

DEVELOPMENT OF REGRESSION MODEL FOR THE PANCHAGANGA RIVER WATER QUALITY IN KOLHAPUR CITY, M.S.

Akshay R. Thorvat¹, Capt. Dr. N. P. Sonaje², Dr. M. M. Mujumdar³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering;
KIT's College of Engineering, Kolhapur, 416234,
Maharashtra, India

²Registrar, Solapur University, Solapur,
Maharashtra, India

³Professor, Department of Civil Engineering;
KIT's College of Engineering, Kolhapur, 416234,
Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

In the present work river water samples are collected from four different stations of Panchaganga river in Kolhapur city and water quality assessment is carried out from October 2009 to March 2010 on weekly basis. Then correlation-regression study is carried out and correlation coefficients (R) are determined using correlation matrix to identify the highly correlated and interrelated water quality parameters. The correlations among 8 water quality parameters for each station are determined. Out of 36 pairs 7 pairs of parameters are selected having significant R and regression models are developed namely RGMS-1, RGMS-2, RGMS-3 and RGMS-4 for the four different stations. To test the significance of the pair of parameters P-value test is carried out and in order to test the joint effects of several independent variables, without necessarily taking the separate effects of each variable into account, F-test is also used. The comparison of the observed and predicted values of the different parameters using regression equations revealed that the regression model can be used to provide a mean for easier and faster monitoring of water quality in a location. The correlation study and correlation coefficient values can help in selecting the treatments to minimize contaminants in river water.

Keywords- Coefficient of Variation, F-value, P-value, Regression equation, Water quality

I. INTRODUCTION

The riverine system is most important resources of water supply in different countries of the world. At the source of a river, the water is relatively pure as it flows towards downstream. In India the riverine systems are getting polluted day by day. Today acute pollution prevails in many rivers such as Krishna, Tapi, Brahmaputra, Ganga, Hoogly, Brahmani etc. As the water flows downstream, it picks up silt, minerals and mineral salts from the soil and rock in the river bed. Many other pollutants enter river water as it flows downstream, including animal waste, human sewage, agricultural runoff, urban runoff, industrial effluents, and mining effluents, due to which unfortunately, most of the rivers are facing pollution problem or under threat of pollution (M. M. Khan *et al.*; 2009). Water quality degradation also leads to increased conflict between downstream and upstream users.

As this paper relates a case study of Kolhapur city which is located on the bank of Panchaganga river which is the main source of water. Increased developmental activities due to urbanization and industrialization are greatly responsible for water pollution in Kolhapur city. The estimated municipal wastewater is to the tune of 90 Million Liters per Day (MLD) which reaches the river Panchaganga through two natural nallahs, namely Jayanti nallah and Dudhali nallah. The discharge locations are about 500 m upstream the Bawada water works which supplies more than 50 percent of municipal water to the city. (MPCB Report; 2005-06, S. K. Deshmukh *et al.*; 2001). Due to the water pollution, there is a problem of adequate supply of potable water. Water pollution has created serious impact on human life due to

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Kolhapur city the total quantity of water used is about 120 MLD. The estimated municipal wastewater for Kolhapur city is approximately 90 MLD. The Kolhapur Municipal Corporation (KMC) has provided primary treatment of the capacity 43.5 MLD. It is observed that about 50% effluent is directly used for irrigation by the farmers and 10% evaporation losses and 10% percolation losses. There is no underground drainage in the city and drainage is mainly by surface drains. Drainage of 'A' and 'D' wards is mainly let into Panchaganga river. Drainage of Shahupuri, Rajarampuri, Laxmipuri, Khasbag, 'C' Ward and 'B' Ward is mainly let into Jayanti nallah having capacity of 49 MLD which is the main source of Panchaganga river pollution. (MPCB report; 2008-09, KMC Report 2008-09, S.K.Deshmukh et al.; 2001).

In order to assess the impact of Jayanti nallah on Panchaganga river water quality four stations are selected and 4 river water samples are collected for the laboratory analysis and water quality assessment is carried out. In the present work correlation-

regression study is used and correlation coefficients (R) are determined using correlation matrix to identify the highly correlated water quality parameters. The correlations among 8 water quality parameters are determined for each station and are shown in Tables 2 to 5. Each table gives 36 pairs of parameters out of which 7 pairs of parameters are selected having significant correlation coefficient (R) and regression models are developed namely: RGMS-1, RGMS-2, RGMS-3 and RGMS-4 for the four different stations. The correlation coefficients are determined to identify the highly correlated and interrelated water quality parameters and the linear regression equations are developed for the pairs having strong correlation and also for the pair of parameters, which have influence on each other. Then from these linear regression equations non-linear regression equations relating these identified parameters are formulated. Hence, the regression models developed can provide a mean for easier and faster monitoring of water quality in a location with the help of regression equations. The correlation study and correlation coefficient values can help in selecting the treatments to minimize contaminants in river water.

Table 1: Coefficient of Variation (CV %) for the river water samples

Parameter	Desirable Limit as per IS-10500,(1991)	Station-1		Station-2		Station-3		Station-4	
		Mean	CV %	Mean	CV %	Mean	CV %	Mean	CV %
Temperature °C	Maximum 40 °C	33.75	8.54	32.80	8.6	34.25	6.89	34.05	6.84
pH	6.5-8.5	6.38	11.66	7.29	7.23	7.36	8.63	7.44	6.18
EC µmhos/cm	---	411.99	40.24	409.56	43.17	458.31	30.77	466.94	32.61
TDS mg/l	Maximum 500 mg/l	225.05	47.8	202.25	42.59	198.05	26.22	155.30	48.03
Turbidity NTU	25 NTU	7.18	27.5	7.68	17.12	10.83	35.88	12.79	47.29
DO mg/l	Minimum 5.0 mg/l	5.75	8.74	6.22	14.21	5.78	14.26	4.92	23.18
BOD mg/l	Maximum 3.0 mg/l	3.75	17.32	6.64	20.19	6.84	17.71	8.16	17.34
COD mg/l	Maximum 10 mg/l	37.40	27.49	18.55	12.18	22.53	16.99	18.70	15.14

(Source: S. K. Maithi; "Handbook on Water and Wastewater Analysis", Maharashtra Pollution Control Board)

Table 2: Correlation Coefficients for station-1 (RGMS-1)

	log Temp	log pH	log EC	log TDS	log Turbidity	log DO	log BOD	log COD
log Temp	1							
log pH	0.626	1						
log EC	0.287	0.100	1					
log TDS	0.257	0.047	0.917	1				
log Turbidity	0.312	0.328	0.259	0.785	1			
log DO	0.421	0.348	0.062	0.007	0.217	1		
log BOD	0.096	0.318	-0.075	-0.169	0.191	0.530	1	
log COD	-0.005	0.286	-0.051	-0.150	0.083	0.257	0.701	1

Table 3: Correlation Coefficients for station-2 (RGMS-2)

	log Temp	log pH	log EC	log TDS	log Turbidity	log DO	log BOD	log COD
log Temp	1							
log pH	0.509	1						
log EC	0.069	0.012	1					
log TDS	0.117	0.032	0.842	1				
log Turbidity	0.065	-0.213	0.329	0.673	1			
log DO	0.483	0.652	0.116	0.111	0.095	1		
log BOD	0.340	0.407	-0.016	0.100	0.181	0.634	1	
log COD	0.076	-0.084	-0.281	-0.341	-0.131	-0.042	-0.403	1

Table 4: Correlation Coefficients for station-3 (RGMS-3)

	log Temp	log pH	log EC	log TDS	log Turbidity	log DO	log BOD	log COD
log Temp	1							
log pH	0.785	1						
log EC	0.133	0.278	1					
log TDS	0.281	0.292	0.553	1				
log Turbidity	0.416	0.319	0.181	0.749	1			
log DO	0.743	0.542	0.036	0.254	0.422	1		
log BOD	-0.335	-0.415	-0.194	-0.029	-0.055	-0.741	1	
log COD	-0.316	-0.254	-0.089	0.117	0.046	-0.399	0.684	1

Table 5: Correlation Coefficients for station-4 (RGMS-4)

	log Temp	log pH	log EC	log TDS	log Turbidity	log DO	log BOD	log COD
log Temp	1							
log pH	0.864	1						
log EC	0.315	0.192	1					
log TDS	0.356	0.189	0.737	1				
log Turbidity	0.313	0.157	0.281	0.847	1			
log DO	0.586	0.668	-0.183	-0.082	0.041	1		
log BOD	-0.377	-0.387	0.246	0.037	-0.016	-0.702	1	
log COD	-0.376	-0.379	0.008	-0.207	-0.075	-0.410	0.636	1

Table 6: Regression equations for station-1 (RGMS-1)

Pair of Parameters	Correlation Coefficient	Regression Coefficients		Non-Linear Regression Equation
	R	A	B	
Temp-pH	0.626	-0.497	0.8508	pH = 0.3184 Temp ^{0.8508}
pH-DO	0.348	0.5468	0.2629	DO = 3.5220 pH ^{0.2629}
EC-TDS	0.917	-0.1471	0.9527	TDS = 0.7127 EC ^{-0.9527}
TDS-Turbidity	0.785	-0.3247	0.5039	Turbidity = 0.4735 TDS ^{0.5039}
Temp-DO	0.421	0.0977	0.4323	DO = 1.2524 log Temp ^{0.4323}
DO-BOD	0.530	-0.2564	1.0867	BOD = 0.5541 DO ^{1.0867}
BOD-COD	0.701	0.9171	1.1276	COD = 8.2623 BOD ^{1.1276}

Table 7: Regression equations for station-2 (RGMS-2)

Pair of Parameters	Correlation Coefficient	Regression Coefficients		Non-Linear Regression Equation
	R	A	B	
Temp-pH	0.509	0.1994	0.4373	pH = 1.5827 Temp ^{0.4373}
pH-DO	0.652	-0.3176	1.285	DO = 0.4813 pH ^{1.2850}
EC-TDS	0.842	0.0377	0.8659	TDS= 1.0907 EC ^{0.8659}
TDS-Turbidity	0.673	0.291	0.2594	Turbidity = 1.9543 TDS ^{0.2594}
Temp-DO	0.483	-0.4514	0.8195	DO = 0.3537 Temp ^{0.8195}
DO-BOD	0.634	0.1198	0.8791	BOD = 1.3176 DO ^{0.8791}
BOD-COD	0.403	1.4711	-0.253	COD = 29.5869 BOD ^{-0.2530}

Table 8: Regression equations for station-3 (RGMS-3)

Pair of Parameters	Correlation Coefficient	Regression Coefficients		Non-Linear Regression Equation
	R	A	B	
Temp-pH	0.785	-0.6572	0.9925	pH = 0.2201 Temp ^{0.9925}
pH-DO	0.542	-0.042	0.9238	DO = 0.9078 pH ^{0.9238}
EC-TDS	0.553	0.8823	0.5292	TDS = 7.6261 EC ^{0.5292}
TDS-Turbidity	0.749	-0.869	0.8245	Turbidity = 0.1352 TDS ^{0.8245}
Temp-DO	0.743	-1.7008	1.6026	DO = 0.0199 Temp ^{1.6026}
DO-BOD	0.741	1.455	-0.8273	BOD = 28.5102 DO ^{-0.8273}
BOD-COD	0.684	0.7728	0.6926	COD = 5.9265 BOD ^{0.6926}

Table 9: Regression equations for station-4 (RGMS-4)

Pair of Parameters	Correlation Coefficient	Regression Coefficients		Non-Linear Regression Equation
	R	A	B	
Temp-pH	0.8644	-0.3139	0.7735	pH = 0.4854 Temp ^{0.7735}
pH-DO	0.6684	-1.5151	2.5226	DO = 0.0305 pH ^{2.5226}
EC-TDS	0.7370	-0.6953	1.0712	TDS = 0.2017 EC ^{1.0712}
TDS-Turbidity	0.8473	-0.4495	0.7084	Turbidity = 0.3552 TDS ^{0.7084}
Temp-DO	0.5858	-2.3483	1.9783	DO = 0.0045 Temp ^{1.9783}
DO-BOD	0.7018	1.2564	-0.5154	BOD = 18.0468 DO ^{-0.5154}
BOD-COD	0.6365	0.744	0.5775	COD = 5.5463 BOD ^{0.5775}

Table 10: P-Value and F-Value test results for the river water sampling stations

Pair of Parameters	Station-1		Station-2		Station-3		Station-4	
	P-Value	F-Value	P-Value	F-Value	P-Value	F-Value	P-Value	F-Value
Temp-pH	0.00318	11.5707	0.02204	6.2786	4.103E ⁻⁰⁵	28.9522	8.90E ⁻⁰⁷	53.2045
pH-DO	0.13234	2.4852	0.00185	13.2898	0.01366	7.4684	0.00127	14.5369
EC-TDS	1.324E ⁻⁰⁸	94.9893	0.000003	43.6773	0.011366	7.9462	0.00021	21.4077
TDS-Turbidity	4.097E ⁻⁰⁵	28.9596	0.00116	14.8737	0.000144	23.0296	2.43E ⁻⁰⁶	45.8161
Temp-DO	0.06451	3.8780	0.03086	5.4860	0.000173	22.2178	0.00665	9.4034
DO-BOD	0.01615	7.0439	0.00268	12.1020	0.000186	21.9213	0.00056	17.4742
BOD-COD	0.00057	17.4345	0.07827	3.4858	0.000892	15.7841	0.00255	12.2576

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean values of the water quality parameters at four different stations with their desirable limits as per IS 10500, (1991) and coefficient of variation (CV %) obtained in the statistical analysis is shown in Table 1 (S. K. Maithi;

“Handbook on Water and Wastewater Analysis”, MPCB). It is observed that for Station-1 all the selected pairs of parameters are having positive correlation coefficient (R). Out of remaining pairs negative R is found in 5 cases as shown in Table 2. The pairs having less R are poorly correlated for

which the results are not significant. Then the regression analysis is done and the regression equations hence obtained for Station-1 are shown in Table 6. It is observed that for Station-2 all the selected pairs of parameters are having positive R except (log BOD–log COD) which is having negative R. Out of remaining pairs negative correlation is found in 8 cases as shown in Table 3. The regression equations obtained for Station-2 are shown in Table 7. It is observed that for Station-3 all the selected pairs of parameters are having positive R except (log DO–log BOD) which is having negative R. Out of remaining pairs negative correlation is found in 10 cases as shown in Table 4. The regression equations obtained for Station-3 are shown in Table 8. It is observed that for Station-4 all the selected pairs of parameters are having positive R except (log DO–log BOD) which is having negative R. Out of remaining pairs negative correlation is found in 11 cases as shown in Table 5. The regression equations obtained for Station-4 are shown in Table 9. This is mainly due to regular addition of domestic and industrial wastewater into the river Panchaganga at the selected sampling stations through various sources.

Since there is still variability in the data, the value in parenthesis, called the “P-value” for a particular parameter, gives the probability that the parameter is as high (or low) as the parameter estimate simply by chance. A very low P-value (say ≤ 0.05) means that we have a great deal of confidence that the coefficient is truly different from zero. A high P-value suggests that the true value of the parameter may be zero and therefore, its associated variable may have no effect. In order to test the joint effects of several independent variables, without necessarily taking the separate effects of each variable into account, F-test can be used for this purpose. In the present study “F-test” is used to test the overall significance of a regression equation. F-test is the ratio of two scaled sums of squares reflecting different sources of variability.

The numerical values of R, P-values and F-values are tabulated in Table 10. It is observed from Table 10 that for Station-1 the P-values for (pH–DO) and (Temperature–DO) are 0.13233 and 0.06451 respectively; whereas for Station-2 the P-value for (BOD–COD) is 0.07827, which are more than 0.05. The F-test revealed that the calculated F-values for Station-1 for (pH–DO) and (Temperature–DO) are 2.4852 and 3.8780 respectively and for Station-2 the calculated F-value for (BOD–COD) is 3.4858, which are less than the tabulated F-value (i.e. 4.4139) for the available degree of freedom. These values revealed that the above 3 pairs of parameters are not having significant results and hence not considered in further analysis. The P-values and F-values for Station-3 and 4 revealed that all the pairs are having significant

results and hence are considered for the further analysis.

V. CONCLUSION

The present study is aimed to develop a water quality prediction model for which the Correlation-Regression study is carried out for the four different stations at Panchaganga river, where the water samples are collected and analyzed in the laboratory. To assess the environmental impacts on the river water quality the study is carried out and the results are been discussed in ‘Results and Discussion’.

From the results of present investigation it is concluded that:

1. Due to non-availability of the adequate land and full-fledged treatment facilities, large quantity of agricultural, municipal and industrial wastewater enters into river Panchaganga through Jayanti nallah which deteriorate the quality of river water.
2. There is a decreasing trend in Dissolved Oxygen (DO) level which is mainly due to the presence of oxygen depleting substances that reduces the available DO.
3. There is a gradual increase in the Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) from upstream to downstream.
4. The correlation analysis and ‘coefficient of variation (CV %)’ on water quality parameters revealed that all parameters are more or less correlated with each other.
5. The different water quality characteristics are calculated by developing regression equations and are compared with the observed values. This study revealed that there is a variation in the values but the trend is same as that of the observed values.
6. As shown in the Table 10, the ‘P-Tests’ and ‘F-Tests’ for regression models revealed that the pair of parameters (pH–DO) and (Temperature–DO) for station-1 and (BOD–COD) for station-2 are not confirming to these tests and hence are not considered in further study, whereas all other pairs are accepted for the further analysis.
7. The Correlation-Regression study provides a mean for easier and faster monitoring of water quality at the location and to predict the various water quality parameters.
8. The study also helps in selecting the treatments to minimize contaminants in river water.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Banakar, B. R. Kiran, E. T. Puttaiah, R. Purushotham and S. Manjappa; 2005, “Hydrochemical Characteristics of Surface Water

- in Chandravalli Pond Near Chitradurga”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. (3): PP 249-253.*
- [2] Ano A. O. and Okwunodulu F. U.; 2008, “Effect of Population and Level of Industrialization on Underground Water Quality of Abia State, Nigeria–Physico-Chemical Properties”, *African Journal of Biotechnology, ISSN 1684–5315, Vol. 7, No. (4): PP 439-443.*
- [3] Arunabh Mishra and Vasishta Bhatt; 2008, “Physico-Chemical and Microbiological Analysis of Under Ground Water in V. V. Nagar and Near by Places of Anand District, Gujarat, India”, *E-Journal of Chemistry, ISSN: 0973-4945, Vol. 5, No. (3): PP 487-492.*
- [4] Bhadra Bhaskar, Chakraborty Ranadhir, Das Susanta and Nanda Ashis Kumar; 2005, “Investigation of Some Basic Water Quality Parameters of the North Bengal Terai River Kaljani–A Tributary of River Torsa, and Comparison thereof with the Mainstream”, *Journal of Environ Bio, 26 (2): PP 277-286.*
- [5] C. Barghigiani, T. Ristori, R. Scerbo, C. Cini, R. Nottoli, L. Moschini and V. Giaconi; 2009, “Assessment of Water Pollution and Suitability to Fish Life in Six Italian Rivers”, *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 66: PP 187–205.*
- [6] C. R. Ramakrishnaiah, C. Sadashivaiah and G. Ranganna; 2009, “Assessment of Water Quality Index for the Groundwater in Tumkur Taluk, Karnataka State, India”, *E-Journal of Chemistry, ISSN: 973-4945, 6(2): PP 523-530.*
- [7] Chandra Sekhar M, Umamahesh N. V.; 2004, “Mass Balance Approach for Assessment of Pollution Load in the Krishna River”, *Journal of Environ Sci and Engg., 46 (2): PP 159-171.*
- [8] G. Achuthan Nair, K. Premkumar, Muftah A., Al-Mariami and Jalal Ahmed Bohjuari; 2005, “Assessment of the Well Water Quality of Benghazi, Libya”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. (6): PP 481-489.*
- [9] Goel P. K. and Sharma K. P.; 1996, *Environmental Guidelines and Standards in India*, Techno Science Pub. Jaipur, India.
- [10] India Meteorological Department; 2009, *A Web-Report on Rainfall Data for Different States in India.*
- [11] Joarder, M. A. M., Raihan, F., Alam, J. B. and Hasanuzzaman, S.; 2008, “Regression Analysis of Ground Water Quality Data of Sunamganj District, Bangladesh”, *Int. J. Environ. Res., ISSN: 1735-6865, Vol. 2 (3): PP 291-296.*
- [12] Kabita Patowary and K.G.Bhattacharyya; 2005, “Evaluation of Drinking Water Quality of Coal Mining Area, Assam”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. (3): PP 204-211.*
- [13] Kolhapur Municipal Corporation (KMC); 1999, *Development Control Regulations for Kolhapur City.*
- [14] Kolhapur Municipal Corporation (KMC); 2008, *A Report on City Development Plan for Kolhapur City.*
- [15] Kumar Dinesh, Jain Mukta, Dhindsa S. S., Devanda H. S., Singh R. V.; 2005, “Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Amanishah Nallah and Neighbouring Ground Water Sources in Sanganer, Jaipur”, *Indian Journal of Environ Sci, 9 (1): PP 71-74.*
- [16] M. Leninsundar and M.K. Saseetharan; 2008, “Ground Water Quality in Coimbatore, Tamilnadu Along Noyyal River”, *Journal of Environ. Science and Engg., Vol. 50, No. (3): PP 187-190.*
- [17] M. M. Khan, M. Admassu and H. R. Sharma; 2009, “Suitability Assessment of Water Quality of River Shinta and its Impacts on the Users: A Case Study from Gondar Town of Ethiopia”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 29, No. (2): PP 137-144.*
- [18] Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB), *A Panchaganga Basin Pollution study; 2009.*
- [19] Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB), *Environmental Status Report for Kolhapur City, 2005-06.*
- [20] Maharashtra Pollution Control Board (MPCB), *Environmental Status Report for Kolhapur City, 2008-09.*
- [21] N. Janardhana Raju; 2006, “Seasonal Evaluation of Hydro-Geochemical Parameters Using Correlation and Regression Analysis”, *Current Science, Vol. 91, No. (6): PP 25-29.*
- [22] N. Ramamurthy, J. Subhashini and S. Raju; 2005, “Physico-Chemical Properties of Palar River in Tamilnadu”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. (10): PP 925-928.*
- [23] Narendra Singh Bhandari and Kapil Nayal; 2008, “Correlation Study on Physico-Chemical Parameters and Quality Assessment of Kosi River Water, Uttarakhand”, *E-Journal of Chemistry, Vol. 5, No. (2): PP 342-346.*
- [24] P. B. Lokhande, A. D. Gawas and H. A. Mujawar; 2005, “Study of Water Quality Parameters of River Water in Konkan Region”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. 3: PP 212-217.*
- [25] P. Raja, A. Muhindhar Amarnath, R. Elangovan and M. Palanivel; 2008, “Evaluation of Physical and Chemical Parameters of River Kaveri, Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India”, *Journal of Environmental Biology, 29(5): PP 765-768.*
- [26] R. B. Panda, D. Pradhan and L. K. Panda; 2009, “Water Quality of the Brahmani River- An Analytical Study of Upstream, Mid Stream and Downstream at Effluent Discharge Point of

- Talcher Industrial Complex, Orissa, India”,
Journal of Industrial Pollution Control, 25 (1):
PP 37-42.
- [27] R. K. Tiwary and Abhishek; 2005, “Impact of
Coal Washeries on Water Quality of Damodar
River in Jharia Coalfield”, *Indian Journal of
Environmental Protection, IJEP Vol. 25, No. (6):*
PP 518-522.
- [28] R. Shyamala, M. Shanthi and P. Lalitha; 2008,
“Physico-Chemical Analysis of Borewell Water
Samples of Telungupalayam Area in Coimbatore
District, Tamilnadu, India”, *E-Journal of
Chemistry, ISSN: 0973-4945, Vol. 5, No. (4): PP*
924-929.
- [29] S. Harinath; 2009, “Water Quality Studies on
Bommanahalli Lake”, *Journal of Industrial
Pollution Control*, 25 (1): PP 33-36
- [30] S. K. Deshmukh; 2001, a report on “Strategy for
Techno-Economic Feasible Treatment”.
- [31] S. K. Maithi; “Handbook on Water and
Wastewater Analysis”.
- [32] S. Thirumalini¹ and Kurian Joseph; 2009,
“Correlation Between Electrical Conductivity and
Total Dissolved Solids in Natural Waters”,
Malaysian Journal of Science 28 (1): PP 55-61.
- [33] Smita Kabir Mulani, M.B. Mule and S. U. Patil;
2009, “Studies on Water Quality and
Zooplankton Community of the Panchganga
River in Kolhapur City”, *Journal of
Environmental Biology*, 30 (3): PP 455-459.
- [34] Swayer, McCarty and Parkin; Chemistry for
Environmental Engineering and Science, Fifth
Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill Publication.
- [35] World Health Organization (WHO); 2006, Safe
Water for the Community: A Guide for Safe
Water System Program.