Imitated Rulepossession From Identical Web Sites Using Rule Ontology

Ms. Vidya. V*, Mrs. S. C. Punitha**

*M.Phil Scholar, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore, India ** Head of the Department, Computer Science, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore, India.

ABSTRACT

The rule ontology is a generalized, condensed, and specifically rearranged version of the existing rules. Rule acquisition research is relatively unpopular while there are many works on ontology learning. We proposed an automatic rule acquisition procedure using ontology, named Rule To Onto, that includes information about the rule components and their structures. We started from the idea that it will be helpful to acquire rules from a site if we have similar rules acquired from other similar sites of the same domain. Rule To Onto is a generalized, condensed, and specifically rearranged version of the existing rules. The rule acquisition procedure consists of the rule component identification step and the rule composition step. We used stemming and semantic similarity in the former step and developed the A* algorithm[1] in the latter step. This paper focuses on using Rules Extraction to automatically augment web pages with semantic annotations. and find out whether and how ontology Rules Extractions could be used in the extraction process.

Keywords- rule extraction, rule onto, semantic similarity, stemming.

I. INTRODUCTION

Automatic Rules possession is a relatively fresh area of work and in the literature there is still very little guidance about how Rules Extraction projects should be managed. Every project typically starts by setting its scope and goals; in case of Rules Extraction one needs to define the items to be extracted, from which documents to perform extraction, how to integrate and store the extracted data, and how to use it in a final application. Rule acquisition is as essential as ontology acquisition, even though rule acquisition is still a bottleneck in the deployment of rule-based systems. This is time consuming and laborious, because it requires knowledge experts as well as domain experts, and there are communication problems between them. However, sometimes rules have already been implied in Web pages, and it is possible to acquire them from Web pages in the same manner as ontology learning [2]. There are some problems with extracting rules from text. First, which words of the Web page are rule components and which types of rule components are they?. Second, how can we compose rules with

the rule components? There are numerous possible combinations of making rules. Our idea for solving these problems is using rules of similar sites in limited situations under a couple of assumptions. Let us suppose that we have to acquire rules from several sites of the same domain. The sites have similar Web pages explaining similar rules from each other. A comparison shopping portal can be an example.

II. STEPS IN EXTRACTION OF RULES 2.1 Role of Rule Ontology

The purpose of using ontology in our approach is to automate the rule acquisition procedure. The starting point of our approach is that it will be helpful for acquiring rules from a site, if we have similar rules acquired from other similar sites of the same domain[3]. Rule ontology, which, includes the information about rules including terms, rule component types, and rule structures. We named the rule ontology Rule To Onto. It has the advantage that it is structured information and is much smaller than rule bases, so that it is easy to reuse, share, and accumulate. However, some part of the burden of rule acquisition is shifted to ontology acquisition in our approach. The fact that we need similar sites and rule bases is a significant constraint in our approach, even though we have an automatic procedure for building ontology from the existing rule bases. The following figure shows the conversion of html

FIG.1 converting HTML TO TEXT

2.2 Rule Extraction

A large number of Rules Extraction systems are based on manually defined grammars whose aim is to identify segments of interest in the stream of

Ms. Vidya. V, Mrs. S. C. Punitha / International Journal of Engineering Research and **ISSN: 2248-9622 Applications (IJERA)** www.iiera.com Vol. 3, Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2013, pp.2449-2452

processed text. These systems Rules Extraction the processed text using the phrase representation, Regular grammars have been the most popular since text can be searched very effectively for their matches using finite state automata. The following figure shows the extractions.



FIG.2 EXTRACTIONS USING RULE ONTO

Rules Extraction system utilized a cascade of regular grammars to capture occurrences of increasingly complex events in text; the latter layers matched output of the former. For example, the first levels of regular grammars captured multi-word expressions, then noun and verb groups RULE ONTO Extractions and Rules Extraction, modeling parts of reality with domain RULE ONTO Extractions became increasingly popular and a number of ontology authoring tools appeared. Rules Extraction techniques became the natural choice to populate these ontology Rules Extractions with instances from text automatically.

2.3 Stemming and Semantic Similarity

In information retrieval, stemming [4] is the process for reducing inflected (or sometimes derived) words to their stem, base or root form-generally a written word form. The stem need not be identical to the morphological root of the word; it is usually sufficient that related words map to the same stem, even if this stem is not in itself a valid root. typically smaller list of "rules" is stored which provides a path for the algorithm, given an input word form, to find its root form. Some examples of the rules include:

- if the word ends in 'ed', remove the 'ed'
- if the word ends in 'ing', remove the 'ing'
- if the word ends in 'ly', remove the 'ly'

The following figure shows the stemming of the word "timing" to "time".



FIG 3.STEMMING

Even though the patterns and contents of rules of different sites are similar, they usually use different terms that have the same meaning. They use synonyms in most cases, but they sometimes use semantically similar[5] concepts with different rule structures. For example, Amazon uses the concept region for shipping destinations, but Powells.com uses country in every shipping rate rules. Country is not the synonym of region, but is semantically similar to region. Therefore, we decided to use a semantic similarity measure in addition to synonyms in order to increase the recall rate when we identify variables and values

The following figure shows the semantic similarity of few keywords.



FIG 4.Semantic Similarity

III. **RULE ONTOLOGY GENERATION**

RuleToOnto is domain specific knowledge that provides information about rule components and structures. It is possible to directly use the rules of the previous system instead of the proposed ontology. However, it requires a large space and additional processes to utilize information on rules, while Rule To Onto is a generalized compact set of information for rule acquisition. Thus, we use Rule To Onto instead of the rules themselves. While the rule component identification step needs variables, values,

Ms. Vidya. V, Mrs. S. C. Punitha / International Journal of Engineering Research and **ISSN: 2248-9622 Applications (IJERA)** www.ijera.com Vol. 3, Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2013, pp.2449-2452

and the relationship between them, the rule composition step requires generalized rule structures. Therefore, Rule To Onto represents the IF and THEN parts of each rule by connecting rules with variables with the IF and THEN relations, in addition to basic information about variables, values, and connections between variables and values. The Rule To Onto schema has three object properties Has Value, IF and THEN, and three classes, Variable, Value, and Rule.

3.1 RuleToOnto Generation Using Protégé

The following depicts the RuleToOnto Generation of the keywords using Protégé[6]: Email, mobile, phone, password <?xml version="1.0"?> <rdf:RDF xmlns="http://a.com/ontology#" xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdfsyntax-ns#" xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdfschema#" xmlns:owl="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#" xml:base="http://a.com/ontology"> <owl:Ontology rdf:about=""/> <owl:Class rdf:ID="email"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:about="#mobile"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="phone"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:about="#password"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"/> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#null"/> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#null"/> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#null"/> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:about="#null"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#null"/> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf>

<owl:Class rdf:about="#null"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:about="#null"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl·Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf> <owl:Class rdf:about="#null"/> </rdfs:subClassOf> </owl:Class> <owl:Class rdf:ID="null"> <rdfs:subClassOf rdf:resource="#null"/> </owl:Class> </rdf:RDF> <!-- Created with Protege (with OWL Plugin 1.2)</p> beta, Build 139) http://protege.stanford.edu -->

3.2 Precision and recall

Precision also called positive predictive value is the fraction of retrieved instances that are relevant, while recall also known as sensitivity is the fraction of relevant instances that are retrieved. Both precision and recall are therefore based on an understanding and measure of relevance.

The following figure shows the precision and recall chart of the extracted rules .



FIG.5 Precision and Recall chart

Ms. Vidya. V, Mrs. S. C. Punitha / International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 3, Issue 4, Jul-Aug 2013, pp.2449-2452

IV. IV.CONCLUSION

This paper focuses on the approaches of Rules Extraction from documents, focusing on semistructured texts such as HTML pages or emails. One limitation of our approach is that the experiment results do not show that the performance of our approach is better than others, because there is no other rule possession study that we can directly compare our results with. This work can be extended for an image classification method that is later used for multimedia Rules Extraction that classify images found on the Internet into irrelevant pictures and pictures that should be considered for extraction.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research work is carried out with valuable support by Mrs. S.C. Punitha, Head, and Department of Computer Science PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore, India.

REFERENCES

- J.C. Beck and M. Fox, "A Generic Framework for Constraint Directed Search and Scheduling," AI Magazine, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 101-130, 1998.
- [2] P. Cimiano and J. Volker, "Text2onto-a Framework for Ontology Learning and Data-Driven Change Discovery," Proc. 10th Int'l Conf. Applications of Natural Language to Rules Systems (NLDB), pp. 227-238, 2005.
- [3] Sangun Park and Juyoung Kang "Using Rule Ontology in Repeated RuleAcquisition from Similar Web Sites" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON KNOWLEDGE AND DATA ENGINEERING, VOL. 24, NO. 6, JUNE 2012
- B. Priyadharshini, A. Mohana, R. Radhika, "Retrieving Rules Using Composetoonto Based On Stemming Algorithm From Similar Web Sites", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol. 2 Issue 3, March - 2013 ISSN: 2278-0181
- [5] David Sánchez, Montserrat Batet, David Isern, Aida Valls, "Ontology-based semantic similarity: a new feature-based approach" Intelligent Technologies for Advanced Knowledge Acquisition (ITAKA),Departament d'Enginyeria Informàtica i Matemàtiques, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Avda. Països Catalans, 26. 43007 Tarragona (Spain)
- P. Buitelaar, D. Olejnik, and M. Sintek, "A Prote'ge' Plug-in for Ontology Extraction from Text Based on Linguistic Analysis," Proc. First European Semantic Web Symp. (ESWS), 2004.