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Abstract
This paper presents a methodology for multiple distributed generator (DG) placement in primary distribution network for loss reduction. Optimal location for distributed generator (DG) is selected by Analytical expressions and the optimal DG size calculated by IA method and Harmony search algorithm. These two methods are tested on two test systems 33-bus and 69-bus radial distribution systems. The final results showed that harmony search algorithm gives same loss reduction and minimum voltage in the system with less DG size than obtained in IA method.
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I. INTRODUCTION
The central power plants are thermal, nuclear or hydro powered and their rating lies in the range of several hundred MW’s to few GW’s [2]. Central power plants are economically unviable in many areas due to diminishing fossil fuels, increasing fuel costs, and stricter environmental regulations about acid deposition and green house gas emission.[3] smaller power plants with a few dozens of MW’s, instead of few GW’s, became more economical[2]. Also, generators with renewable sources as wind or solar energy became more economically and technically feasible. This has resulted in the installation of small power plants connected to the distribution side of the network, close to the customers and hence referred to as “embedded” or “distributed” generation (DG).

Sometimes it is also called “dispersed generation” or “decentralized generation”. [4]

Distributed generation technologies are renewable and nonrenewable. Renewable technologies include solar, photovoltaic or thermal, wind, geothermal, ocean. Nonrenewable technologies include internal combustion engine, ice, combined cycle, combustion turbine, micro turbines and fuel cell. [5] Most of the DG energy sources are designed using green energy which is assumed pollution free [6].

Installing DGs at the load centers will prevent the new transmission lines extension to energize new substation, DG is capable of providing some or all of the required power without the need for increasing the existing traditional generation capacity or T&D system expansion. DG capital cost is not large due to its moderate electric size and modular behavior as it can be installed incrementally unlike installing new substations and feeders, which require large capital cost to activate the new expanded distribution system [12]. The technical benefits include improvement of voltage, loss reduction, relieved transmission and distribution congestion, improved utility system reliability and power quality [6] and increasing the durability of equipment, improving power quality, total harmonic distortion networks and voltage stability by making changes in the path through which power passes [9]. These benefits get the optimum DG size and location is selected. Distributed system planning using distributed generation [12]. If the DG units are improperly sized and allocated leads to real power losses increases than the real power loss without DG and reverse power flow from larger DG units. So, the size of distribution system in terms of load (MW) will play important role is selecting the size of DG. The reason for higher losses and high capacity of DG can be explained by the fact that the distribution system was initially designed such that power flows from the sending end (source substation) to the load and conductor sizes are gradually decreased from the substation to consumer point. Thus without reinforcement of the system, the use of high capacity DG will lead to excessive power flow through small sized conductors and hence results in higher losses.[7]

Different techniques are proposed by authors the techniques are, a technique for DG placement using “2/3 rule” which is traditionally applied to capacitor allocation in distribution systems with uniformly distributed loads has been presented. Although simple and easy to apply, this technique cannot be applied directly to a feeder with other types of load distribution or to a meshed distribution system. The genetic algorithm (GA) based method has been presented to determine the size and location of DG. GA is suitable for multi-objective problems and can lead to a near optimal solution, but demand higher computational time. An analytical approach based on an exact loss formula has been presented to find the optimal size and
location of single DG. A probabilistic-based planning technique has been proposed for determining the optimal fuel mix of different types of renewable DG units (i.e., wind, solar, and biomass) in order to minimize the annual energy losses in the distribution system [1].

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This section describes to find the optimum size and location of distributed generator.

A. Selection of Location:

Find the best bus for the placement of DG. The DG sizes at each bus is calculated by using (2). The DG’s are placed at each bus and calculate the real power loss by (1). The bus which has minimum real power loss is selected as best location for placement of DG.

The real power loss in a system can be calculated by (1). This is also called as “Exact loss formula” [13].

\[
P_L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \alpha_{ij}(P_iP_j + Q_iQ_j) + \beta_{ij}(Q_iP_j - P_iQ_j) \right]
\]

Where

\[
\alpha_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{v_i v_j} \cos(\delta_i - \delta_j);
\]

\[
\beta_{ij} = \frac{r_{ij}}{v_i v_j} \sin(\delta_i - \delta_j);
\]

\[
r_{ij} + jx_{ij} = Z_{ij} \text { \(i^{th}\) element of \([Z_{bus}]\) impedance matrix;}
\]

N is number of buses

Where \(P_i\) and \(Q_i\) are Real and Reactive power injections at node \(i\) respectively.

Real power injection is the difference between Real power generation and the real power demand at that node.

\[
P_i = (P_{DGI} - P_{DI})
\]

Where, \(P_{DGI}\) and \(Q_{DGI}\) is the real power injection and reactive power injection from DG placed at node \(i\) respectively. \(P_{DI}\) and \(Q_{DI}\) are load demand at the node \(i\) respectively [14].

\[
P_{DGI} = \frac{\alpha_{ii}(P_{DI} + aQ_{DI}) - X_i - aY_i}{a^2\alpha_{ii} + \alpha_{ii}^2}
\]

\[
Q_{DGI} = \pm (\tan(\cos^{-1}(PF_{DG}))) \cdot P_{DGI}
\]

Where

\[
X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\alpha_{ij}P_j - \beta_{ij}Q_j)
\]

\[
Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\alpha_{ij}Q_j + \beta_{ij}P_j)
\]

The maximum total plus loss is calculated by “back ward forward sweep” load flow algorithm.

B. Optimal DG size selection:

The Distributed generator is placed at the optimum location. The optimum DG size is selected by varying the DG in small steps up to the point where real power loss is minimum. The real power loss is calculated by “back ward forward sweep” load flow algorithm.

III. IA METHOD

The computational procedure of IA method is as follows:

Step 1: Enter the number of DG units to be installed.

Step 2: Run load flow for the base case and find losses using (1).

Step 3: Find these optimal location of DG using the following steps.

a) Calculate the optimal size of DG at each bus using (2) and (3).

b) Place the DG with the optimal size as mentioned earlier at each bus, one at a time. Calculate the approximate loss for each case using (1).

c) Locate the optimal bus at which the loss is at minimum.

Step 4: Find the optimal size of DG and calculate losses using the following steps.

a) Place a DG at the optimal bus obtained in step 4, change this DG size in small step, and calculate the loss for each case using “Back ward forward” load flow.

b) Select and store the optimal size of the DG that gives the minimum loss.

Step 5: Update load data after placing the DG with the optimum size obtained in step 5 to allocate the next DG.

Step 6: Stop if either the following occurs

a) the voltage at a particular bus is over the upper limit

b) The total size of DG units is over the total plus loss

c) The maximum number of DG units is unavailable

d) The new iteration loss is greater than the previous iteration loss. The previous iteration loss is retained otherwise, repeat steps 2 to 6.

IV. HARMONY SEARCH ALGORITHM

The harmony search algorithm (HSA) is a new meta-heuristic algorithm. The harmony search algorithm (HSA) is simple in concept, few in parameters and easy in implementation. Harmony search algorithm is concept from natural musical performance processes [8]. In music improvisation, each musician plays within possible pitches to make a harmony vector. If all the pitches create good harmony, the musician saved them in memory and
increases good or better harmony for next time. Similarly, in the field of engineering optimization, at first each decision variable value is selected within the possible range and formed a solution vector. If all decision variable values lead to a good solution, each variable that has been experienced is saved in memory and it increases the possibility of good or better solutions for next time. Both processes intend to produce the best or optimum

**Step 1: Initialize the optimization problem and algorithm parameters**

In this step the optimization problem is specified as follows:

Minimize \( f(x) \)

Subject to \( x_i \in X_i = 1, 2, ..., N \)

where \( f(x) \) is the objective function; \( x \) is a candidate solutions consisting of \( N \) decision variables \( (x_i) \); \( X_i \) is the set of possible range of values for each decision variable, that is, \( L_X \leq X_i \leq U_X \) for continuous decision variables where \( L_X \) and \( U_X \) are the lower and upper bounds for each decision variable, respectively and \( N \) is the number of decision variables. In addition, HS algorithm parameters that are required to solve the desired optimization problem are specified in this step.

**Step 2: Initialize the Harmony Memory (HM)**

In this step, the Harmony Memory (HM) matrix, is filled with as many randomly generated solution vectors as HMS and sorted by the values of the objective function.

**Step 3: Improvise a new harmony from the HM**

A New Harmony vector is generated from the HM based on memory considerations, pitch adjustments, and randomization. For instance, the value of the first decision variable for the new vector can be chosen from any value in the specified HM range Values of the other decision variables can be chosen in the same manner. There is a possibility that the new value can be chosen using the HMCR parameter, which varies between 0 and 1 as follows:

\[
x_i = \begin{cases} 
   \{1, 2, ..., \text{HMS}\} & \text{with probability HMCR} \\
   X_i & \text{with probability (1 - HMCR)} 
\end{cases}
\]

The HMCR sets the rate of choosing one value from the historic values stored in the HM and (1-HMCR) sets the rate of randomly choosing one feasible value not limited to those stored in the HM. For example, a HMCR of 0.9 indicates that the HS algorithm will choose the decision variable value from historically stored values in the HM with the 90% probability or from the entire possible range with the 10% probability. Each component of the New Harmony vector is examined to determine whether it should be pitch adjusted. This procedure uses the PAR parameter that sets the rate of adjustment for the pitch chosen from the HM as follows:

Pitch adjusting decision for

A PAR of 0.3 indicates that the algorithm will choose a neighboring value with 30% × HMCR probability.

**Step 4: Update the HM**

In this stage, if the New Harmony vector is better than the worst harmony vector in the HM in terms of the objective function value, the existing worst harmony is replaced by the New Harmony.

**Step 5: Repeat steps 3 and 4 until the termination criterion is satisfied**

**Termination criterion:**

The computations are terminated when the termination criterion (maximum number of improvisations) is satisfied. Otherwise, steps 3 (improvising New Harmony from the HM) and 4 (updating the HM) are repeated [9].

**V. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

In this paper IA method and Harmony search algorithm are tested on 33-bus [10] and 69-bus [11] radial distribution system. Here Type 3 [1] DG is considered

**A. Assumptions**

The assumptions for this paper are as follows:

1. The maximum number of DG units is three, with the size each from 250KW to the total load plus loss.
2. The maximum voltage at each bus is 1.0 p.u.

**B. 33-Bus system**

The simulation results of the optimal location and optimal sizing of DG shown in Table-I. The real power loss of 33-bus system is 211kW without DG. In single DG placement by IA method the DG size is 2.6 MW and in case of Harmony search algorithm 2.5MW, the real power loss is 111 kW. In case of 2 DG’s placement the DG size by IA method 1.9 MW, 0.6 MW and Harmony search algorithm 1.6 MW, 0.7 MW, the real power loss is 91.55 kW. In case of 3 DG’s placement the DG size by method 1.3 MW, 0.6 MW, 0.6 MW and by Harmony search algorithm 1.5 MW, 0.5 MW, 0.3 MW, the real power loss is 79.69 kW.

**Table I**

**COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES ON 33-BUS SYSTEM**
### C. 69-Bus system:

The simulation results of the optimal location and optimal sizing of DG shown in Table-II. The real power loss of 69-bus system is 224 kW without DG. In single DG placement by IA method the DG size is 1810 kW and in case of Harmony search algorithm 1.7 MW, the real power loss is 86.97 kW. In case of 2 DG's placement the DG size by IA method 1.7 MW, 0.5 MW and Harmony search algorithm 1.6 MW, 556 kW, the real power loss is 75.03 kW. In case of 3 DG’s placement the DG size by method 0.3 MW, 0.5 MW, 1.5 MW and by Harmony search algorithm 0.2 MW, 0.5 MW, 1.4 MW; the real power loss is 71.58 kW.

### VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper harmony search algorithm is proposed for multiple DG placement. The DG location is finding by IA expressions and the optimum DG size is finding by IA method and HSA algorithm. The results are compared with IA method. Results shows that HSA algorithm gives same real power loss and voltage with less DG size occurred in IA method.
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### TABLE-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>DG schedule</th>
<th>With out DG</th>
<th>With DG</th>
<th>1 DG</th>
<th>2 DG’s</th>
<th>3 DG’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA method</td>
<td>Optimum Bus</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG size (MW)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss (kW)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>91.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Algorithm</td>
<td>DG size (MW)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss (kW)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>91.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE-II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>DG schedule</th>
<th>With out DG</th>
<th>With DG</th>
<th>1 DG</th>
<th>2 DG’s</th>
<th>3 DG’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA method</td>
<td>Optimum Bus</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG size (MW)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss (kW)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>86.97</td>
<td>75.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Algorithm</td>
<td>DG size (MW)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss (kW)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>86.97</td>
<td>75.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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