due to following issues:
1) Antenna orientation and tilt
2) High VSWR value
3) TX power

**b) Improvement Methodologies:**
Following measures are used to minimize the RX Level problems in live network:
1) Physical check of orientation and tilt
2) Check RF connectors and RF cables
3) Check the DRX power and connector

6. RX QUALITY:
   a) Issues Observed:
      Low RX Quality might arise due to the following issues:
      1) Interference
      2) Low Rx level
      3) H/O failure
      4) Assignment failure ratio
      5) Hardware problem

   b) Improvement Methodologies:
      Following measures are used to minimize the RX Quality problems in live network:
      1) Define proper neighbors
      2) Check DRX power and connectors
      3) Check BCCH and MAIO frequency
      4) Reduction of antenna height, orientation and tilt
      5) Check the neighbor list and definition
      6) Check the neighbor parameters
      7) Check DRX and check VSWR and RF cable connectivity
      8) Check DRX hardware

VII. Conclusion & Recommendations

The paper describes a simple procedure for cellular network performance estimation. In this paper, it has been analytically proved that we can optimize an existing cellular network using different methodologies and fine parameter tuning to offer remarkable QoS to the end users. Moreover, the issues discussed here are quite helpful for the analysis and performance evaluation of different cellular networks. Optimization teams use QoS reports in order to detect bad service quality areas. These reports also help to plan operators to enhance coverage, improve quality and increase capacity in the days to come. A mobile operator can also set its own QoS targets based on the KPIs in order to ensure end user satisfaction. QoS reports based on different KPIs are duly beneficial for the management team to compare network performance with the competitor’s one (called benchmarking) and to plan network evolution and strategy.

Moreover, it is hereby strongly recommended that all mobile operators must ensure a better QoS up to a certain threshold and baselines in order to satisfy official regulatory bodies who penalize operators in case of customer complaints regarding service quality. Hence, during radio network planning, it is suggested to all mobile operators that they must divert attention towards better network dimensioning, topology, allocated bandwidth, traffic prediction and modeling, network operational expense (OPEX), and network parameter settings to avoid subsequent issues during optimization phase. Secondly, end users require stringent QoS, which compels cellular operators to optimize network performance to meet revenue and commercial targets as well.
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